

Series A

**I. MATHEMATICA**

524

**ON AN INEQUALITY CONNECTED WITH THE  
COEFFICIENT CONJECTURE FOR FUNCTIONS  
OF BOUNDED BOUNDARY ROTATION**

BY

**D. AHARONOV and S. FRIEDLAND**

---

**HELSINKI 1972**  
**SUOMALAINEN TIEDEAKATEMIA**

Copyright © 1972 by  
Academia Scientiarum Fennica  
ISBN 951-41-0065-4

Communicated 13 October 1972

KESKUSKIRJAPAINO  
HELSINKI 1973

## 1. Introduction

For  $k \geq 2$  let  $V_k$  denote the class of locally univalent analytic functions

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + \dots$$

that map  $|z| < 1$  conformally onto a domain whose boundary rotation is at most  $k\pi$ . (See [5] for the definition and basic properties of the class  $V_k$ ).

The function

$$(1.2) \quad f_k(z) = \frac{1}{k} \left[ \left( \frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^{k/2} - 1 \right] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n z^n$$

belongs to  $V_k$  and the coefficient conjecture for the class  $V_k$  is that for a function (1.1) in  $V_k$ ,

$$(1.3) \quad |a_n| \leq A_n \quad (n > 1)$$

This conjecture was proved for  $n = 2$  by Pick (see [5]) for  $n = 3$  by Lehto [5] and for  $n = 4$  in [9], [6], [1] and [3].

In support of the conjecture Noonan has shown [7] that for a given function (1.1) in  $V_k$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|a_n|}{A_n}$  exists and is less than 1 unless  $f(z) = e^{-i\theta} f_k(e^{i\theta} z)$ . Recently Brannan, Clunie and Kirwan [2] established the conjecture (1.3) for  $n \leq 14$  and for all  $n$  in case that function (1.1) has real coefficients or if  $k \geq 4$ . This was done by a remarkable extension of the classical Herglotz formula. With the aid of this generalized formula they showed that the conjecture would follow for any  $n > 1$  and any  $k \geq 2$  if the following inequality

$$(1.4) \quad \left( \frac{1+xz}{1-z} \right)^\alpha \ll \left( \frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^\alpha, \quad \alpha \geq 1, \quad |x| = 1$$

holds. By  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n z^n \ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n z^n$  we mean  $|\alpha_n| \leq |\beta_n|$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ .

The aim of this paper is to prove the inequality (1.4) and thus to establish the coefficient conjecture. In fact, as was shown in [2], the inequality (1.4) implies the coefficient conjecture (1.3) for the larger class of close-to-

convex functions of order  $\beta(\beta = \frac{k}{2} - 1 \geq 0)$ . This class was introduced by Pommerenke [8]. (See also Goodman [4] for further properties of this class).

## 2. A stronger inequality

In order to show (1.4) it is more convenient to consider the inequality

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{(1+xz)^\alpha}{1-z} \ll \frac{(1+z)^\alpha}{1-z}, \quad \alpha \geq 1, \quad |x| = 1.$$

It is clear that (2.1) implies (1.4) since

$$\left(\frac{1+xz}{1-z}\right)^\alpha = \frac{(1+xz)^\alpha}{1-z} \frac{1}{(1-z)^{\alpha-1}} \ll \frac{(1+z)^\alpha}{1-z} \frac{1}{(1-z)^{\alpha-1}} = \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^\alpha.$$

Obviously it is enough to consider the case  $1 < \alpha < 2$ . Indeed  $(1-xz)^p \ll (1+z)^p$  for any natural  $p$  and thus

$$\frac{(1+xz)^\alpha}{1-z} (1-xz)^p \ll \frac{(1+z)^\alpha}{1-z} (1+z)^p$$

We note that

$$(2.2) \quad (1+xz)^\alpha = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha}{k} x^k z^k$$

Now

$$\frac{(1+xz)^\alpha}{1-z} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha}{k} x^k z^k \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} z^m = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{\alpha}{k} x^k \right) z^n.$$

Thus (2.1) is equivalent to

$$(2.3) \quad \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{\alpha}{k} x^k \right| \leq \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{\alpha}{k}, \quad \alpha \geq 1, \quad |x| = 1, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

For  $n = 1, 2$  the inequality evidently holds. Let  $x = e^{i\phi}$  and consider separately the inequality (2.3) at first for  $0 \leq \phi \leq \frac{\pi}{n}$  and after for  $\frac{\pi}{n} \leq \phi \leq \pi$ .

