

THE HAUSDORFF DIMENSION OF THE BRANCH SET OF A QUASIREGULAR MAPPING

JUKKA SARVAS

1. Introduction

Let G be a domain in the n -dimensional euclidean space R^n , $n \geq 2$. Consider a non-constant quasiregular mapping $f: G \rightarrow R^n$. Let B_f denote the branch set of f .

By [6] $m(B_f) = m(fB_f) = 0$, where m is the n -dimensional Lebesgue measure in R^n . Then also $H^n(B_f) = H^n(fB_f) = 0$, where H^α , $\alpha > 0$, is the α -dimensional Hausdorff outer measure in R^n . On the other hand, in [3] it is shown by an example that $\dim_H B_f$ and $\dim_H fB_f$, the Hausdorff dimensions of B_f and fB_f , can be arbitrarily close to n .

In this paper we prove the following results. Let $i(x, f)$ denote the local topological index of f at x . If f is as above, then

$$(1.1) \quad \dim_H fB_f \leq c' < n,$$

where the constant c' depends only on n and the maximal dilatation $K(f)$ of f . If, in addition, $i(f) = \sup \{ i(x, f) \mid x \in B_f \} < \infty$, then

$$(1.2) \quad \dim_H B_f \leq c < n,$$

where the constant c depends only on n , $K(f)$ and $i(f)$. It remains an open question whether c actually depends on $i(f)$. If it does not, then always $\dim_H B_f < n$, too.

We shall prove (1.1) and (1.2) using a similar method to Rešetnjak's in [9] and Martio's and Rickman's in [5].

For more information on $\dim_H B_f$ and $\dim_H fB_f$ see, for example, [5].

2. Notation

We use the same notation and terminology as in [6]. If $A \subset R^n$, we write $\text{cl } A$, $\text{int } A$ and ∂A for the closure, the interior and the boundary

of A . If $x \in R^n$ and $A \subset R^n$, $A \neq \emptyset$, we denote by $d(x, A)$ the distance from x to A and by $d(A)$ the diameter of A . If $x \in R^n$ and $r > 0$ we write $B^n(x, r)$ for the open ball $\{y \in R^n \mid |x-y| < r\}$ and abbreviate $B^n(r) = B^n(0, r)$, $B^n = B^n(1)$. We also write $S^{n-1}(x, r) = \partial B^n(x, r)$, $S^{n-1}(r) = S^{n-1}(0, r)$ and $S^{n-1} = S^{n-1}(1)$.

We let the notation $f: G \rightarrow R^n$ include the assumption that $G \subset R^n$ is a domain and f is continuous. If $x \in G$ and $r > 0$, put

$$l(x, f, r) = \inf_{|x-y|=r} |f(x) - f(y)|, \quad L(x, f, r) = \sup_{|x-y|=r} |f(x) - f(y)|$$

whenever $B^n(x, r) \subset G$. We let $U(x, f, r)$ denote the x -component of $f^{-1}B^n(f(x), r)$ and write

$$l^*(x, f, r) = \inf \{ |x-y| \mid y \in \partial U(x, f, r) \} \text{ and} \\ L^*(x, f, r) = \sup \{ |x-y| \mid y \in \partial U(x, f, r) \}$$

whenever $\partial U(x, f, r) \neq \emptyset$. If $A \subset R^n$ and $y \in R^n$, put $N(y, f, A) = \text{card}(A \cap f^{-1}(y))$ and $N(f, A) = \sup \{ N(y, f, A) \mid y \in R^n \}$. If $f: G \rightarrow R^n$ is quasiregular and $x \in G$, then there exists $r_x > 0$ such that if $r \in (0, r_x)$, then $U(x, f, r)$ is a normal neighborhood of x and $N(f, U(x, f, r)) = i(x, f)$; see [6; 2.9, 2.12].

Let e_1, \dots, e_n denote the coordinate unit vectors of R^n , Z the set of integers and N the set of positive integers.

3. On Hausdorff dimension in R^n

For $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$, the α -dimensional Hausdorff outer measure of a set $A \subset R^n$ is defined as

$$H^\alpha(A) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} (\inf \sum d(A_i)^\alpha),$$

where the infimum is taken over all countable coverings of A by sets A_i with $d(A_i) < r$. The Hausdorff dimension of $A \subset R^n$ is defined as

$$\dim_H A = \inf \{ \alpha > 0 \mid H^\alpha(A) = 0 \}.$$

Then $0 \leq \dim_H A \leq n$. Note that if A is the union of the sets A_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots$, then $\dim_H A = \sup_i \dim_H A_i$.

