## QUASISYMMETRIC FUNCTIONS WITH DILATATION ONE

## MATTI LEHTINEN

In a recent paper [3] Strebel introduced the dilatation of a homeomorphism of a Jordan curve onto another as follows: Let  $G_j$ , j=1, 2, be Jordan domains and  $\varphi:\partial G_1 \rightarrow \partial G_2$  a sense-preserving homeomorphism. Consider all ring domains  $A_j \subset G_j$  such that one boundary component of  $A_j$  is  $\partial G_j$ , and quasiconformal mappings  $f: A_1 \rightarrow A_2$  such that  $f |\partial G_1 = \varphi$ . The infimum of the maximal dilatations of all such mappings is called the interior dilatation of  $\varphi$ . The exterior dilatation is defined similarly using ring domains in the complements of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ . If  $\partial G_1$ and  $\partial G_2$  are analytic, the interior and exterior dilatations of  $\varphi$  coincide. We then call their common value the dilatation of  $\varphi$  and denote it by  $L(\varphi)$ .

Assume  $L(\varphi) < \infty$  and denote by  $Q_{\varphi}$  the class of all quasiconformal mappings  $g: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  such that  $g | \partial G_1 = \varphi$ . Making use of a well-known extension theorem [2, p. 96] one easily concludes that  $Q_{\varphi} \neq \emptyset$ . The class  $Q_{\varphi}$  contains one or more extremals, i.e. mappings with smallest possible maximal dilatation in  $Q_{\varphi}$ . Denote this dilatation by  $K(\varphi)$ . It was shown by Strebel that if  $L(\varphi) < K(\varphi)$ , then  $Q_{\varphi}$  contains only one extremal, which is a Teichmüller mapping. In particular, then, if  $L(\varphi) = 1$ , the extremal is always unique, and either conformal or a Teichmüller mapping.

Strebel [3, p. 469] obtained a necessary and sufficient condition for  $\varphi$  to have dilatation one in the case  $G_1 = G_2$  = the unit disc. There is, however, some interest in carrying Strebel's characterization over to the case of the upper half-plane, the boundary mappings then being the familiar quasisymmetric functions.

Now let  $\varphi: \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$  be an increasing homeomorphism. It gives rise to a function  $q_{\varphi}: H \to \mathbf{R}_+$ , defined by

$$q_{\varphi}(x+iy) = \frac{\varphi(x+y) - \varphi(x)}{\varphi(x) - \varphi(x-y)}.$$

Thus  $\varphi$  is k-quasisymmetric if  $q_{\varphi}$  is bounded above by k and below by 1/k. Whether  $\varphi$  has dilatation one depends on the behavior of q near the real axis:

Theorem 1. An increasing homeomorphism  $\varphi: \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$  has dilatation one if and only if  $q_{\varphi}(z)$  tends to one as z tends to the real axis in the spherical metric.

*Proof.* To prove the sufficiency part, we utilize the construction of Beurling and Ahlfors [1]. Given an arbitrary  $\eta > 1$ , there exist positive numbers m, M such that

$$\eta^{-1} < q_{\varphi}(z) < \eta$$

for all z in the subset E of H whose elements satisfy |Re z| > M, Im z < m, or Im z > M. For all  $z = x + iy \in H$  set

$$\alpha_j(z) = \int_0^1 \varphi(x + (-1)^j yt) dt, \quad j = 0, 1.$$

Then  $\alpha_i$  is differentiable, and its partial derivatives are

(1) 
$$(\alpha_j)_x(z) = (-1)^j (\varphi(x + (-1)^j y) - \varphi(x)),$$

(2) 
$$(\alpha_j)_y(z) = \int_0^1 (-1)^j t \, d\varphi \big( x + (-1)^j y t \big).$$

Now set

$$f(z) = (1/2) \big( \alpha_0(z) + \alpha_1(z) + i \big( \alpha_0(z) - \alpha_1(z) \big).$$

It follows from the hypothesis and the continuity of  $q_{\varphi}$  that  $\varphi$  is k-quasisymmetric for some k. By [1], f is a quasiconformal homeomorphism of H, agreeing with  $\varphi$  on **R**. The dilatation quotient D of f at z satisfies