## 3. The case $0 \leq \phi \leq \frac{\pi}{n}$

The inequality (2.3) can be written as

$$(3.1) \quad \left[ \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{\alpha}{k} \cos k\phi \right]^2 + \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{\alpha}{k} \sin k\phi \right]^2 \leq \left[ \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{\alpha}{k} \right]^2$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{\alpha}{k} \sin k\phi \right]^2 &\leq \left[ \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{\alpha}{k} (1 - \cos k\phi) \right] \left[ \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{\alpha}{k} (1 + \cos k\phi) \right] \\ &= 4 \left[ \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{\alpha}{k} \sin^2 \frac{k\phi}{2} \right] \left[ \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{\alpha}{k} - \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{\alpha}{k} \sin^2 \frac{k\phi}{2} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that  $\frac{\sin u}{u}$  is decreasing for  $0 \leq u \leq \pi$  we obtain

$$\binom{\alpha}{k} \sin k\phi \geq \binom{\alpha}{k-1} \sin (k-1)\phi$$

for  $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ ,  $0 \leq \phi \leq \frac{\pi}{\alpha}$  and  $1 < \alpha < 2$ .

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{\alpha}{k} \sin k\phi &= \alpha \sin \phi - \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2} \sin 2\phi + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)(2-\alpha)}{6} \sin 3\phi + \dots \\ &\leq \alpha \sin \phi + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2} \sin 2\phi. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (3.1) is true if

$$(3.2) \quad \left[ \alpha \sin \phi + \alpha(\alpha-1) \sin \phi \cos \phi \right]^2 \leq 4 \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{\alpha}{k} \sin^2 \frac{k\phi}{2} \right] \left[ \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{\alpha}{k} - \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{\alpha}{k} \sin^2 \frac{k\phi}{2} \right] = 4w \left[ \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{\alpha}{k} - w \right]$$

In fact we show the stronger inequality

$$(3.3) \quad \alpha^2 \sin^2 \phi [1 + 2(\alpha-1) - (\alpha-1)^2 \cos^2 \phi] \leq 4w \left[ \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{\alpha}{k} - w \right]$$

Using again the monotonicity of  $\frac{\sin u}{u}$  we have

$$\left( \frac{\alpha}{k} \right) \left| \sin^2 \frac{k\phi}{2} \right| \geq \left( \frac{\alpha}{k+1} \right) \left| \sin^2 \frac{(k+1)\phi}{2} \right|, \quad 2 \leq k \leq n-1, \quad 0 \leq \phi \leq \frac{\pi}{\alpha},$$

as

$$\frac{k-\alpha}{k+1} \left( \frac{k+1}{k} \right)^2 < 1 \quad \text{for } 1 < \alpha < 2.$$

For  $n \geq 3$  and  $\frac{n\phi}{2} \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} w &= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \sin^2 \frac{k\phi}{2} = \alpha \sin^2 \frac{\phi}{2} + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2} \sin^2 \phi - \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)(2-\alpha)}{6} \sin^2 \frac{3\phi}{2} + \dots \\ &\leq \alpha \sin^2 \frac{\phi}{2} + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2} \sin^2 \phi \leq \alpha \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{6} + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2} \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{3} = \frac{\alpha}{4} + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2} \frac{3}{4} = \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{4} + \frac{3}{8}(\alpha-1) < \frac{\alpha}{4} + \frac{3}{8}\alpha = \frac{5}{8}\alpha, \quad (1 < \alpha < 2). \end{aligned}$$

Now, the function  $Q(w) = w \left[ \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} - w \right]$  is increasing in the domain

$$0 \leq w \leq \frac{5}{8}\alpha.$$

Indeed

$$Q'(w) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} - 2w > \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} - \frac{5}{4}\alpha > 1 + \alpha - \frac{5}{4}\alpha > 0,$$

for

$$1 < \alpha < 2.$$

We claim that

$$(3.4) \quad w \geq \frac{\alpha}{4} \sin^2 \phi \left[ 1 + \frac{(\alpha-1)(3\alpha-2)}{2} \right].$$

Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} w &\geq \alpha \sin^2 \frac{\phi}{2} + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2} \sin^2 \phi - \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)(2-\alpha)}{6} \sin^2 \frac{3\phi}{2} \\ &= \sin^2 \phi \left[ \alpha \frac{\sin^2 \frac{\phi}{2}}{\sin^2 \phi} + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2} - \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)(2-\alpha)}{6} \frac{\sin^2 \frac{3\phi}{2}}{\sin^2 \phi} \right] \\ &\geq \sin^2 \phi \left[ \frac{\alpha}{4} + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2} - \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)(2-\alpha)}{6} \frac{9}{4} \right] \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{4} \sin^2 \phi \left[ 1 + \frac{\alpha-1}{2} (3\alpha-2) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Recalling that  $Q(w)$  increases for  $0 \leq w \leq \frac{5}{8}\alpha$  it is enough to show the inequality (3.3) in case that  $w$  attains its lower bound in (3.4):

$$(3.5) \quad \lambda [1 + 2(\alpha - 1) + (\alpha - 1)^2 \cos^2 \phi] \leq \left[ 1 + \frac{\alpha - 1}{2} (3\lambda - 2) \right] \\ \times \left[ \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{\alpha}{k} - \frac{\alpha}{4} \sin^2 \phi \left( 1 + \frac{(\alpha - 1)(3\lambda - 2)}{2} \right) \right]$$

Again, as

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{\alpha}{k} \geq 1 + \alpha + \frac{\alpha(\alpha - 1)}{2} \left[ 1 - \frac{2 - \alpha}{3} \right] = (1 + \alpha) \left[ 1 + \frac{\alpha(\alpha - 1)}{6} \right]$$

(3.5) is true if

$$(3.6) \quad \lambda [1 + 2(\alpha - 1) + (\alpha - 1)^2 \cos^2 \phi] \leq \left[ 1 + \frac{\alpha - 1}{2} (3\lambda - 2) \right] \left[ (1 + \alpha) \left( 1 + \frac{\alpha(\alpha - 1)}{6} \right) - \frac{\alpha}{4} \sin^2 \phi \left( 1 + \frac{(\alpha - 1)(3\lambda - 2)}{2} \right) \right].$$

As  $\cos^2 \phi = 1 - \sin^2 \phi$ , the above inequality is linear in  $\sin^2 \phi$  (or in  $\cos^2 \phi$ ). Thus it is enough to check the end points. But  $0 \leq \sin \phi \leq \sin \frac{\pi}{n} \leq \sin \frac{\pi}{3} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$ .

Therefore (3.6) would follow if we show the following two inequalities:

$$(3.7) \quad \alpha^3 = \alpha [1 + 2(\alpha - 1) + (\alpha - 1)^2] < \left[ 1 + \frac{\alpha - 1}{2} (3\lambda - 2) \right] \\ (1 + \alpha) \left( 1 + \frac{\alpha(\alpha - 1)}{6} \right).$$

$$(3.8) \quad \alpha \left[ 1 + 2(\alpha - 1) + \frac{(\alpha - 1)^2}{4} \right] < \left[ 1 + \frac{(\lambda - 1)}{2} (3\lambda - 2) \right] \left[ (1 + \alpha) \left( 1 + \frac{\alpha(\alpha - 1)}{6} \right) - \frac{3}{16} \alpha \left( 1 + \frac{(\lambda - 1)(3\lambda - 2)}{2} \right) \right]$$

For the proof of (3.7) put  $\alpha = 1 + \beta$ . Then (3.7) is equivalent to the inequality

$$(1 + \beta)^3 < \left[ 1 + \frac{\beta}{2} (3\beta + 1) \right] (2 + \beta) \left[ 1 + \frac{(\beta + 1)\beta}{6} \right]$$

which can be easily obtained by simple calculations. To establish (3.8) we first note that

$$- \left[ 1 + \frac{\alpha - 1}{2} (3\lambda - 2) \right] > - (1 + \alpha), \quad \text{for } 1 < \lambda < 2.$$

Thus (3.8) would follow if

$$(3.9) \quad \lambda \left[ 1 - 2(\lambda - 1) + \frac{(\lambda - 1)^2}{4} \right] < \left[ 1 + \frac{\lambda - 1}{2} (3\lambda - 2) \right] (1 + \lambda) \\ \left[ 1 - \frac{\lambda(\lambda - 1)}{6} - \frac{3\lambda}{16} \right]$$

The function  $1 - \frac{3\lambda}{16} + \frac{\lambda(\lambda - 1)}{6} = 1 + \frac{8\lambda^2 - 17\lambda}{48}$  attains its minimum

at the point  $\lambda = \frac{17}{16}$  and so  $1 + \frac{8\lambda^2 - 17\lambda}{48} \geq 1 - \frac{289}{32 \cdot 48} > \frac{4}{5}$ .