To derive an upper bound for the Hausdorff dimension of a set in R^n we consider the following density quantity. For any $A \subset R^n$, $A \neq \emptyset$, and $x \in A$ define

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma(x, A) &= \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \left(\sup_{0 \leq |x-y| < r} \frac{1}{r} d(y, A) \right), \text{ and} \\ \sigma(A) &= \inf_{x \in A} \sigma(x, A). \end{aligned}$$

Then always $0 \leq \sigma(A) \leq 1$. The definition of $\sigma(A)$ is motivated by the following result.

3.2. **Theorem.** *If $A \subset R^n$ with $\sigma(A) > 0$, then $\dim_H A \leq c < n$, where the constant c depends only on n and $\sigma(A)$.*

To prove this theorem we need a lemma essentially due to Gehring and Väisälä [3, Theorem 18]. We introduce a notation. If $Q \subset R^n$ is a closed cube of side $s > 0$ and $p \geq 2$ is an integer, we let $\mathcal{D}(Q, p)$ denote the collection of the cubes obtained by subdividing Q into p^n closed congruent cubes of side s/p .

3.3. **Lemma.** *Suppose that Q_0 is a closed cube in R^n , that A is a compact subset of Q_0 and p, q and i_0 are integers such that $p \geq 2, 1 \leq q \leq p^n - 1$ and $i_0 \geq 0$. If for every integer $i > i_0$ and every $Q \in \mathcal{D}(Q_0, p^i)$ the set $Q \cap A$ can be covered with q cubes of $\mathcal{D}(Q, p)$, then*

$$\dim_H A \leq \frac{\log q}{\log p} < n.$$

Proof. Let $\log q / \log p < \alpha < n$. We must prove $H^\alpha(A) = 0$. It is sufficient to show $H^\alpha(A \cap Q') = 0$, where Q' is any cube of $\mathcal{D}(Q_0, p^{i_0})$. By the assumptions of the lemma we can cover $A \cap Q'$ by q cubes of $\mathcal{D}(Q', p)$, say Q_1, \dots, Q_q . Similarly every set $A \cap Q_i, 1 \leq i \leq q$, we can cover by q cubes of $\mathcal{D}(Q_i, p)$, and so we get a cover of $A \cap Q'$ by q^2 cubes of $\mathcal{D}(Q', p^2)$. Continuing in this way we get after j steps a cover \mathcal{C}_j of $A \cap Q'$ by q^j cubes of $\mathcal{D}(Q', p^j)$. Then $d(Q) = d(Q')/p^j$ for every $Q \in \mathcal{C}_j$. Hence

$$\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{C}_j} d(Q)^\alpha = q^j \left(\frac{d(Q')}{p^j} \right)^\alpha = \left(\frac{q}{p^\alpha} \right)^j d(Q')^\alpha,$$

where $(q p^{-\alpha})^j \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, since $q p^{-\alpha} < 1$ by the choice of α . This implies $H^\alpha(A \cap Q') = 0$ by the definition of H^α . The lemma is proved.

3.4. **Remark.** The upper bound in the above lemma is attained by a set A defined as follows. Let Q_0, p and q be as in the lemma. Put

$$\mathcal{A}_0 = \{ Q_0 \}, \quad \mathcal{A}_i = \bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{A}_{i-1}} \mathcal{B}(Q), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where $\mathcal{B}(Q)$ is a collection of q cubes of $\mathcal{D}(Q, p)$ for every $Q \in \mathcal{A}_{i-1}$. Define

$$A_i = \bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{A}_i} Q, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad \text{and} \quad A = \bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i.$$

Then A satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 3.3 with $i_0 = 0$. Hence $\dim_H A \leq \log q / \log p$. It is not difficult to see, for instance by [1, Corollary 2, p. 684], that $\dim_H A \geq \log q / \log p$.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. We may assume $A \subset Q_0$, where Q_0 is a closed cube of side 1. For every $j \in N$ let A_j be the set of all $x \in A$ with

$$\inf_{0 < r \leq 1/j} \left(\sup_{0 \leq |x-y| < r} \frac{1}{r} d(y, A) \right) < \frac{1}{2} \sigma(A) > 0.$$

Then A is the union of the sets A_j , $j = 1, 2, \dots$. Let p be the smallest odd integer greater than 1 and not less than $13 n^{1/2} / \sigma(A)$. Then p depends only on n and $\sigma(A)$.

