

## ON IDEMPOTENTS AND GREEN RELATIONS IN THE ALGEBRAS OF MANY-PLACED FUNCTIONS

J. HENNO

Let  $I$  be a non-void set of natural numbers. The union  $A_I = \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$  of mutually disjoint non-void sets  $A_i$  is called Menger system [6] if for every  $a_1, \dots, a_m \in A_n$ ,  $b \in A_m$ ,  $n, m \in I$  the element  $a_1 \dots a_m b \in A_n$  is uniquely defined and the superassociativity law

$$(1) \quad a_1 \dots a_m (b_1 \dots b_k c) = (a_1 \dots a_m b_1) \dots (a_1 \dots a_m b_k) c$$

holds for all  $a_1, \dots, a_m \in A_n$ ,  $b_1, \dots, b_k \in A_m$ ,  $c \in A_k$ ,  $n, m, k \in I$ .

Denote by  $\varphi_n(M)$  the set of all  $n$ -place functions on the set  $M$ . Define for every  $f_1, \dots, f_m \in \varphi_n(M)$ ,  $g \in \varphi_m(M)$  the function  $f_1 \dots f_m g \in \varphi_n(M)$  by

$$(2) \quad x_1 \dots x_n (f_1 \dots f_m g) = (x_1 \dots x_n f_1) \dots (x_1 \dots x_n f_m) g, \quad x_1, \dots, x_n \in M.$$

For an operation so defined the identity (1) holds, therefore the set  $\varphi_I(M) = \bigcup_{i \in I} \varphi_i(M)$  is a Menger system, called a *full function system* (on the set  $M$ ). Every subsystem of  $\varphi_I(M)$  is called a *function system* (on  $M$ ). Every Menger system is isomorphic to some function system [6].

Particular cases of function systems are clone algebras by Cohn [2]. Clone algebras are the function systems  $A_I$  which for every  $n \in I$  contain projectors  $\pi_i^n \in \varphi_n(M)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  (defined by  $x_1 \dots x_n \pi_i^n = x_i$  for every  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in M$ ). Semigroups and full transformation semigroups are also particular cases ( $I = \{1\}$ ) correspondingly of Menger systems and full function systems.

The aim of the previous paper is to investigate in Menger systems concepts corresponding to idempotents and Green relations in semigroups. Two analogues of regular elements are termed and their properties are found to be similar to the properties of regular elements in semigroups. An analogue of maximal subgroup containing a given idempotent is also discussed.

Call element  $e$  of a Menger system an *idempotent*, if  $e \dots ee = e$ . By the above definition and (2) function  $f \in \varphi_n(M)$  is an idempotent if and only if

$$(3) \quad x \dots x f = x$$

for every element  $x$  from the range  $M^n f$  of the function  $f$ .

Call element  $a \in A_n$  of the Menger system  $A_I$  *weakly regular*, if  $a = a \dots a(z_1 \dots z_n a)$  for some  $z_1, \dots, z_n \in A_m$ ,  $m \in I$ . Call  $a$  *regular*, if  $a = a \dots a(z \dots za)$  for some  $z \in A_m$ ,  $m \in I$ . Call a Menger system  $A_I$  (*weakly*) *regular*, if every element of  $A_I$  is (weakly) regular.

Green equivalences  $\mathcal{L}$ ,  $\mathcal{R}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  were defined for Menger systems in the following way [3]:

$(a, b) \in \mathcal{L}$  ( $a \in A_n, b \in A_m, n, m \in I$ ) if and only if  $a = b$  or there exist  $s_1, \dots, s_n \in A_m$ ,  $t_1, \dots, t_m \in A_n$  such that  $a = t_1 \dots t_m b$ ,  $b = s_1 \dots s_n a$ ;

$(a, b) \in \mathcal{R}$  if and only if  $a, b \in A_n$  for some  $n \in I$  and  $a = b$  or there exist  $s \in A_m$ ,  $t \in A_k$ ,  $m, k \in I$  such that  $a = b \dots bt$ ,  $b = a \dots as$ ;

$\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{L} \cup \mathcal{R}$  ( $= \mathcal{L} \cdot \mathcal{R}$  c.f. [3]),  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{R}$ .

Denote by  $L(a)$  ( $R(a)$ ,  $D(a)$ ,  $H(a)$ ) the  $\mathcal{L}$  ( $\mathcal{R}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}$ ,  $\mathcal{H}$ )-class of the element  $a$ .

Define for arbitrary  $a \in A_n$ ,  $b \in A_m$ ,  $n, m \in I$  binary relations  $\leq_L$ ,  $\leq_R$ ,  $\leq_H$  by  $a \leq_L b$  if and only if  $a = b$  or  $a = t_1 \dots t_m b$  for some  $t_1, \dots, t_m \in A_n$ ;

$a \leq_R b$  if and only if  $a = b$  or  $a = b \dots bt$  for some  $t \in A_k$ ,  $k \in I$  (clearly then  $n = m$ );

$a \leq_H b$  if and only if  $a \leq_L b$  and  $a \leq_R b$ .

All these relations are preorderings [2] and by definitions  $(a, b) \in \mathcal{X}$  if and only if  $a \leq_{\mathcal{X}} b$  and  $b \leq_{\mathcal{X}} a$ , where  $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}$  or  $\mathcal{H}$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $e$  be an idempotent element of a Menger system  $A_I$ . The element  $e$  is a right identity for an element  $a$  (i.e.  $a = a \dots ae$ ) if and only if  $a \leq_L e$ , a left identity for  $a$  (i.e.  $a = e \dots ea$ ) if and only if  $a \leq_R e$  and a two-sided identity for  $a$  if and only if  $a \leq_H e$ .*

*Proof.* If  $a \leq_L e$ ,  $e \in A_n$ ,  $a \in A_m$ , then  $a = s_1 \dots s_n e$  for some  $s_1, \dots, s_n \in A_m$  (the case  $a = e$  is trivial) and  $a \dots ae = (s_1 \dots s_n e) \dots (s_1 \dots s_n e) e = s_1 \dots s_n (e \dots ee) = s_1 \dots s_n e = a$  by (1). On the other hand, from  $a \dots ae = a$  follows  $a \leq_L e$  by the definition. The other assertions follow quite similarly.

