Annales Academiæ Scientiarum Fennicæ Series A. I. Mathematica Volumen 2, 1976, 553-564 Commentationes in honorem Rolf Nevanlinna LXXX annos nato

# ON CONTRACTIONS SIMILAR TO ISOMETRIES AND TOEPLITZ OPERATORS

### BÉLA SZ.-NAGY and CIPRIAN FOIAS

### 1. Preliminaries and introduction

1. The (unitarily equivalent) canonical model of a completely nonunitary contraction T on a (separable, complex) Hilbert space is the operator  $S(\Theta)$  on the space  $\mathfrak{H}(\Theta)$ , associated with a purely contractive analytic function { $\mathfrak{G}_*, \mathfrak{G}_*, \Theta(\lambda)$ }<sup>1</sup> in the following manner<sup>2</sup>

(1.1) 
$$\mathfrak{H}(\Theta) = \mathfrak{R}(\Theta) \ominus \{ \Theta \ w \oplus \varDelta \ w : w \in H^2_{\mathfrak{K}} \},$$

where

(1.2) 
$$\Re(\Theta) = H^2_{\mathfrak{F}_*} \oplus \overline{\Delta L^2_{\mathfrak{F}}}, \quad \Delta(e^{it}) = [I - \Theta(e^{it})^* \Theta(e^{it})]^{1/2},$$

and

(1.3) 
$$S(\Theta) (u \oplus v) = P_{\mathfrak{H}(\Theta)} (\chi u \oplus \chi v), \quad u \oplus v \in \mathfrak{H}(\Theta),$$

 $\chi$  denoting the function  $\chi(\lambda) \equiv \lambda$ ; cf. [4], Chapter VI.

We have  $\mathfrak{H}(\Theta) = \{0\}$  if and only if both  $\mathfrak{E}$  and  $\mathfrak{E}_*$  are zero (i.e. equal  $\{0\}$ ); cf. [4], Proposition VI.3.2. On the other hand,  $\mathfrak{H}(\Theta) = \mathfrak{H}(\Theta)$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> We denote by  $\{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \boldsymbol{\Phi}(\lambda)\}$  an analytic function on the unit disc, whose values are operators from the Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{A}$  into the Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{B}$ , both spaces being supposed complex and separable. This function is *bounded* if  $||\boldsymbol{\Phi}(\cdot)||_{\infty} =$  $\sup_{\lambda} ||\boldsymbol{\Phi}(\lambda)||$  is finite; it is *contractive* if  $||\boldsymbol{\Phi}(\lambda)|| \leq 1$ , and *purely* contractive if, moreover,  $||\boldsymbol{\Phi}(0) a|| < ||a||$  for all  $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ ,  $a \neq 0$ . For a bounded analytic function the radial limits  $\boldsymbol{\Phi}(e^{it}) = \lim \boldsymbol{\Phi}(r e^{it})$  ( $r \to 1-0$ ) exist in the strong sense, almost everywhere on the unit circle.

 $<sup>{}^{2}</sup>L_{\mathfrak{G}}^{2}$  denotes the Hilbert space of  $\mathfrak{G}$ -vector valued, norm-square integrable functions on the unit circle, with respect to normalized Lebesgue measure.  $H_{\mathfrak{G}}^{2}$  is its subspace of functions  $u(e^{it}) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} e^{ikt}$ ; these are radial limits a.e. of the corresponding analytic functions  $u(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} \lambda^{k}$  in the unit disc.

if and only if  $\Theta w \oplus \Delta w = 0$ , i.e. w = 0 for all  $w \in H^2_{\mathfrak{S}}$ , that is, if  $\mathfrak{S}$  is zero. Thus the inequalities  $0 \neq \mathfrak{H}(\Theta) \neq \mathfrak{K}(\Theta)$  simultaneously hold if and only if

$$(1.4)$$
 (b)  $(1.4)$ 

We shall assume (1.4) in the sequel.

The assumption that  $\Theta(\lambda)$  be *purely* contractive has the effect that the operator  $V(\Theta)$  defined on  $\Re(\Theta)$  by

(1.5) 
$$V(\Theta) (u \oplus v) = \chi u \oplus \chi v, \quad u \oplus v \in \Re(\Theta),$$

is the *minimal* isometric dilation of  $S(\Theta)$ .

Note that (1.2) is just the Wold decomposition of the space  $\Re(\Theta)$  generated by the isometry  $V(\Theta)$ , that is,

(1.6) 
$$\bigcap_{n \ge 0} V(\Theta)^n \, \Re(\Theta) = \{0\} \oplus \overline{\varDelta \, L^2_{\mathfrak{F}}} \, .$$

2. In any space  $L^2$  of scalar or vector valued functions u on the unit circle we denote by

 $[u]_+$  and  $[u]_-$ 

the orthogonal projections of u to the subspaces

$$H^2$$
 and  $L^2 \ominus H^2$ ,

respectively.