Fix $j \in N$. Let $i_j \in N$ such that $p^{-i_j} < 1/j$. To apply Lemma 3.3 to $\text{cl } A_j$ we show that the assumptions of the lemma are satisfied with p and $i_0 = i_j$ as above and $q = p^n - 1$. Choose any $i \geq i_j$ and $Q \in \mathcal{D}(Q_0, p^i)$. Then Q is a cube of side $t = p^{-i} < 1/j$. Let Q' be the cube in $\mathcal{D}(Q, p)$ which contains the center x_0 of Q . If $\text{cl } A_j \cap \text{int } Q' = \emptyset$, $\text{cl } A_j \cap Q$ can be covered by $p^n - 1$ cubes of $\mathcal{D}(Q, p)$. Otherwise let $x \in A_j \cap Q'$. Then

$$d(x, \partial Q) > \frac{p-1}{2p} t \geq \frac{t}{3}.$$

So $B^n(x, t/6) \subset Q$, and because $t/6 < 1/j$, then by the definition of the set A_j there exists $y \in B^n(x, t/6)$ such that $B^n(y, r) \subset R^n \setminus \text{cl } A \subset R^n \setminus \text{cl } A_j$, where $r = \sigma(A) t / 12$. Then $B^n(y, r) \subset Q$, and because $p > 12 n^{1/2} / \sigma(A)$, we have $r > n^{1/2} t / p$. Therefore at least one of the cubes of $\mathcal{D}(Q, p)$ lies in $B^n(y, r) \subset R^n \setminus \text{cl } A_j$. Hence $\text{cl } A_j \cap Q$ can be covered by $p^n - 1$ cubes of $\mathcal{D}(Q, p)$ in this case, too. Lemma 3.3 implies

$$(3.5) \quad \dim_H A_j \leq \dim_H \text{cl } A_j \leq \frac{\log(p^n - 1)}{\log p} = c < n,$$

where c depends only on n and $\sigma(A)$.

Since A is the union of the sets A_j , $j = 1, 2, \dots$, (3.5) yields $\dim_H A \leq c$, and the proof is completed.

3.6. Remark. The converse of Theorem 3.2. is not true. In fact, if $I = \{t e_1 \mid -1 \leq t \leq 1\}$ and for every $i \in N$

$$B_i = \left\{ \frac{1}{i} p_1 e_1 + \frac{1}{i^2} \sum_{k=2}^n p_k e_k \mid p_k \in Z, \quad -i \leq p_k \leq i, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n \right\},$$

then $A = I \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i$ is a compact set with $\sigma(A) = 0$ and $\dim_H A = 1$.

3.7 *Remark.* Theorem 3.2 fails to hold if in the definition (3.1) of $\sigma(x, A)$ we replace \liminf by \limsup . To show this define for every $A \subset R^n$, $A \neq \emptyset$,

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(x, A) &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \left(\sup_{0 \leq |x-y| < r} \frac{1}{r} d(y, A) \right) \\ &= \limsup_{y \rightarrow x} \frac{d(y, A)}{|x-y|}, \quad x \in A, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\eta(A) = \inf_{x \in A} \eta(x, A).$$

Then $0 \leq \eta(A) \leq 1$. We construct a set $A \subset R^n$ with $\eta(A) = 1$ and $\dim_H A = n$. In fact, A will be locally so 'thin' that for every $x \in A$ and any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ there exist arbitrarily small balls $B^n(x, r)$ such that

$$(3.8) \quad A \cap B^n(x, r) \subset B^n(x, \varepsilon r).$$

To define A we need the following notation. If Q is a closed cube in R^n and $i \geq 0$ is an integer, then $Q^{(i)}$ denotes the cube in $\mathcal{D}(Q, 3^i)$ which contains the center of Q . Now, let Q_0 be a closed cube in R^n . Put $\mathcal{A}_0 = \{Q_0\}$ and

$$\mathcal{A}_i = \bigcup_{Q' \in \mathcal{A}_{i-1}} \{Q^{(i)} \mid Q \in \mathcal{D}(Q', 3^{i^2})\}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots.$$