**Corollary 1.** *If  $e$  is an idempotent, then  $e$  is a right identity for  $L(e)$ , a left identity for  $R(e)$  and a two-sided identity for  $H(e)$ .*

**Corollary 2.** *If  $e, f$  are idempotents, then  $e \leq_L f$  if and only if  $e \dots ef = e$ ,  $e \leq_R f$  if and only if  $f \dots fe = e$  and  $e \leq_H f$  if and only if  $e \dots ef = f \dots fe = e$ .*

**Theorem 1.** *An element of a Menger system is*

- 1) *weakly regular, if and only if there is an idempotent in its  $\mathcal{L}$ -class*
- 2) *regular if and only if there is an idempotent in its  $\mathcal{R}$ -class.*

*Proof.* Let  $a \in A_n$  be a weakly regular element of a Menger system  $A_I$ , i.e.  $a = a \dots a(z_1 \dots z_n a)$  for some  $z_1, \dots, z_n \in A_m$ ,  $m \in I$ . From here  $z_1 \dots z_n a \in L(a)$ . Denote  $z_1 \dots z_n a = e$ . By (1)  $e \dots ee = (z_1 \dots z_n a) \dots (z_1 \dots z_n a)(z_1 \dots z_n a) = z_1 \dots z_n (a \dots a(z_1 \dots z_n a)) = z_1 \dots z_n a = e$ , i.e.  $e$  is idempotent.

If  $a$  is regular, i.e.  $a = a \dots a(z \dots za)$ ,  $z \in A_m$ ,  $m \in I$  then for  $f = a \dots az$  we have

$f \dots f a = (a \dots a z) \dots (a \dots a z) a = a \dots a (z \dots z a) = a, f \dots f f = f \dots f (a \dots a z) = (f \dots f a) \dots (f \dots f a) z = a \dots a z = f$ . Thus  $f \in R(a)$  is an idempotent.

On the other hand, if there exists an idempotent  $e \in L(a)$  or an idempotent  $f \in R(a)$ , then  $e = z_1 \dots z_n a, f = a \dots a z$  for some  $z_1, \dots, z_n, z$ . By Corollary 1  $a = a \dots a e = a \dots a (z_1 \dots z_n a)$  or  $a = e \dots e a = (a \dots a z) \dots (a \dots a z) a = a \dots a (z \dots z a)$ , thus  $a$  is weakly regular or regular.

**Corollary 1.** *An element of a Menger system is weakly regular if and only if its  $\mathcal{D}$ -class contains an idempotent. Hence if an element of some  $\mathcal{D}$ -class  $D$  is weakly regular, then all elements from  $D$  are weakly regular and elements from containing idempotents  $\mathcal{R}$ -classes are regular.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $a \in D$  is weakly regular. Then there exists an idempotent  $e \in L(a) \subset D$ . All elements from  $R(e)$  are regular (therefore also weakly regular). Since  $R(e)$  meets every  $\mathcal{L}$ -class from  $D$  [3], every  $\mathcal{L}$ -class from  $D$  contains weakly regular elements, hence by Theorem 1 consists of weakly regular elements and so does also  $D$  as a union of its  $\mathcal{L}$ -classes. In the other direction the assertion is obvious, since every idempotent is regular.

A subsystem  $B_J$  of a Menger system  $A_I (J \subset I, B_n \subset A_n \text{ for every } n \in I)$  is called [3] a *left ideal*, if  $I = J$  and  $x_1 \dots x_m y \in B_n$  for every  $x_1, \dots, x_m \in A_n, y \in B_m, n, m \in I$ , a *right ideal*, if  $x_1 \dots x_m y \in B_n$  for every  $x_1, \dots, x_m \in B_n, y \in A_m, m \in I$  and a *two-sided ideal*, if  $B_J$  is a left and a right ideal.

Now Theorem 1 can be reworded in a manner always known for semigroups [1]:

**Corollary 2.** *An element  $a$  of a Menger system is weakly regular if and only if the left ideal generated by the element  $a$  has an idempotent generator. If an element  $a$  is regular, then the right ideal generated by the element  $a$  has an idempotent generator. Conversely, if the right ideal generated by an element  $a$  has an idempotent generator and has a right identity, then the element  $a$  is regular.*

*Proof.* The left ideal generated by an element  $a$   $L_a = \{z | z \cong_L a\}$ . By the definition  $(a, b) \in \mathcal{L}$  if and only if  $L_a = L_b$ . Hence the assertion about weakly regular elements follows directly from the last theorem.

If  $a$  has a right identity, i.e.  $a = a \dots a x$  for some  $x$  (in particular, if  $a$  is weakly regular), then the right ideal generated by the element  $a$   $R_a = \{z | z \cong_R a\}$  and the assertion follows quite similarly. Notice that if a right identity for  $a$  does not exist, the above expression for  $R_a$  need not hold.