With a bounded analytic function  $\{\mathfrak{A},\mathfrak{B},\varPhi(\lambda)\}$  we associate the operator

$$T(\Phi): H^2_{\mathfrak{A}} \to H^2_{\mathfrak{B}}$$

defined by

$$(T(\Phi) u)(e^{it}) = [\Phi(e^{-it}) u(e^{it})]_+;$$

such operators are also called co-analytic Toeplitz operators.

Observe that if W is the canonical unitary transformation  $W: H^2 \rightarrow L^2 \ominus H^2$  defined by

$$W: u(e^{it}) \mapsto e^{-it} u(e^{-it}) \quad (u \in H^2),$$

then the transformed operator

(1.7) 
$$T^{\wedge}(\Phi) = W_{\mathfrak{B}} T(\Phi) W_{\mathfrak{A}}^{-1} \colon L^{2}_{\mathfrak{A}} \ominus H^{2}_{\mathfrak{B}} \to L^{2}_{\mathfrak{B}} \ominus H^{2}_{\mathfrak{B}}$$

(1.8) 
$$(T^{\wedge}(\Phi) \varphi)(e^{it}) = [\Phi(e^{it}) \varphi(e^{it})]_{-} \quad (\varphi \in L^{2}_{\mathfrak{A}} \ominus H^{2}_{\mathfrak{A}}).$$

3. The principal aim of this paper is to derive a condition for an operator valued contractive analytic function  $\{\mathfrak{G}, \mathfrak{G}_*, \Theta(\lambda)\}$  in the unit disc to admit a "left-inverse", i.e. an operator valued bounded analytic function  $\{\mathfrak{G}_*, \mathfrak{G}, D(\lambda)\}$  such that

$$D(\lambda) \Theta(\lambda) = I_{(\mathfrak{F})},$$

and estimates for  $||D(\cdot)||_{\infty}$ . This condition will involve the operator  $T(\Theta)$ , or equivalently, its unitary transform  $T^{\uparrow}(\Theta)$ .

The theorem obtained reduces in the particular case when  $\Theta(\lambda)$  is a finite column vector over  $H^{\infty}$  to a recent result of Arveson [1], but it gives even in this case better estimates.

## 2. A general condition implying similarity

Proposition 1. Suppose T is a contraction on a Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{H}' \oplus \mathfrak{H}''$  such that

(i) the subspace  $\mathfrak{H}'$  is invariant for T and  $T|\mathfrak{H}'$  is isometric,

(ii)  $\inf \{ \lim_{n \to \infty} ||T^n h|| : h \in \mathfrak{H}'', ||h|| = 1 \} = \eta > 0.$ 

Then there exists an invertible operator X from  $\mathfrak{H}$  onto some Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{L}$  such that  $X T X^{-1}$  is an isometry on  $\mathfrak{L}$  and

(2.1) 
$$||X|| ||X^{-1}|| \leq 1/\eta$$
.

*Proof.* Let  $A = (\lim_{n\to\infty} T^{*n} T^n)^{1/2}$ ; the strong limit exists because T is a contraction. We have  $T^* A^2 T = A^2$ , and therefore ||A T h|| = ||A h|| for all  $h \in \mathfrak{H}$ . Thus there exists an isometry Z on  $\mathfrak{L} = \overline{A \mathfrak{H}}$  such that

$$(2.2) A T = Z A .$$

For  $h' \in \mathfrak{H}'$  we have, by (i),  $||T^n h'|| = ||h'||$  (n = 0, 1, ...). Hence,

$$||A h'||^2 = (A^2 h', h') = \lim_{n} ||T^n h'||^2 = ||h'||^2.$$

As  $0 \leq A \leq I$ , equality ||A h'|| = ||h'|| implies A h' = h'. Therefore  $\mathfrak{H}'$  is invariant for A, and hence so is  $\mathfrak{H}''$ : the decomposition  $\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{H}' \oplus \mathfrak{H}''$  is reducing for A. Clearly,

$$\eta = \inf \{ \|A h''\| : h'' \in \mathfrak{H}'', \|h''\| = 1 \}.$$

Because  $||A(h' + h'')||^2 = ||h' + Ah''||^2 = ||h'||^2 + ||Ah''||^2$  and  $0 \le \eta \le 1$ , we infer that

$$(2.3) ||A h|| \ge \eta ||h|| for all h \in \mathfrak{H}.$$

Denote by X the operator  $X: \mathfrak{H} \to \mathfrak{L}$  ( $=\overline{A \mathfrak{H}}$ ) induced by A. Then, by (2.2),  $X T X^{-1} = Z$ . Moreover,  $||X|| \leq 1$ , and by (2.3),  $||X^{-1}|| \leq 1/\eta$ . Thus (2.1) holds true.