(3) 
$$D+D^{-1} = \frac{5(1+\xi_0^2)\zeta + 5(1+\xi_1^2)/\zeta + 6(\xi_0\xi_1-1)}{4(\xi_0+\xi_1)}$$

where

$$\zeta = (\alpha_1)_x(z)/(\alpha_0)_x(z), \quad \xi_j = (-1)^j (\alpha_j)_y(z)/(\alpha_j)_x(z),$$

j=0, 1. The right-hand side of (3) is continuous in  $\xi_0, \xi_1, \zeta$  and takes the value 2 for  $\xi_0 = \xi_1 = 1/2, \zeta = 1$ . In order to have *D* arbitrarily close to one it thus suffices to have  $\xi_0$  and  $\xi_1$  sufficiently close to 1/2 and  $\zeta$  sufficiently close to 1. Now  $\zeta = 1/q_{\varphi}(z)$  so that  $1/\eta \leq \zeta \leq \eta$  holds in *E*. We next estimate  $\xi_0$ . By a lemma of Beurling and Ahlfors [1, p. 137]

(4) 
$$\frac{1}{1+\eta} \leq \xi_0(x+iy) \leq \frac{\eta}{1+\eta},$$

provided  $\varphi$  is  $\eta$ -quasisymmetric in the interval (x, x+y). This is certainly true if the triangle with vertices x, x+y, x+y/2+iy/2 is contained in *E*. Suppose then that this is not the case. First assume  $x \ge -M$ ,  $y \ge 2M$ . By (1) and (2),

$$\xi_0(x+iy) = \frac{\int_0^1 \left(\varphi(x+y) - \varphi(x+ty)\right) dt}{\varphi(x+y) - \varphi(x)},$$

and since  $x+iy \in E$ ,

$$\eta^{-2}\xi_{0}(x+iy) \leq \frac{\int_{0}^{1} \left(\varphi(x+y+yt) - \varphi(x+y)\right) dt}{\varphi(x+2y) - \varphi(x+y)} \leq \eta^{2}\xi_{0}(x+iy)$$
$$\eta^{-2}\xi_{0}(x+iy) \leq 1 - \xi_{0}(x+y+iy) \leq \eta^{2}\xi_{0}(x+iy).$$

or

Our assumption implies that  $\xi_0(x+y+iy)$  satisfies (4), and hence

$$\frac{1}{\eta^2(1+\eta)} \leq \xi_0(x+iy) \leq \frac{\eta^3}{1+\eta}.$$

If  $x \le -M$ ,  $y \ge 2M$ , we may write

$$\xi_0(x+iy) = 1 - \frac{\int_0^1 (\varphi(x+yt) - \varphi(x)) dt}{\varphi(x+y) - \varphi(x)},$$

and a similar argument yields

$$\frac{1+\eta-\eta^3}{1+\eta} \leq \xi_0(x+iy) \leq \frac{\eta^3+\eta^2-1}{\eta^2(\eta+1)}.$$

Completely analogous estimates hold for  $\xi_1$ . It follows that given K>1, we can always find *m* and *M* such that *f* is *K*-quasiconformal outside the trapezoid with vertices  $\pm M + im$ ,  $\pm (3M-m)+2Mi$ . By definition, then,  $L(\varphi)=1$ .

On the other hand, assume  $L(\varphi)=1$ . Let  $\eta>1$  be arbitrary. By a lemma of Strebel [3, p. 469] there exists a  $\delta>0$  such that

(5) 
$$\eta^{-1} \leq q_{\varphi}(x+iy) \leq \eta$$

as soon as  $0 < y < \delta$ . We thus have to estimate  $q_{\varphi}(z)$  only for  $\operatorname{Im} z \ge \delta$  and |z| large. There is no loss of generality in supposing  $x \ge 0$ . By assumption, there exist positive numbers m, M such that  $\varphi$  can be extended to an  $\eta$ -quasiconformal mapping f of the set E considered in the first part of the proof. Further let  $E_j$ , j=0, 1, be the simply connected domain obtained from E by removing the closed rectangle with vertices  $0, (-1)^j M, (-1)^j M + im$ , im. We consider three cases: (i)  $0 \le x \le M$ , (ii) x > M and x > y, (iii) x > M and  $x \le y$ .