For arbitrary  $f \in \varphi_n(M), g \in \varphi_m(M)$  we have [3]

$(f, g) \in \mathcal{L}$  if and only if  $f$  and  $g$  have the same range, i.e.  $M^n f = M^m g$ ;

$(f, g) \in \mathcal{R}$  if and only if  $n = m$  and  $f$  and  $g$  have the same partition (partition  $\tau(h)$  corresponding to the function  $h \in \varphi_k(M)$  is an equivalence on  $M^k$  defined by  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \tau(h)$  if and only if  $\bar{x}h = \bar{y}h, \bar{x}, \bar{y} \in M^k$ );

$(f, g) \in \mathcal{D}$  if and only if the ranges of  $f$  and  $g$  have the same cardinality and

$(f, g) \in \mathcal{H}$  if and only if  $f$  and  $g$  have the same range and the same partition.

Call equivalence  $\tau \subset M^n$  *diagonal* if every  $\tau$ -class contains at least one element of the form  $(x \dots x)$ ,  $x \in M$ . From (3) it is obvious that a function  $f \in \varphi_n(M)$  is idempotent if and only if the partition  $\tau(f)$  is diagonal and for every  $x \in M^n$   $\bar{x}f = y$ , where  $\bar{x}$  and  $(y \dots y)$  belong to the same  $\tau(f)$ -class. Since  $(f, g) \in \mathcal{R}$  if and only if  $\tau(f) = \tau(g)$ , from the above theorem follows

**Corollary 3.** *A function  $f$  is regular if and only if the partition  $\tau(f)$  is diagonal.*

**Theorem 2.** *The full function system  $\varphi_n(M)$  is weakly regular.*

*Proof.* Let  $g \in \varphi_n(M)$ . Let  $f \in \varphi_n(M)$  be such that

$$1) M^n f = M^n g$$

$$2) (x \dots x)f = x \text{ for every } x \in M^n g$$

Because of 1)  $(f, g) \in \mathcal{L}$ , because of 2)  $f$  is an idempotent.

**Corollary.** *Every Menger system can be embedded into a weakly regular Menger system generated by idempotents.*

*Proof.* For  $I = \{1\}$ , i.e. for semigroups the assertion was established in [7]. If  $I \neq \{1\}$ , Menger system  $A_I$  can be embedded into a weakly regular Menger system  $\varphi_I(M)$  [6] and  $\varphi_I(M)$  is generated by idempotents [5].

Call elements  $b_1, \dots, b_n \in A_m$  *weakly inverses* of an element  $a \in A_n$ ,  $n, m \in I$ , if  $a \dots a(b_1 \dots b_n a) = a$ ,  $b_1 \dots b_n(a \dots ab_i) = b_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Call elements  $a \in A_n$ ,  $b \in A_m$  *inverses* (of each other), if  $a \dots a(b \dots ba) = a$ ,  $b \dots b(a \dots ab) = b$ .

**Theorem 3.** *An element of a Menger system has weakly inverses if and only if it is weakly regular and inverses if and only if it is regular.*

*Proof.* If an element has (weakly) inverses then by the above definition it is weakly regular. On the other hand, let element  $a \in A_n$  be weakly regular, i.e.  $a = a \dots a(z_1 \dots z_n a)$  for some  $z_1, \dots, z_n \in A_m$ ,  $m \in I$ . Let  $b_i = z_1 \dots z_n(a \dots az_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then  $a \dots a(b_1 \dots b_n a) = a \dots a((z_1 \dots z_n(a \dots az_1)) \dots (z_1 \dots z_n(a \dots az_n))a) = a \dots a((a \dots az_1) \dots (a \dots az_n)a) = a \dots a(z_1 \dots z_n(a \dots a(z_1 \dots z_n a))) = a \dots a(z_1 \dots z_n a) = a$ ,  $b_1 \dots b_n(a \dots ab_i) = (z_1 \dots z_n(a \dots az_1)) \dots (z_1 \dots z_n(a \dots az_n))(a \dots a(z_1 \dots z_n(a \dots az_i))) = z_1 \dots z_n((a \dots az_1) \dots (a \dots az_n)((a \dots a(z_1 \dots z_n a)) \dots (a \dots a(z_1 \dots z_n a)z_i))) = z_1 \dots z_n(a \dots a(z_1 \dots z_n(a \dots az_i))) = z_1 \dots z_n((a \dots a(z_1 \dots z_n a)) \dots (a \dots a(z_1 \dots z_n a)z_i)) = z_1 \dots z_n(a \dots az_i) = b_i$ , i.e.  $b_1, \dots, b_n$  are weakly inverses to  $a$ . The proof for the case when element  $a$  is regular is quite similar.

**Corollary.** *An element of a Menger system has weakly inverses if and only if there is an idempotent in its  $\mathcal{D}$ -class and inverse if and only if there exists an idempotent in its  $\mathcal{R}$ -class.*

**Theorem 4.** *In a weakly regular Menger system each element has regular weakly inverses.*

*Proof.* Let  $b_1, \dots, b_n \in A_m$  be weakly inverses of an element  $a \in A_n$  of a weakly regular Menger system  $A_I$ ,  $n, m \in I$ . Then  $e = b_1 \dots b_n a$  is idempotent and  $(e, a) \in \mathcal{L}$ . Furthermore,  $e \dots e b_i = (b_1 \dots b_n a) \dots (b_1 \dots b_n a) b_i = b_1 \dots b_n (a \dots a b_i) = b_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Since  $A_I$  is weakly regular for every  $b_i$  there are  $z_1, \dots, z_m \in A_k$ ,  $k \in I$  such that  $b_i = b_i \dots b_i (z_1 \dots z_m b_i)$ . Let  $y = z_1 \dots z_m e$ . Then  $b_i \dots b_i (y \dots y b_i) = b_i \dots b_i ((z_1 \dots z_m e) \dots (z_1 \dots z_m e) b_i) = b_i \dots b_i (z_1 \dots z_m (e \dots e b_i)) = b_i \dots b_i (z_1 \dots z_m b_i) = b_i$ , i.e.  $b_i$  is regular.