# 3. A connection between the operators $S(\Theta)$ and $T(\Theta)$

Consider the operator  $S(\Theta)$  generated by a purely contractive analytic function  $\{\mathfrak{E}, \mathfrak{E}_*, \Theta(\lambda)\}$  as in 1.1, that is, with non-zero  $\mathfrak{E}$ .

First observe that the linear manifold

and its closure  $\mathfrak{H}''(\Theta)$  are contained in  $\mathfrak{H}(\Theta)$ . Indeed,  $\mathfrak{H}''_{(\Theta)}(\Theta)$  is orthogonal to any vector of the form  $\Theta w \oplus \varDelta w$  ( $w \in H^2_{(\mathfrak{K})}$ ), because

$$\begin{array}{rcl} ([\varTheta \varphi]_+ \,,\, \varTheta w) \,+\, (\varDelta \,\varphi \,,\, \varDelta \,w) &=& (\varTheta \varphi \,,\, \varTheta \,w) \,+\, (\varDelta \,\varphi \,,\, \varDelta \,w) \\ &=& ((\varTheta^* \,\varTheta \,+\, \varDelta^2) \,\varphi \,,\, w) \,=& (\varphi \,,\, w) \,=\, 0 \;. \end{array}$$

Let  $\mathfrak{H}'(\Theta) = \mathfrak{H}(\Theta) \ominus \mathfrak{H}''(\Theta)$ . Clearly, we have:

$$u \oplus v \in \mathfrak{H}'(\Theta) \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} u \in H^2_{\mathfrak{S}_*}, \ v \in \overline{\Delta L^2_{\mathfrak{S}}}, \ \Theta^* u + \Delta v \in L^2_{\mathfrak{S}} \ominus H^2_{\mathfrak{S}}, \\ 0 = (u \oplus v, \ [\Theta \varphi]_+ \oplus \Delta \varphi) \\ = (\Theta^* u + \Delta v, \varphi) \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in L^2_{\mathfrak{S}} \ominus H^2_{\mathfrak{S}} \end{cases}$$

and therefore,

(3.2) 
$$\mathfrak{H}'(\Theta) = \{ u \oplus v \in \mathfrak{H}(\Theta) : \Theta^* u + \varDelta v = 0 \}.$$

It follows that if  $u \oplus v \in \mathfrak{H}'(\Theta)$  then  $\chi u \oplus \chi v \in \mathfrak{H}'(\Theta)$ , and hence,  $\mathfrak{H}'(\Theta)$  is invariant for  $S(\Theta)$ ; moreover,  $S(\Theta) \mid \mathfrak{H}'(\Theta)$  is an isometry, namely multiplication by  $\chi$ .

A straightforward computation shows that for any  $u \oplus v \in \Re(\Theta)$ (cf. (1.2)) its projection to  $\Re(\Theta) \oplus \mathfrak{H}(\Theta)$  equals

$$\Theta w \oplus \varDelta w$$
, where  $w = [x]_+$ ,  $x = \Theta^* u + \varDelta v$ 

Therefore,

$$\|P_{\mathfrak{H}(\Theta)}(u \oplus v)\|^2 = \|u \oplus v\|^2 - \|\Theta w \oplus \varDelta w\|^2 = \|u \oplus v\|^2 - \|w\|^2.$$

Apply this to  $\chi^n u \oplus \chi^n v$  (n = 0, 1, 2, ...) as well, and obtain

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\|P_{\mathfrak{H}(\Theta)}\left(\chi^n\,u\,\oplus\,\chi^n\,v\right)\|^2\ =\ \|u\,\oplus\,v\|^2\ -\ \lim_{n\to\infty}\|[\chi^n\,x]_+\|^2\,;$$

the last limit obviously equals  $||x||^2$  . Thus we have for  $u \oplus v \in \mathfrak{H}(\Theta)$  :

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|S(\Theta)^n (u \oplus v)\|^2 = \|u \oplus v\|^2 - \|\Theta^* u + \Delta v\|^2.$$

Let, in particular,  $h = u \oplus v \in \mathfrak{H}_0^{''}(\Theta)$ , say

$$h = [\Theta \varphi]_+ \oplus \varDelta \varphi, \quad \varphi \in L^2_{\mathfrak{F}} \ominus H^2_{\mathfrak{F}},$$

then  $\Theta^* [\Theta \varphi]_+ \oplus \Delta \Delta \varphi = (\Theta^* \Theta + \Delta^2) \varphi - \Theta^* [\Theta \varphi]_- = \varphi - \Theta^* [\Theta \varphi]_-;$ hence,