Then define

$$A_i = \bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{A}_i} Q, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, \quad \text{and} \quad A = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i.$$

Clearly A has the property (3.8). On the other hand, every \mathcal{A}_i consists of $N_i = 3^{nM_i}$ congruent cubes of diameter $\delta_i = 3^{-(M_i+m_i)} d(Q_0)$, where

$$M_i = \sum_{j=0}^i j^2 \quad \text{and} \quad m_i = \sum_{j=0}^i j, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots.$$

Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\delta_{i-1}}{\delta_i} \right)^n (N_i \delta_i^\alpha)^{-1} = d(Q_0)^{-\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 3^{-[(n-\alpha)M_i - \alpha m_i - n(i^2+i)]} < \infty$$

for every $\alpha \in (0, n)$, which implies $\dim_H A = n$ by [2, Theorem 5, p. 55].

4. The Hausdorff dimension of B_f

4.1. **L e m m a.** [5, 3.2]. *Suppose that $f_i: G \rightarrow R^n$, $i \in N$, are open and discrete mappings, $f: G \rightarrow R^n$ is open and discrete or a constant mapping and $f_i \rightarrow f$ uniformly in compact subsets of G . If $x_i \rightarrow x \in G$ with $x_i \in B_{f_i}$, then $x \in B_f$.*

4.2. **L e m m a.** *If $f: G \rightarrow R^n$ is K -quasiregular, $B_f \neq \emptyset$ and $\sup \{i(x, f) \mid x \in B_f\} \leq j$, then $\sigma(B_f) \geq s > 0$, where the constant s depends only on n , K and j .*

Proof. Assume that the lemma is false for some $K \in [1, \infty)$ and $j \in [2, \infty)$. Then there exists a sequence of K -quasiregular mappings $f_i: G_i \rightarrow R^n$ with

$$(4.3) \quad x_i \in B_{f_i}, \quad i(x_i, f_i) \leq j \quad \text{for every } i \in N, \quad \text{and}$$

$$(4.4) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(x_i, B_{f_i}) = 0.$$

By [6, 4.5] there exists $C > 0$ such that the linear dilatation $H(x_i, f_i) < C$ for every $i \in N$. Set $\alpha_i = \sigma(x_i, B_{f_i}) + 1/3i$, $i \in N$. Then $\lim \alpha_i = 0$ and we may assume $0 < \alpha_i < 1/2$, $i \in N$. Furthermore, using similarity mappings we may also assume that for every $i \in N$

$$(4.5) \quad x_i = f(x_i) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad B^n \subset G_i,$$

$$(4.6) \quad N(f_i, B^n) = i(0, f_i) \leq j,$$

$$(4.7) \quad L(0, f_i, 1) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{L(0, f_i, 1)}{l(0, f_i, 1)} < C,$$

$$(4.8) \quad \sup_{0 \leq |y| < 1} d(y, B_{f_i}) < \alpha_i < 1/2.$$

In particular, (4.8) implies

$$(4.9) \quad B^n(y, \alpha_i) \cap B_{f_i} \neq \emptyset \quad \text{for every } y \in B^n.$$

By [7, 3.17] the restrictions $f_i|_{B^n}$, $i \in N$, form a normal family of K -quasiregular mappings and by [9, p. 664] we may assume that $\{f_i\}$ converges uniformly in compact subsets of B^n to a K -quasiregular mapping $g: B^n \rightarrow R^n$.

We will show that $g: B^n \rightarrow R^n$ is not constant. It suffices to show that $\inf \{d(f_i \text{ cl } B^n(1/2)) \mid i \in N\} > 0$. Fix $i \in N$. Put $l_i = l(0, f_i, 1)$ and $t_i = L(0, f_i, 1/2)$. Then $d(f_i \text{ cl } B^n(1/2)) \geq t_i$. We may assume $t_i < l_i$, since otherwise (4.7) implies $t_i \geq l_i \geq 1/C > 0$. Let Γ be the path family joining $S^{n-1}(1/2)$ to S^{n-1} in B^n , and let Γ'_i be the path

family joining $S^{n-1}(t_i)$ to $S^{n-1}(l_i)$ in $B^n(l_i)$. Then $M(\Gamma'_i) \geq M(f_i\Gamma)$ and by the outer dilatation inequality [6, 3.2] and by (4.6)

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{n-1}(\log 2)^{1-n} &= M(\Gamma) \leq KN(f_i, B^n)M(f_i\Gamma) \\ &\leq jKM(\Gamma'_i) = jK\omega_{n-1}(\log(l_i/t_i))^{1-n}. \end{aligned}$$

By (4.7) $l_i > 1/C$, and we get

$$d(f_i \text{ cl } B^n(1/2)) \geq t_i \geq (2^{(jK)^{1/(n-1)}}C)^{-1} > 0.$$

This holds for all $i \in N$, and thus $g: B^n \rightarrow R^n$ is not a constant mapping.