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $e, f$  be idempotents from the same  $\mathcal{D}$ -class of a Menger system  $A_I$ . For every  $a \in L(f) \cap R(e)$  there exists an element  $b \in R(f) \cap L(e)$  such that  $a, b$  are inverses of each other and  $a \dots a b = e$ ,  $b \dots b a = f$ .*

*Conversely, if elements  $a, b$  are inverses of each other, then the elements  $e = a \dots a b$ ,  $f = b \dots b a$  are idempotents and  $e \in R(a) \cap L(b)$ ,  $f \in L(a) \cap R(b)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $a \in L(f) \cap R(e)$ , where  $e \in A_n, f \in A_m$  are idempotents of a Menger system  $A_I$ . Then  $e = a \dots a s, f = s_1 \dots s_n a$  for some  $s_1, \dots, s_n \in A_m, s \in A_k, m, k \in I$  and  $e \dots e a = a \dots a f = a$  by Lemma 1. Denote  $b = f \dots f (s \dots s e)$ . Now  $a \dots a b = a \dots a (f \dots f (s \dots s e)) = (a \dots a f) \dots (a \dots a f) (s \dots s e) = a \dots a (s \dots s e) = (a \dots a s) \dots (a \dots a s) e = e \dots e e = e$ ,  $b \dots b a = (f \dots f (s \dots s e)) \dots (f \dots f (s \dots s e)) a = f \dots f ((s \dots s e) \dots (s \dots s e)) a = f \dots f (s \dots s (e \dots e a)) = f \dots f (s \dots s a) = (s_1 \dots s_n a) \dots (s_1 \dots s_n a) (s \dots s a) = s_1 \dots s_n \dots s_n ((a \dots a s) \dots (a \dots a s) a) = s_1 \dots s_n (e \dots e a) = s_1 \dots s_n a = f$ . Hence  $a \dots a (b \dots b a) = a \dots a f = a$ ,  $b \dots b (a \dots a b) = b \dots b e = (f \dots f (s \dots s e)) \dots (f \dots f (s \dots s e)) e = f \dots f (s \dots s (e \dots e e)) = f \dots f (s \dots s e) = b$ , i.e.  $a, b$  are mutually inverses. From  $b \dots b e = b$ ,  $a \dots a b = e$  follows  $(e, b) \in \mathcal{L}$ , from  $b \dots b a = f$ ,  $f \dots f b = b$  follows  $(b, f) \in \mathcal{R}$ .

On the other hand, let  $a, b$  be inverses,  $a \dots a (b \dots b a) = a$ ,  $b \dots b (a \dots a b) = b$ . Then elements  $e = a \dots a b$ ,  $f = b \dots b a$  are idempotens such that  $a \dots a f = a$ ,  $b \dots b e = b$ . Hence  $(a, f) \in \mathcal{L}$ ,  $(b, e) \in \mathcal{R}$ . Furthermore,  $a = (a \dots a b) \dots (a \dots a b) a = e \dots e a$ ,  $b = (b \dots b a) \dots (b \dots b a) b = f \dots f b$ , therefore  $(a, e) \in \mathcal{R}$ ,  $(b, f) \in \mathcal{L}$ . Consequently,  $e \in R(a) \cap L(b)$ ,  $f \in L(a) \cap R(b)$ .

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $a, b$  be elements of a subsystem  $B_J$  of a Menger system  $A_I$ ,  $I \subset J$ . If the element  $b$  is weakly regular in  $B_J$ , then  $a \preceq_L b$  in  $B_J$  if and only if  $a \preceq_L b$  in  $A_I$ .*

*Proof.* It is obvious that from  $a \preceq_L b$  in  $B_J$  follows  $a \preceq_L b$  in  $A_I$ , so we have to prove the contrary.

Let  $a \in B_n, b \in B_m$  and  $a \preceq_L b$  in  $A_I$ , i.e.  $a = t_1 \dots t_m b$  for some  $t_1, \dots, t_m \in A_n$ . Since  $b$  is weakly regular in  $B_J$ , there exists an idempotent  $e \in B_k, k \in J$  such that  $(e, b) \in \mathcal{L}$ . From here  $b \preceq_L e$  in  $B_J$  and since  $a \preceq_L b, a \preceq_L e$  in  $A_I$ . From here  $a \dots a e = a$  by Lemma 1.

Since  $(e, b) \in \mathcal{L}$ ,  $e = z_1 \dots z_m b$  for some  $z_1, \dots, z_m \in B_k$ . Therefore  $(a \dots a z_1) \dots (a \dots a z_m) b = a \dots a (z_1 \dots z_m b) = a \dots a e = a$ , i.e.  $a \preceq_L b$  in  $B_J$ .

By the definition  $(a, b) \in \mathcal{L}$  if and only if  $a \preceq_L b$  and  $b \preceq_L a$ . Consequently, from the above theorem follows

Corollary. If an element  $b$  is weakly regular in a subsystem  $B_J$  of a Menger system  $A_I$ , then  $L_B(b) = L_A(b) \cap (B_J \times B_J)$ , where  $L_A(b), L_B(b)$  are the  $\mathcal{L}$ -classes of the element  $b$  in systems  $A_I, B_J$  respectively. Consequently, if a subsystem  $B_J$  of a Menger system  $A_I$  is weakly regular,  $\mathcal{L}_B = \mathcal{L}_A \cap (B_J \times B_J)$ , where  $\mathcal{L}_A, \mathcal{L}_B$  are  $\mathcal{L}$ -equivalences in systems  $A_I, B_J$  respectively. In particular,  $\mathcal{L}_{\varphi_n(M)} = \mathcal{L}_{\varphi_I(M)} \cap (\varphi_n(M) \times \varphi_n(M))$  for every natural number  $n$ .