(3.3) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} ||S(\Theta)^n h||^2 = ||h||^2 - ||\varphi - \Theta^* [\Theta \varphi]_-||^2$$
$$= ||h||^2 - ||\varphi - B \varphi||^2,$$

where B denotes the operator on  $L^2_{\mathfrak{G}} \ominus H^2_{\mathfrak{G}}$  defined by

 $B \varphi = \Theta^* [\Theta \varphi]_-.$ 

B is selfadjoint and  $0 \leq B \leq I$ ; indeed, we have

$$(3.4) \quad (B \varphi, \varphi) = ([\Theta \varphi]_{-}, \Theta \varphi) = ([\Theta \varphi]_{-}, [\Theta \varphi]_{-}) = ||T^{\wedge}(\Theta) \varphi||^{2},$$

where  $T^{(\Theta)}$  is the transformed Toeplitz operator defined by (1.8). We have

$$(3.5) ||h||^2 = ||[\Theta \varphi]_+||^2 + ||\Delta \varphi||^2 = (||\Theta \varphi||^2 - ||[\Theta \varphi]_-||^2) + ||\Delta \varphi||^2 = ||\varphi||^2 - ||[\Theta \varphi]_-||^2 = ||\varphi||^2 - (B \varphi, \varphi) = ||C \varphi||^2,$$

where  $C = (I - B)^{1/2}$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} (3.6) \quad \|h\|^2 - \|\varphi - B\varphi\|^2 &= \|\varphi\|^2 - (B\varphi, \varphi) - \|\varphi\|^2 + 2 (B\varphi, \varphi) - \|B\varphi\|^2 \\ &= (B\varphi, \varphi) - (B\varphi, B\varphi) = (BC\varphi, C\varphi). \end{aligned}$$

From (3.3), (3.5) and (3.6) we infer that the infima

$$\inf_{h} \left\{ \lim_{n \to \infty} \|S(\Theta)^n h\| : h \in \mathfrak{H}_0^{''}(\Theta) , \|h\| = 1 \right\}$$

and

$$\inf_{\psi} \left\{ \left( B \ \psi \ , \psi \right) : \psi \in \text{range } C \ , \ ||\psi|| \ = \ 1 \ \right\}$$

are equal. They remain, by continuity, unchanged and therefore equal to each other if we allow h and  $\psi$  to run over all unit vectors in  $\mathfrak{H}'(\Theta)$  and in the closure  $\mathfrak{H}(C)$  of the range of C, respectively. Now  $\mathfrak{H}(C)$  is obviously reducing B and for  $\psi$  in the orthogonal complement of  $\mathfrak{H}(C)$  we have  $C \psi = 0$ ,  $B \psi = \psi$ ,  $(B \psi, \psi) = ||\psi||^2$ . Hence we infer that the second infimum does not change even if we allow  $\psi$  to run over all unit vectors in  $L^2_{\mathfrak{K}} \ominus H^2_{\mathfrak{K}}$ . Recalling (3.4) and observing that the Toeplitz operator  $T(\Theta)$  clearly has the same lower bound as its unitary transform  $T^{\uparrow}(\Theta)$ , on the respective unit spheres, we conclude:

Proposition 2. For any purely contractive analytic  $\{\mathfrak{G}, \mathfrak{G}_*, \mathfrak{O}(\lambda)\}$ the decomposition  $\mathfrak{H}(\Theta) = \mathfrak{H}'(\Theta) \oplus \mathfrak{H}''(\Theta)$  of the space  $\mathfrak{H}(\Theta)$  defined by (3.1) and (3.2) is such that

- (i)  $S(\Theta) \mid \mathfrak{H}'(\Theta)$  is an isometry on  $\mathfrak{H}'(\Theta)$ ,
- (ii) The infima

$$\inf_{h} \left\{ \lim_{n \to \infty} \|S(\Theta)^n h\| : h \in \mathfrak{H}''(\Theta) , \|h\| = 1 \right\}$$

and

$$\inf \left\{ \ \|T( { { O } } ) \ u \| : \ u \in H^2_{ {\mathfrak S}} ext{ , } \ \|u\| = 1 
ight\}$$

are equal to the same value  $\eta = \eta(\Theta)$ .