In case (i), take  $y \ge 2M$  and consider the quadrilateral  $E_1(x-y, x, x+y, \infty)$ . The mapping  $\zeta \mapsto (\zeta - x)/y$  transforms it into a quadrilateral  $E_z(-1, 0, 1, \infty)$  without changing the conformal module. It is clear that as  $y \to \infty$  the distance of any point lying on the side of  $E_z$  with endpoints -1, 0 from the line segment joining the same points approaches zero. By the continuity of the module (see e.g. [2, p. 26]), mod  $E_z$  tends to mod  $H(-1, 0, 1, \infty) = 1$ . In case (ii) the spherical distance of the side of  $E_z$  with endpoints  $-\infty$ , -1 from the ray  $(-\infty, -1)$  tends to zero as  $|z| \to \infty$ . (Observe that we assume  $y \ge \delta$ .) Consequently mod  $E_1(x-y, x, x+y, \infty) = \mod E_z(-1, 0, 1, \infty) \to 1$ . In case (iii), a similar argument yields mod  $E_0(x-y, x, x+y, \infty) \to 1$  as  $|z| \to \infty$ . The same argument also shows that mod  $f(E_j)(\varphi(x-y), \varphi(x), \varphi(x+y), \infty)$  (where j=1 in cases (i) and (ii), and j=0 in case (iii)) tends to mod  $H(\varphi(x-y), \varphi(x), \varphi(x+y), \infty)$  as  $|z| \to \infty$ . Thus for |z| large enough

$$\eta^{-3} \leq \eta^{-2} \mod E_j \leq \eta^{-1} \mod f(E_j)$$
$$\leq \mod H(\varphi(x-y), \varphi(x), \varphi(x+y), \infty)$$
$$\leq \eta \mod f(E_j) \leq \eta^2 \mod E_j \leq \eta^3.$$

But this means that for |z| large enough

$$\lambda(\eta^3)^{-1} \leq q_{\omega}(z) \leq \lambda(\eta^3),$$

where  $\lambda$  is the distortion function defined in [2, p. 81]. As  $\lim_{t\to 1} \lambda(t) = 1$ , and because of (5), the theorem is proved.

It follows at once from the extension theorem of Beurling and Ahlfors or from the above proof that k-quasisymmetric mappings with a small k necessarily have a small dilatation. It is, however, easy to construct examples showing that the converse is not in general true:

Theorem 2. There exist homeomorphisms  $\varphi: \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$  with  $L(\varphi) = 1$  and  $\max_{z \in H} q_{\varphi}(z)$  arbitrarily large.

*Proof.* Given M > 0, set

 $\psi(x) = 8M(x^3 - x^4), \qquad 0 \le x \le 1/2,$  $\psi(x) = M - \psi(1 - x), \qquad 1/2 < x \le 1,$  $\psi(x) = \psi(1 - (x - 1)/(3M)), \qquad 1 < x \le 3M + 1,$ 

and  $\psi(x)=0$  otherwise. Then  $\psi$  has a continuous second derivative, and  $\psi'(x) \ge \ge \psi'(1+3M/2) = -2/3$ . Set  $\varphi(x) = x + \psi(x)$ . Then  $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is increasing,  $\varphi(1) = 1 + M$ ,  $q_{\varphi}(i) = M + 1$ . Let K be an upper bound for  $\psi''$ . Then  $q_{\varphi}(x+iy) = = \varphi'(\xi_1)/\varphi'(\xi_2)$  is bounded above by  $(\varphi'(x)+Ky)/(\varphi'(x)-Ky)$  and below by  $(\varphi'(x)-Ky)/(\varphi'(x)+Ky)$ , whence  $\lim_{y\to 0} q_{\varphi}(x+iy) = 1$ , uniformly in x. It is clear that  $q_{\varphi}(z)$  tends to one as  $|z| \to \infty$ . By Theorem 1,  $L(\varphi) = 1$ .

## References

- BEURLING, A., and L. V. AHLFORS: The boundary correspondence under quasiconformal mappings. — Acta Math. 96, 1956, 125—142.
- [2] LEHTO, O., and K. I. VIRTANEN: Quasiconformal mappings in the plane. Springer-Verlag, Berlin — Heidelberg — New York, 1973.
- [3] STREBEL, K.: On the existence of extremal Teichmueller mappings. J. Analyse Math. 30, 1976, 464—480.

University of Helsinki Department of Mathematics SF-00100 Helsinki 10 Finland

Received 9 February 1977