Theorem 7. Let  $a, b$  be elements of a Menger system  $A_I$ ,  $a \leq_L b$ . If  $a$  is weakly regular, for every idempotent  $e \in L(b)$  there exists an idempotent  $f \in L(a)$  such that  $f \leq_H e$ .

Proof. Let  $a \in A_n, b \in A_m, a \leq_L b$  and let  $e \in A_I$  be an idempotent from  $L(b)$ . Since  $a \leq_L b, a = t_1 \dots t_m b$  for some  $t_1, \dots, t_m \in A_n$ . Since  $e \in L(b), e = z_1 \dots z_m b$  for some  $z_1, \dots, z_m \in A_I$  and  $b \dots b e = b$ . From  $a \leq_L b, b \leq_L e$  follows  $a \leq_L e$ , hence  $a \dots a e = a$  by Lemma 1.

Since  $a$  is weakly regular,  $a = a \dots a (x_1 \dots x_n a)$  for some  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in A_k, k \in I$  and the element  $e' = x_1 \dots x_n a$  is idempotent,  $e' \leq_L a$ . From  $a \leq_L e$  follows  $e' \leq_L e$ , hence  $e' \dots e' e = e'$ . Denote  $e \dots e e' = f$ . Then  $f \dots f f = (e \dots e e') \dots (e \dots e') (e \dots e e') = e \dots e ((e' \dots e' e) \dots (e' \dots e' e) e') = e \dots e (e' \dots e' e) = e \dots e e' = f$ , i.e.  $f$  is idempotent. By the definition  $f \leq_R e$ . Since  $f \leq_L e'$ , also  $f \leq_L e$ . Hence  $f \leq_H e$ . From  $e' \dots e' f = e' \dots e' (e \dots e e') = (e' \dots e' e) \dots (e' \dots e' e) e' = e' \dots e' e' = e'$  follows that  $e' \leq_L f$ . Now from  $f \in \leq_L e'$  it follows that  $(f, e') \in \mathcal{L}$  and since  $e' \in L(a)$ , also that  $f \in L(a)$ .

A Menger system  $A_I$  is called *group-like* [4] if the only left ideal of  $A_I$  is  $A_I$  itself and the only right ideals of  $A_I$  are  $A_J = \bigcup_{n \in J} A_n, J \subset I$ .

For every  $m \in I, a \in A_m$  the set  $\{x \dots x a \mid x \in A_n, n \in I\}$  is a left ideal of the Menger system  $A_I$ . Similarly, for every  $n, m \in I, a \in A_n$  the set  $\{a \dots a x \mid x \in A_m\}$  is a right ideal of the Menger system  $A_I$ . Since every ideal contains ideals of that kind, the above definition is equivalent to the following: a Menger system  $A_I$  is group-like, if

(4) for every  $a \in A_n, b \in A_m, n, m \in I$  there exists  $x \in A_m$  such that  $x \dots x a = b$  and

(5) for every  $a, b \in A_n, n \in I$  there exists  $y \in A_n$  such that  $a \dots a y = b$ .

From (4) it follows that  $b \leq_L a$  for every  $a, b \in A_I$  and because of (5)  $b \leq_R a$  for every  $a, b \in A_n, n \in I$ . Consequently, all elements in group-like Menger system  $A_I$  are  $\mathcal{L}$ -equivalent and all elements from every  $A_n$  are  $\mathcal{R}$ -equivalent (therefore also  $\mathcal{H}$ -equivalent).

Theorem 8. A Menger system  $A_I$  is group-like if and only if every  $A_n, n \in I$  is weakly regular and contains exactly one idempotent.

Proof. From (1) it follows that in every Menger system  $A_I$  the defined by

$$a \cdot b = a \dots a b, \quad a \in A_n, \quad b \in A_m, \quad n, m \in I$$

binary operation  $\cdot$  is associative. If  $A_I$  is group-like, by (4), (5) every  $\{A_n, \cdot\}$  is

group, hence contains exactly one idempotent — the identity element  $e^n$ . From (4), (5) it also follows that every  $A_n$  is weakly regular (in fact, even regular).

On the other hand, let every subsystem  $A_n$ ,  $n \in I$  of a Menger system  $A_I$  be weakly regular and contain idempotent  $e^n$ . Consequently for every  $a \in A_n$ ,  $n \in I$   $a \dots a e^n = a$  and  $e^n = x_1 \dots x_n a$  for some  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in A_n$ . Take  $b \in A_m$ ,  $m \in I$  arbitrary. Since  $e^m \dots e^m a \in A_m$ ,  $e^m = z_1 \dots z_m (e^m \dots e^m a)$  for some  $z_1, \dots, z_m \in A_m$ . Therefore,  $b = b \dots b e^m = b \dots b (z_1 \dots z_m (e^m \dots e^m a)) = (b \dots b (z_1 \dots z_m e^m)) \dots (b \dots b (z_1 \dots z_m e^m)) a$  and for  $x = b \dots b (z_1 \dots z_m e^m)$  (4) holds.