### 4. Similarity of $S(\Theta)$ to an isometry

In case the quantity  $\eta = \eta(\Theta)$  defined in Proposition 2 is non-zero we can apply Proposition 1 and conclude that  $S(\Theta)$  is similar to some isometry Z on a space  $\mathfrak{L}$ , i.e. there exist operators

$$X: \mathfrak{H}(\Theta) \to \mathfrak{L}, \quad X': \mathfrak{L} \to \mathfrak{H}(\Theta)$$

such that

(4.1) 
$$Z X = X S(\Theta), \quad S(\Theta) X' = X' Z, \quad X' = X^{-1}$$

and moreover,

(4.2) 
$$||X'|| ||X|| \leq 1/\eta$$
.

Now the following is true:

**Proposition 3.** From (4.1), Z an isometry, it follows that there exists a bounded analytic function  $\{\mathfrak{C}_*, \mathfrak{C}, D(\lambda)\}$  such that

$$D(\lambda) \Theta(\lambda) = I_{0}, \quad ||D(\cdot)||_{\infty} \leq ||X'|| ||X||.$$

*Proof.* The existence of a bounded analytic  $D(\lambda)$  with the property  $D(\lambda) \Theta(\lambda) = I$  is proved in Theorem 2.4 of [5], and an estimate for  $||D(\cdot)||_{\infty}$  can also be deduced from the proof of that theorem. For convenience, we give a direct and complete proof.

This proof is based upon the "commutant lifting theorem" of [3]; see also [4], Sec.II.2.3. Since Z is its own minimal isometric dilation this theorem asserts in this case that there exist operators

 $Y: \ \Re(\mathcal{O}) \to \mathfrak{Q} , \qquad Y': \ \mathfrak{Q} \to \Re(\mathcal{O})$ 

such that (using the notations of Sec. 1.1):

(4.4) 
$$Y P_{\mathfrak{H}(\Theta)^{\perp}} = 0, ^{3}$$

(4.5) 
$$X = Y | \mathfrak{H}(\Theta), \quad X' = P_{\mathfrak{H}(\Theta)} Y',$$

and

(4.6) 
$$||Y|| = ||X||, ||Y'|| = ||X'||.$$

Moreover,  $X' X = I_{\mathfrak{H}(\Theta)}$  implies by (4.5):

$$P_{\mathfrak{H}(\Theta)} Y' Y \mid \mathfrak{H}(\Theta) = I_{\mathfrak{H}(\Theta)};$$

on account of (4.4) this is equivalent to the condition

(4.7) 
$$P_{\mathfrak{H}(\Theta)}(I - Y'Y)k = 0 \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathfrak{H}(\Theta).$$

From (4.4) and (4.7) it easily follows that the operator

$$(4.8) F = I - Y' Y$$

satisfies the conditions

(4.9) 
$$F^2 = F$$
 and  $F \Re(\Theta) = \mathfrak{H}(\Theta)^{\perp}$ .

Thus F is a (bounded) parallel projection of  $\Re(\Theta)$  onto  $\Re(\Theta)^{\perp}$ .

Observe that

 $\omega: w \mapsto \Theta w \oplus \varDelta w$  ( $w \in H^2_{\mathfrak{F}}$ )

is a unitary operator  $\omega : H^2_{\mathfrak{F}} \to \mathfrak{H}(\Theta)^{\perp}$ , which commutes with multiplication by the scalar function  $\chi$ . As (4.3) implies  $F V(\Theta) = V(\Theta) F$ , the operator F also commutes with multiplication by  $\chi$ . As a consequence we have

$$\begin{split} F & \bigcap_{n \ge 0} V(\Theta)^n \, \Re(\Theta) \quad \subset \bigcap_{n \ge 0} V(\Theta)^n \, F \, \Re(\Theta) \ = \bigcap_{n \ge 0} V(\Theta)^n \, \mathfrak{H}(\Theta)^{\perp} \ = \bigcap_{n \ge 0} \chi^n \cdot \omega \, H^2_{\mathfrak{G}} \\ &= \omega \bigcap_{n \ge 0} \chi^n \, H^2_{\mathfrak{G}} \ = \omega \, \{0\} \ = \ \{0\} \ , \end{split}$$

thus by (1.6)

$$F(0 \oplus v) = 0$$
 for any  $v \in \varDelta L^{z}_{\mathfrak{S}}$ .

0

Combining this with (4.9) we get in particular

$${}^3 \ {\mathfrak H}({\boldsymbol \Theta})^{\scriptscriptstyle \perp} \ = \ {\mathfrak H}({\boldsymbol \Theta}) \ \ominus \ {\mathfrak H}({\boldsymbol \Theta}) \ = \ \left\{ \ {\boldsymbol \Theta} \ w \ \oplus \ \varDelta \ w : \ w \in H^2_{\left( {\mathfrak H} \right)} \ \right\} \ ; \ \text{cf. (1.1)}.$$

 $(4.10) \quad \Theta \ u \oplus \varDelta \ u = F (\Theta \ u \oplus \varDelta \ u)$  $= F (\Theta \ u \oplus 0) + F (0 \oplus \varDelta \ u) = F (\Theta \ u \oplus 0)$ 

for any  $u \in H^2_{(\mathfrak{H})}$ .