Finally it is enough to show

$$(3.10) \quad \lambda \left[ 1 + 2(\lambda - 1) + \frac{(\lambda - 1)^2}{4} \right] < \frac{4}{5} \left[ 1 + \frac{\lambda - 1}{2} (3\lambda - 2) \right] (1 + \lambda).$$

Put again  $\lambda = \beta + 1$  and then (3.10) holds if we show that the polynomial  $12 - 28\beta + 11\beta^2 + 19\beta^3$  is positive for  $0 < \beta < 1$ . Clearly this polynomial is positive for  $\beta > 0$ .

#### 4. Two lemmas

Let

$$(4.1) \quad \varepsilon_n(x) = (1 + x)^x - \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{x}{k} x^k = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \binom{x}{k+1} x^{k+1}$$

We bring now an integral representation of  $\varepsilon_n(x)$ :

**Lemma 1.**

$$(4.2) \quad \varepsilon_n(x) = \frac{\sin \pi(\alpha - 1)}{\pi} (-1)^{n+1} x^{n+1} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-r)^x r^{n-x}}{1+xr} dr$$

where  $x \leq 1$ ,  $1 < \alpha < 2$  and  $n \geq 3$ .

*Proof.* Denote by  $B(a, b)$  the Beta function:

$$(4.3) \quad B(a, b) = \int_0^1 r^{a-1} (1-r)^{b-1} dr, \quad a > 0, b > 0.$$

We recall the following well known properties of the Beta function:



$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} B(a, b) &= B(b, a), \quad B(a-1, b) = \frac{a}{a+b} B(a, b), \\ B(a, 1-a) &= \frac{\pi}{\sin a} \quad \text{for } 0 < a < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Now for  $1 < x < 2$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} B(n-x+1, x-1) &= \frac{(n-x)(n-x-1)}{(n+1)} \cdots \frac{2-x}{3} B(2-x, x+1) \\ &= \frac{(n-x)(n-x-1)}{(n+1)} \cdots \frac{2-x}{3} \frac{x(x-1)}{2 \cdot 1} B(2-x, x-1) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$(4.5) \quad \binom{x}{n+1} = \frac{x(x-1) \cdots (x-n)}{(n+1)!} = (-1)^{n+1} \frac{x(x-1)(2-x) \cdots (n-x)}{(n+1)!}$$

Combining the two last equalities we obtain the representation of the binomial coefficients  $\binom{x}{n+1}$  with help of the Beta function:

$$(4.6) \quad \binom{x}{n+1} = (-1)^{n+1} \frac{\sin \pi(x-1)}{\pi} B(n-x+1, x+1).$$

For  $|x| < 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_n(x) &= \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \binom{x}{k+1} x^{k+1} = \frac{\sin \pi(x-1)}{\pi} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \int_0^1 r^{k-x} (1-r)^x (-x)^{k+1} dr \\ &= \frac{\sin \pi(x-1)}{\pi} (-x)^{n+1} \int_0^1 \frac{r^{n-x} (1-r)^x}{1-xr} dr, \quad 1 < x < 2, \quad 3 \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the equality (4.2) for  $|x| < 1$ . The case  $|x| = 1$  follows from continuity argument as  $\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \binom{x}{k+1} x^{k+1} < \infty$ .

**Remark.** A more «natural» proof of (4.1) is obtained by using the Cauchy integral theorem for analytic functions. Clearly

$$-\varepsilon_n(x) = \int_{|z|=t} \frac{(1+xz)^n - (1+x)^n}{z^{n+1}(1-z)} dz, \quad 0 < t < 1.$$

Replace then the curve  $|z| = t$  by  $|z| = R$   $R > 1$  and a radial slit

emanating from  $z = -\frac{1}{x}$ . Realizing that  $\frac{(1+xz)^\alpha - (1+x)^\alpha}{z^{n+1}(1-z)}$  is regular at  $z = 1$  and letting  $R \rightarrow \infty$  one gets an alternative proof of lemma 1.