Let  $a \in A_n$ ,  $n \in I$ . Take  $x \in A_n$  such that  $x \dots x a = e^n$ . Then  $a = a \dots a e^n = a \dots a (x \dots x a)$  and  $(a \dots a x) \dots (a \dots a x) (a \dots a x) = a \dots a (x \dots x (a \dots a x)) = a \dots a ((x \dots x a) \dots (x \dots x a) x) = (a \dots a (x \dots x a)) \dots (a \dots a (x \dots x a) x = a \dots a x$ , i.e. the element  $a \dots a x$  is an idempotent. Hence  $a \dots a x = e^n$ . For arbitrary  $b \in A_n$   $a \dots a (x \dots x b) = (a \dots a x) \dots (a \dots a x) b = e^n \dots e^n b = b$ , i.e. for  $y = x \dots x b$  (5) holds also.

For every idempotent  $e$  of a semigroup  $S$  there is uniquely defined a maximal subgroup of the semigroup  $S$ , containing  $e$  as identity. This will be the set  $H(e)$  [1]. In a Menger system  $A_I$  too with a set  $\{e^k, k \in J \subset I\}$  of idempotents, all from one  $\mathcal{L}$ -class and each from different  $A_k$  is connected some group-like subsystem of  $A_I$ . For instance, such will be the set  $\{e^k, k \in J\}$  itself, since from  $(e^k, e^l) \in \mathcal{L}$  follows  $e^k \dots e^k e^l = e^k$  for every  $k, l \in J$ . However, there need not exist maximal group-like subsystems, even for only one idempotent.

For example, let the set  $M = \{1, 2, 3\}$ . Define functions  $e, a, b, c \in \varphi_2(M)$  by

$x$	$y$	$(xy)e$	$(xy)a$	$(xy)b$	$(xy)c$
1	1	1	1	2	2
2	1	1	1	2	2
2	2	2	3	1	3
1	2	2	3	1	3
2	3	2	3	1	3
3	1	2	3	1	3
3	3	3	2	3	1
3	2	3	2	3	1

Functions  $e, a, b, c$  have all the same range, equal to  $M$ . Hence they are all  $\mathcal{L}$ -equivalent. They also have the same partition  $\tau$  (classes of  $\tau$  are  $\{(11), (21)\}$ ,  $\{(22), (12), (23), (31)\}$ ,  $\{(33), (32)\}$ ). Thus they all are  $\mathcal{R}$ -equivalent, and therefore  $\mathcal{H}$ -equivalent also. The sets  $\{e, a\}$  and  $\{e, b\}$  are group-like subsystems of the system  $\varphi_2(M)$ , containing an idempotent  $e$ . However,  $e, a, b$  cannot belong together to any group-like subsystem, since  $(xy)(abe) = 2$  for every  $x, y \in M$ , i.e. the range of the function  $abe$  is  $\{2\}$  and  $(e, abe) \notin \mathcal{L}$ . Moreover, the element  $c \in H(e)$  cannot belong to any group-like system either, since  $(xy)(ece) = 2$  for every  $x, y \in M$ .

For further investigation of group-like subsystems we quote some results from [3].

Let  $a, b \in A_n$  be elements from  $\mathcal{H}$ -class  $H$  of a Menger system  $A_I$ . Let  $s_1, \dots, s_n \in A_n, t \in A_m$  be such that  $s_1 \dots s_n a = b, a \dots a t = b$  (because of  $(a, b) \in \mathcal{H}$  such elements exist). Then all mappings  $x \rightarrow s_1 \dots s_n x, x \in H$  (for every  $(a, b) \in \mathcal{H}$ ) form a simple transitive group  $\Lambda(H)$  of permutations of the set  $H$ . Similarly all mappings  $y \rightarrow y \dots y t, y \in H$  also form a simple transitive group  $\Gamma(H)$  of permutations of  $H$ . The groups  $\Lambda(H), \Gamma(H)$  are anti-isomorphic. If  $H'$  is another  $\mathcal{H}$ -class from the containing  $H$   $\mathcal{D}$ -class  $D$ , the groups  $\Gamma(H)$  and  $\Gamma(H')$  are isomorphic. Therefore the groups  $\Gamma(H), H \subset D$  are all isomorphic to some group  $G_D$ . Call  $G_D$  the Schützenberger group of  $D$ .

If  $\mathcal{H}$ -class  $H$  contains an idempotent,  $\{H, \cdot\}$  is a group isomorphic to the group  $G_D$ . The corresponding isomorphism  $\pi_H: H \rightarrow G_D$  may be considered as the right regular representation of  $H$ .

Let  $H'$  be another containing idempotent  $f'$   $\mathcal{H}$ -class from  $D$ . The mapping  $\tau_{HH'}: x \rightarrow (x)\tau_{HH'} = f' \dots f' x, x \in H$  is an isomorphism from the group  $\{H, \cdot\}$  to the group  $\{H', \cdot\}$ . Furthermore,

$$(6) \quad \tau_{HH'} \pi_{H'} = \pi_H$$

and  $\tau_{HH'} \tau_{H'H}$  is the identity mapping on the set  $H$ .

Let  $H$  be containing idempotent  $f$   $\mathcal{H}$ -class from  $\mathcal{L}$ -class  $L$ . Define for every idempotent  $e \in L, e \in A_n$  a partial  $(n-1)$ -place function  $\Phi_e: H^{n-1} \rightarrow H$  by

$$\Phi_e(a_1 \dots a_{n-1}) = \begin{cases} fa_1 \dots a_{n-1} e, & \text{if } fa_1 \dots a_{n-1} e \in H \\ \text{undefined} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here  $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \in H$ .