To complete the proof choose any $z \in B^n(1/2)$. By (4.9) there exists $z_i \in B^n(z, \alpha_i) \cap B_{f_i} \subset B^n$ for every $i \in N$. Then $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} z_i = z$ because $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_i = 0$. Hence $z \in B_g$ by Lemma 4.1. But this implies $B^n(1/2) \subset B_g$, which is impossible, since $g: B^n \rightarrow R^n$ is a nonconstant quasiregular mapping. The lemma is proved.

Theorem 3.2 and Lemma 4.2 together imply:

4.10. **Theorem.** *If $f: G \rightarrow R^n$ is K -quasiregular and $i(f) = \sup\{i(x, f) \mid x \in B_f\} < \infty$, then $\dim_H B_f \leq c < n$, where the constant c depends only on n, K and $i(f)$.*

4.11. *Remark.* It is conjectured that if $f: G \rightarrow R^n$ is K -quasiregular, there exists a constant $k \geq 2$ depending only on n and K such that the set $\{x \in B_f \mid i(x, f) \geq k\}$ is discrete. If this conjecture holds, then Theorem 4.10 yields $\dim_H B_f \leq d < n$, where d depends only on n and K .

5. The Hausdorff dimension of fB_f

5.1. **Lemma.** [4, 6.8]. *Suppose that $f: G \rightarrow R^n$ is a non-constant quasiregular mapping and F is a compact set in B_f such that $H^x(fF) > 0$. Then*

$$\alpha < n \left(\frac{K_I(f)}{\inf_{x \in F} i(x, f)} \right)^{1/(n-1)}.$$

5.2. **Lemma.** *Let $f: G \rightarrow R^n$ be K -quasiregular, $x \in G$ and $i(x, f) \leq m$. Then there exist constants $c, c^* \in (0, 1)$ depending only on n, K and m , and $r_x > 0$ so that if $r \in (0, r_x]$ and $l_x^* = l^*(x, f, r)$, then*

- (i) $U(x, f, r)$ is a normal neighborhood of x and
- (ii) $U(x, f, cr) \subset B^n(x, c^*l_x^*)$.

Proof. By [6, 4.5] the linear dilatation $H(x, f) \in (0, \infty)$ has an upper bound $H < \infty$ in terms of n, K and m . Put $c = (1/2)(H + 1)^{-1}$. By [6, 2.9] we can choose $r_x > 0$ such that if $0 < r \leq r_x$, then $U(x, f, r)$ is a normal neighborhood of x and

$$(5.3) \quad l(x, f, l_x^*) \geq \frac{L(x, f, l_x^*)}{H + 1} = 2cr,$$

where $l_x^* = l^*(x, f, r)$. Let $r \in (0, r_x]$ and l_x^* be as above. Let $t \in (0, 1)$ such that $L^*(x, f, cr) = tl_x^*$. Let Γ be the path family joining $\text{cl } U(x, f, cr)$ to $S^{n-1}(x, l_x^*)$ in $B^n(x, l_x^*)$. Then by the outer dilatation inequality [6, 3.2] and (5.3)

$$(5.4) \quad M(\Gamma) \leq N(f, B^n(x, l_x^*)) K_0(f) M(f\Gamma) \leq mK\omega_{n-1}(\log 2)^{1-n}.$$

Because $\text{cl } U(x, f, cr)$ is connected and $d(S^{n-1}(x, l_x^*), \text{cl } U(x, f, cr)) = (1-t)l_x^*$, then by [10, 11.9]

$$(5.5) \quad M(\Gamma) \geq \varkappa_n\left(\frac{1-t}{t}\right) > 0,$$

where $\varkappa_n: (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is a decreasing function and $\varkappa_n(r) \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow 0$. By (5.4) and (5.5) $t \leq c^* \in (0, 1)$, where c^* depends only on n , K and m . The lemma is proved.