**Lemma 2.** Let  $\varepsilon_n(x)$  be defined as above, then

$$(4.7) \quad |\varepsilon_n(x)| < \frac{\sin \pi(\alpha - 1)}{\pi} \frac{1}{n}, \quad \text{for } \operatorname{Re}(x) < 0,$$

$$(4.8) \quad |\varepsilon_n(x)| \leq \frac{\sin \pi(\alpha - 1)}{\pi} \frac{1}{n^2}, \quad \text{for } \operatorname{Re}(x) \geq 0,$$

where  $|x| = 1$  and  $n \geq 3$ .

*Proof.* Using (4.2) one gets

$$|\varepsilon_n(x)| \leq \frac{\sin \pi(\alpha - 1)}{\pi} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-r)^\alpha r^{n-\alpha}}{1-r} dr$$

Let  $|x| \leq 1$ . Since  $(1-r)^\delta \leq 1 - \delta r$  in the range  $0 \leq r \leq 1$ ,  $0 \leq \delta \leq 1$ , for  $\delta = \alpha - 1$  we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} |\varepsilon_n(x)| &\leq \frac{\sin \pi(\alpha - 1)}{\pi} \int_0^1 (1-r)^\delta r^{n-\alpha} dr \leq \frac{\sin \pi(\alpha - 1)}{\pi} \int_0^1 (1-\delta r) r^{n-\alpha} dr \\ &= \frac{\sin \pi(\alpha - 1)}{\pi} \left[ \frac{1}{n-\alpha+1} - \frac{\delta}{n-\alpha+2} \right] < \frac{\sin \pi(\alpha - 1)}{\pi} \frac{1}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

This established (4.7). To show (4.8) we note that

$$\frac{1}{|1+xr|} \leq 1 \quad \text{for } \operatorname{Re}(x) \geq 0. \quad \text{Thus}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\varepsilon_n(x)| &\leq \frac{\sin \pi(\alpha - 1)}{\pi} \int_0^1 (1-r)^\alpha r^{n-\alpha} dr \leq \frac{\sin \pi(\alpha - 1)}{\pi} \int_0^1 (1-r) r^{n-\alpha} (1-\delta r) dr \\ &= \frac{\sin \pi(\alpha - 1)}{\pi} \left[ \frac{1}{n-\alpha+1} - \frac{\alpha}{n-\alpha+2} + \frac{\delta}{n-\alpha+3} \right] \leq \\ &\frac{\sin \pi(\alpha - 1)}{\pi} \frac{1}{n^2} \end{aligned}$$

(The last part is established as follows:

$$\frac{1}{n-\alpha+1} - \frac{\alpha}{n-\alpha+2} + \frac{\delta}{n-\alpha+3} = \frac{1}{n-\alpha+1} - \frac{1}{n-\alpha+2} - \delta \left( \frac{1}{n-\alpha+3} - \frac{1}{n-\alpha+2} \right) = \frac{1}{(n-\alpha+1)(n-\alpha+2)} - \frac{\delta}{(n-\alpha+3)(n-\alpha+2)} = \frac{1}{n-\alpha+2} \left[ \frac{1}{n-\alpha+1} - \frac{\delta}{n-\alpha+3} \right].$$

Since  $\frac{1}{n-\alpha+2} < \frac{1}{n}$  it is enough to show  $\frac{1}{n-\alpha+1} - \frac{\delta}{n-\alpha+3}$

$$\frac{\delta}{n-\alpha+3} \leq \frac{1}{n}, \text{ which is equivalent to } \frac{1}{n-\alpha+1} - \frac{1}{n} \leq \frac{\alpha-1}{n-\alpha+3}$$

and is obviously true for  $n \geq 3$  and  $1 < \alpha < 2$ .