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $H, H'$  be  $\mathcal{H}$ -classes from  $\mathcal{L}$ -class  $L$ . Let  $e, f, f' \in L$  be idempotents,  $f \in H, f' \in H'$ . Let  $a_i \in H, b_i = (a_i)\tau_{HH'} \in H', i = 1, \dots, n-1$ . Then  $fa_1 \dots a_{n-1} e \in H$  if and only if  $f'b_1 \dots b_{n-1} e \in H'$  and if  $fa_1 \dots a_{n-1} e \in H$  then  $(fa_1 \dots a_{n-1})\tau_{HH'} = f'b_1 \dots b_{n-1} e$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $fa_1 \dots a_{n-1} e \in H$  for some  $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \in H$ . Then  $f'b_1 \dots b_{n-1} e = f'((a_1)\tau_{HH'}) \dots ((a_{n-1})\tau_{HH'})e = (f' \dots f'f)(f' \dots f'a_1) \dots (f' \dots f'a_{n-1})e = f' \dots f'(fa_1 \dots a_{n-1}e) = (fa_1 \dots a_{n-1}e)\tau_{HH'} \in H'$  (here  $f' \dots f'f = f'$  because of  $(f, f') \in \mathcal{L}$ ). So from  $fa_1 \dots a_{n-1} e \in H$  follows  $f'b_1 \dots b_{n-1} e \in H'$ .

On the other hand, let  $f'b_1 \dots b_{n-1} e \in H'$ . Since  $\tau_{HH'} \tau_{H'H}$  is the identity mapping on  $H$ ,  $(b_i)\tau_{H'H} = a_i$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ . Now  $fa_1 \dots a_{n-1} e = f((b_1)\tau_{H'H}) \dots ((b_{n-1})\tau_{H'H})e = (f \dots ff')(f \dots fb_1) \dots (f \dots fb_{n-1})e = f \dots f(f'b_1 \dots b_{n-1}e) = (f'b_1 \dots b_{n-1}e)\tau_{HH'} \in H$ .

By the last lemma the function  $\Phi_e$  does not depend essentially on the choice of the  $\mathcal{H}$ -class  $H$  from its  $\mathcal{L}$ -class  $L$  and can be considered as (depending on  $L$ ) a function  $\Psi_e^L$  on the group  $G_D$ , defined by

$$(7) \quad \Psi_e^L(a_1 \dots a_{n-1}) = (\Phi_e((a_1)\pi_H^{-1} \dots (a_{n-1})\pi_H^{-1}))\pi_H$$



where  $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \in G_D$  and  $H$  is an arbitrary  $\mathcal{H}$ -class from  $L'' = L(e)$ , containing an idempotent.

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $B_J = \bigcup_{n \in J} B_n$ ,  $B_n \subset A_n$  be a subset of a Menger system  $A_J$ ,  $J \subset I$ . The set  $B_J$  is group-like subsystem of  $A_I$  if and only if 1)—3) hold:*

- 1)  $B_J$  belongs to one  $\mathcal{L}$ -class  $L$  of  $A_I$  (thus also to one  $\mathcal{D}$ -class  $D$ )
- 2) for every  $B_n$  there exists containing an idempotent  $e^n$   $\mathcal{H}$ -class  $H_n$  of  $A_I$  such that  $B_n \subset H_n$

3) there exists subgroup  $G$  of the Schützenberger group  $G_D$  such that if we denote  $\pi_{H_n} = \pi_n$ ,  $\Psi_{e^n}^L = \Psi_n$ , then for every  $n \in J$

3.1  $(B_n)\pi_n = G$

3.2 the function  $\Psi_n$  is everywhere defined on  $G$  and  $G$  is closed under  $\Psi_n$ .

*Proof.* Let  $B_J = \bigcup_{n \in J} B_n$  be a group-like subsystem of a Menger system  $A_I$ ,  $B_n \subset A_n$ ,  $J \subset I$ . As mentioned above,  $\mathcal{L}_B$  consists of one  $\mathcal{L}_B$ -class, every  $B_n$  forms one  $\mathcal{H}_B$ -class and every  $B_n$  contains an idempotent  $e^n$ . Hence  $B_J$  belongs also to one  $\mathcal{L}_A$ -class  $L$  (and thus to one  $\mathcal{D}_A$ -class  $D$ ), every  $B_n$  is contained in some  $\mathcal{H}_A$ -class  $H_n$  and idempotent  $e^n$  belongs to  $H_n$ .

Since  $B_n$  is subsystem of  $A_I$ ,  $e^n a_1 \dots a_{n-1} e^n \in B_n$  for every  $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \in B_n$ . Thus  $\Phi_n(a_1 \dots a_{n-1}) = e^n a_1 \dots a_{n-1} e^n$  is always defined on  $B_n$ . By (7)  $\Psi_n$  is then also always defined on the set  $(B_n)\pi_n$  and the last set is closed for  $\Psi_n$ . By (4), (5)  $\{B_n, \cdot\}$  is a group. Since this group is a subgroup of the group  $\{H_n, \cdot\}$ ,  $(B_n)\pi_n$  is a subgroup of the group  $G_D$ . By (6) for every  $a \in B_n$   $(a)\pi_n = (a)\tau_{nm}\pi_m = ((a)\tau_{nm})\pi_m \subset (B_m)\pi_m$ , i.e.  $(B_n)\pi_n \subset (B_m)\pi_m$ . Similarly  $(B_m)\pi_m \subset (B_n)\pi_n$ . Thus  $(B_n)\pi_n = (B_m)\pi_m$  for every  $n, m \in J$ .

On the other hand, suppose 1)—3) hold for the subset  $B_J = \bigcup_{n \in J} B_n$ ,  $B_n \subset A_n$ ,  $J \subset I$ .