Applying (4.9) again, we see that for every  $k \in \Re(\Theta)$  there exists a unique  $w \in H^2_{\mathfrak{S}}$  such that  $F k = \omega w$ . Choosing in particular  $k = u_* \oplus 0$ ,  $u_* \in H^2_{\mathfrak{S}_*}$ , equation

$$(4.11) F(u_* \oplus 0) = \omega \cdot D u_*$$

defines an operator  $D: H^2_{\mathfrak{G}_*} \to H^2_{\mathfrak{G}}$ ; clearly

$$(4.12) ||D|| \leq ||F||.$$

For F, the inequality  $||F|| \leq 1 + ||Y'|| ||Y||$  is immediate from the definition (4.8). But we have even

$$(4.13) ||F|| = ||I - F||,$$

and hence the inequality <sup>4</sup>

$$(4.14) ||F|| \leq ||Y'|| ||Y||.$$

Indeed, (4.13) holds for any (bounded) parallel projection of a Hilbert space onto a non-trivial subspace. This follows, namely, from the relation <sup>5</sup>

$$||F||^{-2} = 1 - \sup |(h, g)|^2$$

where h, g run over the sets of unit vectors satisfying (I - F)h = 0and Fg = 0, respectively, and from the symmetry of this relation in F and I - F. Note that in the case under consideration F projects indeed to a *non-trivial* subspace of  $\Re(\Theta)$ , because our assumption  $\mathfrak{E} \neq \{0\}$ assures that  $\{0\} \neq \mathfrak{H}(\Theta) \neq \mathfrak{H}(\Theta)$ .

Thus, taking account of (4.6) and (4.12), we have

$$(4.15) ||D|| \leq ||X'|| \, ||X|| \, .$$

Next observe that as F and  $\omega$  commute with multiplication by  $\chi$  so does D too. Hence it follows (cf. Lemma V.3.1 in [4]) that D itself is a multiplication operator, viz.

$$(D u_*) (\lambda) = D(\lambda) u_*(\lambda),$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The authors are indebted for this ingenious and useful remark to Professor T. Ando from Sapporo (Japan), presently visiting Szeged (Hungary).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> This formula for F is due to V. È. Ljance and is reproduced in the book of I. C. Gohberg and M. G. Krein [2], VI.5.4.

where  $\{\mathfrak{G}_*, \mathfrak{G}, D(\lambda)\}\$  is a bounded analytic function,

$$(4.16) ||D(\cdot)||_{\infty} = ||D||.$$

On account of definition (4.11) of D we have in particular  $F(\Theta u \oplus 0) = \omega \cdot D \Theta u$  for any  $u \in H^2_{\mathfrak{S}}$ , while (4.10) means  $F(\Theta u \oplus 0) = \omega \cdot u$ . Therefore,  $u = D \Theta u$ , and hence

$$D(\lambda) \Theta(\lambda) = I_{\mathfrak{S}}.$$

Recalling (4.15) and (4.16) the proof of Proposition 3 is done.

#### 5. Conclusions

Combining Propositions 1-3 we conclude that if  $\{\mathfrak{E}, \mathfrak{E}_*, \Theta(\lambda)\}$  is a purely contractive analytic function for which <sup>6</sup>

(5.1) 
$$\inf \{ \|T(\Theta) u\| : u \in H^2_{(\mathfrak{H})}, \|u\| = 1 \} = \eta > 0,$$

then there exists an analytic function  $\{\mathfrak{G}_*,\mathfrak{G},D(\lambda)\}$  such that

(5.2) 
$$D(\lambda) \Theta(\lambda) = I_{(\mathfrak{H})} \quad and \quad ||D(\cdot)||_{\infty} \leq 1/\eta.$$