### 5. The case $\frac{\pi}{n} \leq \phi \leq \pi$

Using the definition of  $\varepsilon_n(x)$  (4.1) we have

$$\left| \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{\alpha}{k} x^k \right| \leq |1+x|^\alpha + |\varepsilon_n(x)|.$$

On the other hand

$$(1+1)^\alpha \leq \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{\alpha}{k} \right| + |\varepsilon_n(1)|$$

Thus the inequality  $\left| \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{\alpha}{k} x^k \right| \leq \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{\alpha}{k} \right|$  would follow if

$$|1+x|^\alpha + |\varepsilon_n(x)| \leq 2^\alpha - |\varepsilon_n(1)|, \quad x = e^{i\phi}, \quad \frac{\pi}{n} \leq \phi \leq \pi.$$

Put  $\frac{\phi}{2} = \theta$  then

$$|1+x| = |e^{i\phi} + 1| = 2 \cos \theta$$

Therefore it is enough to show

$$(5.1) \quad |\varepsilon_n(x)| + |\varepsilon_n(1)| < 2^\alpha (1 - \cos \theta)$$

for  $1 < \alpha < 2$  and  $\frac{\pi}{2n} \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$  as  $1 - (\cos \theta)^\alpha \leq 1 - \cos \theta$ .

Assume first  $Re(x) < 0$ . By Lemma 2 we have:

$$\begin{aligned} |\varepsilon_n(x)| + |\varepsilon_n(1)| &\leq \frac{\sin \pi(x-1)}{\pi} \left( \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n^2} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{\sin \pi(x-1)}{\pi} \left( \frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{3} \right) \leq \frac{4}{9\pi} \end{aligned}$$

Now the condition  $Re(e^{i\phi}) < 0$  (i.e.  $\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \phi \leq \pi$ ) implies that  $\cos \theta \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$ .

But

$$\frac{4}{9\pi} < 2 \left( 1 - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \right) < 2^x (1 - \cos \theta) \quad \text{for } x > 1.$$

Assume now  $Re(x) \geq 0$ . In this case Lemma 2 implies

$$|\varepsilon_n(x) - \varepsilon_n(1)| \leq \frac{2 \sin \pi(x-1)}{\pi n^2}$$

Finally we show

$$\frac{2}{\pi} \sin \frac{\pi(x-1)}{n^2} < 2^x (1 - \cos \theta) = 2^{x+1} \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2}, \quad \frac{\pi}{2n} \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{4}.$$

It is enough to consider the above inequality for  $\frac{\theta}{2} = \frac{\pi}{4n}$ :

$$(5.2) \quad \frac{2 \sin \pi(x-1)}{\pi n^2} < 2^{x+1} \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{4n}.$$

Clearly  $\sin^2 \frac{\pi}{4n} \geq \left( \frac{\sin \frac{\pi}{12}}{\frac{\pi}{12}} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\pi}{4n} \right)^2$  for  $n \geq 3$ .

So (5.2) is reduced to

$$(5.3) \quad \frac{2 \sin \pi(x-1)}{\pi n^2} < 2^{x+1} \left( \frac{\sin \frac{\pi}{12}}{\frac{\pi}{12}} \right)^2 \frac{\pi^2}{16n^2}$$

which is equivalent to an obvious inequality

$$\sin \pi(x - 1) < 2^\alpha \frac{\pi^3}{16} \left( \frac{\sin \frac{\pi}{12}}{\frac{\pi}{12}} \right)^2.$$

This completes the proof of the inequality (2.1).

In conclusion we remark that we showed in fact that:

$$\left| \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{\alpha}{k} x^k \right| < \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{\alpha}{k}$$

for  $|x| = 1$  and  $x \neq 1$ .

Department of Mathematics  
Technion City, Haifa  
Israel

### References

- [1] BRANNAN, D. A.: On functions of bounded boundary rotation II, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 1 (1969).
- [2] ——— CLUNIE, J. G. and KIRWAN, W. E.: On the coefficient problem for functions of bounded boundary rotation, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. A I* 523 (1973).
- [3] COONCE, H. B.: On functions of bounded boundary rotation, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* (to appear).
- [4] GOODMAN, A. W.: On close-to-convex function of higher order (to appear).
- [5] LEHTO, O.: On the distortion of conformal mappings with bounded boundary rotation. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. A I* 124 (1952).
- [6] LONKA, H. and TAMMI, O.: On the case of step-functions in extremal problems of the class with bounded boundary rotations. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. A I* 418 (1968).
- [7] NOONAN, J.: Asymptotic behaviour of functions with bounded boundary rotations, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 164 (1972), 397–410.
- [8] POMMERENKE, CH.: On close-to-convex analytic functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 114 (1965), 176–186.
- [9] SCHIFFER, M. and TAMMI, O.: On the fourth coefficient of univalent functions with bounded boundary rotation, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. A I* 396 (1967).