Since  $(B_n)\pi_n = G$  is a group and  $\pi_n$  is an isomorphism,  $\{B_n, \cdot\}$  is also a group. Let  $e^n$  be its identity. Clearly  $e^n$  is an idempotent, and from  $B_n \subset H_n$  follows that  $e^n$  is the idempotent from  $H_n$  and the functions  $\tau_{nm}$ ,  $\pi_n$  are defined for every  $n, m \in J$ .

Since  $e^n$  is the identity of the group  $B_n$ ,  $e^n \cdot x = x \cdot e^n = x$  for every  $x \in B_n$ . By 1)  $(e^n, e^m) \in \mathcal{L}$  for every  $n, m \in J$ , thus  $e^n \cdot e^m = e^n \dots e^n e^m = e^n$ .

Denote for arbitrary  $a \in B_n$ ,  $n, m \in J$   $a(m) = ((a)\pi_n)\pi_m^{-1}$ ,  $a^{-1}(m) = (((a)\pi_n)^{-1})\pi_m^{-1}$ . Clearly  $a(n) = a$ , denote similarly  $a^{-1}(n) = a^{-1}$ .

By (6)  $\tau_{mn}\pi_n = \pi_m$  for every  $n, m \in J$ . Hence  $(\pi_m)^{-1} = (\tau_{mn}\pi_n)^{-1} = \pi_n^{-1}\tau_{nm}$  and for every  $y \in B_m$   $y = ((y)\pi_m)\pi_m^{-1} = ((y)\pi_m)\pi_n^{-1}\tau_{nm} = e^m \dots e^m (((y)\pi_m)\pi_n^{-1}) = e^m \cdot y(n)$ . Thus for arbitrary  $x_1, \dots, x_m \in A_n$   $x_1 \dots x_m y = (x_1 \cdot e^n)(x_1 \cdot (x_1^{-1} \cdot x_2)) \dots (x_1 \cdot (x_1^{-1} \cdot x_m)) \cdot (e^m \cdot y(n)) = x_1 \cdot (e^n(x_1^{-1} \cdot x_2) \dots (x_1^{-1} \cdot x_m) e^m) \cdot y(n)$ . Denote the last expression by  $w$ ,  $(x_1^{-1} \cdot x_{i+1})\pi_n = a_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ . Then by (7)  $e^n(x_1^{-1} \cdot x_2) \dots (x_1^{-1} \cdot x_m) e^m = \Phi_m((x_1^{-1} \cdot x_2) \dots (x_1^{-1} \cdot x_m)) = (\Psi_m(a_1 \dots a_{n-1}))\pi_n^{-1}$  and by 3.2  $\Psi_m(a_1 \dots a_{n-1}) \in G$  is defined. Since  $\pi_n$  is isomorphism,  $w = (w)\pi_n \pi_n^{-1} = ((x_1 \cdot (\Psi_m(a_1 \dots a_{n-1}))\pi_n^{-1} y(n))\pi_n)\pi_n^{-1} = ((x_1)\pi_n \cdot (\Psi_m(a_1 \dots a_{n-1}))\pi_n^{-1} \pi_n \cdot (y(n))\pi_n)\pi_n^{-1} = ((x_1)\pi_n \cdot \Psi_m(a_1 \dots a_{n-1}) \cdot (y(n))\pi_n)\pi_n^{-1}$ . Now  $(x_1)\pi_n$ ,  $\Psi_m(a_1 \dots a_{n-1})$ ,  $(y(n))\pi_n \in G$  and  $G$  is subgroup of the group  $G_D$ . Con-

sequently,  $(x_1)\pi_n \cdot \Psi_m(a_1 \dots a_{n-1}) \cdot (y(n)\pi_n) \in G$  also. So  $x_1 \dots x_m y = ((x_1)\pi_n \cdot \Psi_m(a_1 \dots a_{n-1}) \cdot (y(n)\pi_n))\pi_n^{-1} \in B_n$ . Thus  $B_J$  is a subsystem of the Menger system  $A_J$ .

For every  $a \in B_n$ ,  $b \in B_m$   $(b \cdot a^{-1}) \dots (b \cdot a^{-1})a = b \cdot (a^{-1} \dots a^{-1}a) = b \cdot (a^{-1} \cdot a) = b \cdot e^n = b$ , i.e. (4) holds for  $B_J$ . Similarly, for every  $a, b \in B_n$   $a \dots a(a^{-1} \cdot b) = a \cdot (a^{-1} \cdot b) \cdot a^{-1} \cdot a \cdot b = e^n \cdot b = b$ , i.e. (5) holds also. Thus the subsystem  $B_J$  is group-like.

#### References

- [1] CLIFFORD, A. H., and G. B. PRESTON: The algebraic theory of semigroups, vol. I. - Mathematical Surveys, No. 7, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R. I., 1961.
- [2] COHN, P. M.: Universal algebra. - Harper & Row, New York—London, 1965.
- [3] HENNO, J.: Эквивалентности Грина в системах Менгера. - Tartu Riikl. Ül. Toimetised Vih. 277, 1971, 37—46.
- [4] HENNO, J.: Групповые системы Менгера. - Tallin. Polütehnik. Inst. Toimetised. Seer. A 312, 1971, 95—110.
- [5] HENNO, J.: On the superposition of associative idempotent functions. - To appear.
- [6] ИОН, Я. В.:  $m$ -арные  $\omega$ -кольцоиды - Sibirsk. Mat. Ž. 8, 1967, 174—194.
- [7] HOWIE, J. M.: The subsemigroup generated by the idempotents of a full transformation semigroup. - J. London Math. Soc. 41, 1966, 707—716.

University of Turku  
Department of Mathematics  
SF-20500 Turku 50  
Finland

Tallin Polytechnic Institute  
Department of Mathematics  
Ehitajate tee 5  
Tallin 200016  
USSR

Received 18 May 1976