5.6. Lemma. *Suppose $f: G \rightarrow R^n$ is K -quasiregular, $x \in B_f$ and $r > 0$ such that $U = U(x, f, r)$ is a normal neighborhood of x . Then $\sigma(f(U \cap B_f)) \geq s' > 0$, where s' is a constant depending only on n , K and $i(x, f)$.*

Proof. Assume that the lemma is false. Then for some $K \geq 1$ and $m \geq 2$ there exists a sequence of K -quasiregular mappings $h_i: G_i \rightarrow R^n$ with $z_i \in G_i$ and $\delta_i > 0$ such that

- (i) $i(z_i, h_i) = m$
- (ii) $U_i = U(z_i, h_i, \delta_i)$ is a normal neighborhood of z_i ,
- (iii) for every $i \in N$ there is $y_i \in h_i U_i$ so that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(y_i, h_i(U_i \cap B_{h_i})) = 0$.

Put $f_i = h_i|_{U_i}$, $i \in N$. We may assume

$$(5.7) \quad \sigma(y_i, f_i B_{f_i}) < \frac{1}{i} \text{ for every } i \in N.$$

Because $N(f_i, U_i) = i(z_i, f_i) = m$, then

$$m \geq p = \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{card}(f_i^{-1}(y_i)) \geq 1.$$

By passing to a subsequence, if necessary, we may assume $p = \text{card}(f_i^{-1}(y_i))$ for every $i \in N$. Furthermore, $i(x, f_i) \leq m$ if $x \in f_i^{-1}(y_i)$ and $i \in N$.

Fix $i \in N$ and consider the mapping $f_i: U_i \rightarrow R^n$. Put $r'_i = \min\{r_x \mid x \in f_i^{-1}(y_i)\} > 0$, where $r_x > 0$ is as in Lemma 5.2. By (5.7) we can choose $r_i \in (0, r'_i)$ such that

$$(5.8) \quad \sup \left\{ \frac{1}{r_i} d(y, f_i B_{f_i}) \mid 0 \leq |y - y_i| < r_i \right\} < \frac{1}{i}.$$

Then $U(x, f_i, r_i)$ is a normal neighborhood for every $x \in f_i^{-1}(y_i)$ and

$$(5.9) \quad f_i^{-1} B^n(y_i, r_i) = \bigcup_{x \in f_i^{-1}(y_i)} U(x, f_i, r_i).$$

Put $l_x^* = l^*(x, f_i, r_i)$, $x \in f_i^{-1}(y_i)$. By Lemma 5.2 and the choice of r_i

$$(5.10) \quad f_i^{-1} B^n(y_i, c r_i) \subset \bigcup_{x \in f_i^{-1}(y_i)} B^n(x, c^* l_x^*),$$

where constants $c, c^* \in (0, 1)$ depend only on n, K and m . Let $T_i: B^n(y_i, r_i) \rightarrow B^n$ be the mapping $z \mapsto (1/r_i)(z - y_i)$. For every $x \in f_i^{-1}(y_i)$ define the mapping $g_i^x: B^n \rightarrow B^n$ by $g_i^x(z) = T_i \circ f_i(x + l_x^* z)$, $z \in B^n$. Say $f_i^{-1}(y_i) = \{x_1, \dots, x_p\}$. Set

$$A_k = B^n(2k e_1, 1), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, p, \quad \text{and} \quad A = \bigcup_{k=1}^p A_k,$$

where e_1 is the first coordinate unit vector of R^n . Finally, define $g_i: A \rightarrow B^n$ by $g_i(z) = g_i^{x_k}(z - 2k e_1)$ if $z \in A_k$, $1 \leq k \leq p$. Then g_i is K -quasiregular in each A_k . Furthermore, by the definition of g_i and (5.10)

$$(5.11) \quad \begin{aligned} B^n(c) \cap g_i B_{g_i} &= T_i(B^n(y_i, c r_i) \cap f_i B_{f_i}), \\ g_i^{-1} B^n(c) &\subset \bigcup_{k=1}^p \text{cl } B^n(2k e_1, c^*) \end{aligned}$$

and, in particular, (5.8) implies for $y \in B^n$ and $i \in N$

$$(5.12) \quad B^n(y, 1/i) \cap g_i B_{g_i} \neq \emptyset \text{ whenever } B^n(y, 1/i) \subset B^n(c).$$