Now it is easy to get rid of the restriction "purely contractive". Indeed, every contractive analytic function  $\{\mathfrak{E}, \mathfrak{E}_*, \mathcal{O}(\lambda)\}$  is, according to Proposition V.2.1 of [4], direct sum of a purely contractive analytic function  $\{\mathfrak{E}^0, \mathfrak{E}^0_*, \mathfrak{O}^0(\lambda)\}$  and of a unitary valued constant function  $\{\mathfrak{E}', \mathfrak{E}'_*, \mathfrak{O}'\}$  $(\mathfrak{E} = \mathfrak{E}^0 \oplus \mathfrak{E}', \mathfrak{E}_* = \mathfrak{E}^0_* \oplus \mathfrak{E}'_*)$ . Hence it follows for any  $u = u^0 \oplus u' \in H^2_{\mathfrak{E}}$  with components  $u^0 \in H^2_{\mathfrak{E}^0}$ ,  $u' \in H^2_{\mathfrak{E}'}$  that

$$T(\Theta) \ u \ = \ T(\Theta^0) \ u^0 \oplus \ \Theta' \ u' \ , \quad \|T(\Theta) \ u\|^2 \ = \ \|T(\Theta^0) \ u^0\|^2 \ + \ \|u'\|^2 \ .$$

In the case the first component is missing, but (5.1) is fulfilled, then, necessarily,  $\mathfrak{E}' \neq \{0\}$  and  $\eta = 1$ , and a trivial solution for  $D(\lambda)$  in (5.2) is the constant function  $\{\mathfrak{E}'_*, \mathfrak{E}', \Theta'^*\}$ . If both components are present then  $\eta$  equals the analogous quantity  $\eta^0$  formed for  $\Theta^0$  (because  $\eta^0 \leq 1$ ) and hence (5.1) implies the existence of an analytic function  $\{\mathfrak{E}^0_*, \mathfrak{E}^0, D^0(\lambda)\}$  satisfying  $D^0(\lambda) \Theta^0(\lambda) = I_{\mathfrak{E}^0}, ||D^0(\cdot)||_{\infty} \leq 1/\eta^0 = 1/\eta$ . Setting  $D(\lambda) = D^0(\lambda) \oplus \Theta'^*$  we get a solution for (5.2).

So we can formulate our main result:

Theorem. If the contractive analytic function  $\{\mathfrak{G},\mathfrak{G}_*,\mathfrak{O}(\lambda)\}$  is such that

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> This condition obviously implies  $\mathfrak{G} \neq \{0\}$ .

(\*) 
$$||T(\Theta) u|| \ge \eta ||u||$$
 for an  $\eta > 0$  and all  $u \in H^2_{\mathfrak{F}}$ ,

then there exists an analytic function  $\{\mathfrak{S}_*,\mathfrak{S},\mathfrak{D}(\lambda)\}$  such that

$$D(\lambda) \ \Theta(\lambda) \ = \ I_{\mathfrak{F}} \ for \ |\lambda| < 1 \ , \ and \ \|D(\cdot)\|_{\infty} \ \leq \ 1/\eta \ .$$

R e m a r k 1. In the special case of a function  $\{E^1, E^N, \Theta(\lambda)\}$ , where

$$\Theta(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} \vartheta_1(\lambda) \\ \vdots \\ \vartheta_N(\lambda) \end{bmatrix},$$

the theorem can be given the following from: If

$$\sum\limits_{k=1}^{N} |artheta_k(\lambda)|^2 ~\leq~ 1 ~~ for ~~ |\lambda| < 1 ~,$$

and

$$\sum\limits_{k=1}^N \|T(artheta_k) \ u\|^2 \ \ge \ \eta^2 \ \|u\|^2 \quad ext{ for an } \eta > 0 \ ext{ and all } u \in H^2 \ ,$$

then there exist  $d_k \in H^\infty$  ( k = 1, ..., N ) such that, for  $|\lambda| < 1$ ,

(5.3) 
$$\sum_{k=1}^{N} d_k(\lambda) \,\vartheta_k(\lambda) = 1 \quad and \quad |d_k(\lambda)| \leq 1/\eta \quad (k = 1, ..., N).$$

Observe that if

(5.4) 
$$f_k \in H^{\infty}, \ \|f_k\|_{\infty} \leq 1 \quad (k = 1, ..., N)$$

and

(5.5) 
$$\sum_{k=1}^{N} ||T(f_k) u||^2 \ge \varepsilon^2 ||u||^2$$
 for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  and all  $u \in H^2$ ,

then the functions  $\vartheta_k(\lambda) = f_k(\lambda) / \sqrt{N}$  (k = 1, ..., N) satisfy the above requirements, with  $\eta = \varepsilon / \sqrt{N}$ . Hence there exist functions  $d_k(\lambda)$  as in (5.3), and therefore the functions  $g_k(\lambda) = d_k(\lambda) / \sqrt{N}$  satisfy

$$(5.6) \qquad \sum_{k=1}^N g_k(\lambda) \, f_k(\lambda) \ = \ 1 \qquad \text{and} \qquad ||g_k||_\infty \ \le \ 1/\varepsilon \ ( \ k \ = \ 1, \ \ldots, \ N \ ) \ .$$

The fact that assumptions (5.4) and (5.5) imply the existence of  $g_k \in H^{\infty}$  satisfying (5.6) was also proved by Arveson [1], Theorem 6.3, however with the estimate  $||g_k||_{\infty} \leq 4 N \varepsilon^{-3}$  only.

R e m a r k 2. The functions  $k_{\mu}(\lambda) = (1 - \mu \lambda)^{-1}$  ( $|\mu| < 1$ ) span the space  $H^2$  and therefore the functions  $k_{\mu}(\lambda) a$  ( $|\mu| < 1$ ,  $a \in \mathfrak{E}$ ) span  $H^2_{\mathfrak{E}}$ . Thus (\*) holds for every  $u \in H^2_{\mathfrak{E}}$  if and only if it holds for the finite linear combinations of these functions. Now observe that

$$(T(\Theta) \ k_{\mu} \ a)(e^{it}) \ = \ [\Theta(e^{-it}) \ k_{\mu}(e^{it}) \ a]_{+} \ = \ k_{\mu}(e^{it}) \ \Theta(\mu) \ a$$

and that  $(k_{\mu}, k_{\nu}) = (1 - \overline{\nu} \mu)^{-1}$  ( $|\mu| < 1$ ,  $|\nu| < 1$ ). Thus we infer that condition (\*) is equivalent to the condition that the kernel

$$K(\mu \ , \ v) \ = \ (1 \ - \ ar{
u} \ \mu)^{-1} \ ( artheta( 
u ) st \ artheta( 
u ) \ - \ \eta^2 \ I) \qquad ( \ |\mu| < 1 \ , \ |
u| < 1 \ )$$

be positive definite, i.e.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N}(K(\mu_{i},\mu_{j}) a_{j}, a_{i}) \geq 0$$

for any finite set of points  $\mu_i$  in the unit disc and vectors  $a_i$  in E.

R e m a r k 3. Our Theorem has a rather immediate converse. Indeed if there exists an analytic function  $D(\lambda)$  such that

$$D(\lambda) \Theta(\lambda) = I_{\mathfrak{G}}$$
 and  $\|D(\cdot)\|_{\infty} = 1/\eta < \infty$ 

then we have for  $u \in H^2_{\mathfrak{K}}$ 

$$u = [u]_{+} = [D(e^{-it}) \Theta(e^{-it}) u(e^{it})]_{+} = [D(e^{-it}) [\Theta(e^{-it}) u(e^{it})]_{+}]_{+},$$

and hence

$$\eta^2 ||u||^2 \leq \eta^2 \int_0^{2\pi} ||D(e^{-it}) [\Theta(e^{-it}) u(e^{it})]_+||^2 \frac{dt}{2\pi} \leq ||T(\Theta) u||^2.$$

Remark 4. From Propositions 1-3 and Remark 3 it readily results the equality of the infima

inf { 
$$||X^{-1}|| ||X|| : X^{-1} S(\Theta) X$$
 is an isometry }

and

$$\inf \{ \|D(\cdot)\|_{\infty} : D(\lambda) \Theta(\lambda) = I_{\mathfrak{S}} \}.$$

#### References

- ARVESON, W.: Interpolation problems in nest algebras. J. Functional Analysis 20, 1975, 208-233.
- [2] GOHBERG, I. C., and M. G. KREIN [И. Ц. Гохберг and М. Г. Крейн]: Введение в теорию линейных несамосопряженных операторов. - Издательство "Наука", Москва, 1965. Translation: Introduction to the theory of linear nonselfadjoint operators. - Translations of Mathematical Monographs 18. American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 1969.
- [3] SZ.-NAGV, B., and C. FOIAS: Dilatation des commutants d'opérateurs. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris. Sér. A-B 266, 1968, A493-A495.

- [4] SZ.-NAGY, B., and C. FOIAS; Harmonic analysis of operators on Hilbert space. -North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam-London / Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, 1970.

Bolyai Institute Aradi vértanuk tere 1 H-6720 Szeged Hungary Facultatea de Matematica Str. Academiei 14 Bucharest Romania

Received 5 September 1975

" 
$$(\delta)_0 = B' A_* + C^{-1} B = -\hat{A}_1 D$$
".

Page 231: 8th row from below, insert "B' = 0".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> We use this opportunity to correct some deficiencies of the paper [5]. Page 230: at the end of the 9th row change " $\hat{\Theta}_1$ " for " $\hat{\varDelta}_1$ ". Page 231: insert between the 12th and 13th rows:

Page 254: papers [6]-[8] in the References have the authors "B. Sz.-Nagy-C. Foias".