Now, consider the sequence $g_i: A \rightarrow B^n$, $i \in N$. Since $\{g_i|_{A_k} \mid i \in N\}$ is a normal family for every $k = 1, \dots, p$ by [7, 3.17], $\{g_i \mid i \in N\}$ is also a normal family, and there is a subsequence, denoted again by $\{g_i\}$, which converges uniformly in compact subsets of A to a mapping $g: A \rightarrow B^n$. By [9, p. 664] g is K -quasiregular in every A_k .

Consider any $w \in B^n(c)$. By (5.12) we can choose

$$w_i \in B^n(w, 1/i) \cap g_i B_{g_i} \subset B^n(c)$$

for every $i \in N$, $1/i < c - |w|$, and for each such w_i we choose $w_i^* \in B_{g_i} \cap g_i^{-1}(w_i)$. Then by (5.11) and by passing to a subsequence, if necessary, we may assume $w_i^* \rightarrow w^* \in A_k$. Because every $w_i^* \in B_{g_i}$ and $g_i \rightarrow g$ uniformly in compact subsets of A_k , $w^* \in B_g$ by Lemma 4.1. Thus $g(w^*) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} g_i(w_i^*) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} w_i = w$.

So $w \in gB_g$. It implies $B^n(c) \subset gB_g$. This is a contradiction, since $m(gB_g) = 0$ by [6, 2.27]. The lemma is proved.

5.13. **Theorem.** *If $f: G \rightarrow R^n$ is K -quasiregular, then*

$$\dim_H(fB_f) \leq c' < n,$$

where the constant c' depends only on n and K .

Proof. We may suppose that $f: G \rightarrow R^n$ is non-constant. Let $m_K = K n^{n-1}$ and define $F = \{x \in B_f \mid i(x, f) \geq m_K\}$. Then F is closed in G and by Lemma 5.1 it is easy to see that

$$\dim_H F \leq n \left(\frac{K}{m_K} \right)^{1/(n-1)} = 1 < n.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 5.2 and Lemma 5.6 the set $B_f \setminus F = \{x \in B_f \mid i(x, f) < m_K\}$ can be covered by countably many normal neighborhoods U such that $\dim_H(f(U \cap B_f)) \leq c'' < n$, where the constant c'' depends only on n , K and m_K . This proves the theorem.

References

- [1] BEARDON, A. F.: On the Hausdorff dimension of general Cantor sets. - Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 61, 1965, 679–694.
- [2] EGGLESTON, H. G.: Sets of fractional dimensions which occur in some problems of number theory. - Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) 54, 1951, 42–93.
- [3] GEHRING, F. W., and J. VÄISÄLÄ: Hausdorff dimension and quasiconformal mappings. - J. London Math. Soc. (2) 6, 1973, 504–512.
- [4] MARTIO, O.: A capacity inequality for quasiregular mappings. - Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I 474, 1970, 1–17.
- [5] MARTIO, O. and S. RICKMAN: Measure properties of the branch set and its image of quasiregular mappings. - Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I 541, 1973, 1–15.
- [6] MARTIO, O., S. RICKMAN, and J. VÄISÄLÄ: Definitions for quasiregular mappings. - Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I 448, 1969, 1–40.
- [7] — — Distortion and singularities of quasiregular mappings. - Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I 465, 1970, 1–12.
- [8] РЕШЕТНЯК, Ю. Г.: Отображения с ограниченным искажением как экстремали интегралов типа Дирихле. - Sibirsk. Mat. Ž. 9, 1968, 652–666. Translation: Mappings with bounded deformation as extremals of Dirichlet type integrals. - Siberian Math. J. 9, 1968, 487–498.
- [9] — — О множестве точек ветвления отображений с ограниченным искажением. - Sibirsk. Mat. Ž. 11, 1970, 1333–1339. Translation: On the set of branch points of mappings with bounded distortion. - Siberian Math. J. 11, 1970, 982–986.
- [10] VÄISÄLÄ, J.: Lectures on n -dimensional quasiconformal mappings. - Lecture Notes in Mathematics 229, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York, 1971.

University of Helsinki
Department of Mathematics
SF-00100 Helsinki 10
Finland

Received 23 May 1975