ON NEVANLINNA'S PROXIMITY FUNCTION

SAKARI TOPPILA

1. On the growth of and T(r, f) and T(r, f')

Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function in the plane. We denote by T=T(r,f) and T'=T(r,f') the characteristic functions of f and f'. Nevanlinna [4, p. 104, and 5, p. 236] conjectured that

$$1+o(1) \leq \frac{T'}{T} \leq 2+o(1)$$

as $r \rightarrow \infty$ outside an exceptional set E of values of r. This conjecture holds in the following form.

Theorem 1. Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function and let $\varphi(r)$ be any positive and increasing function of r such that $\varphi(r) \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Then

(1)
$$\limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{T(r, f')}{T(r+1/r, f)} \leq 2,$$

(2)
$$\liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{T(r\varphi(r), f')}{T(r, f)} \ge \frac{1}{2},$$

and

(3)
$$\limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{T(Kr, f')}{T(r, f)} \ge 1$$

for some $K \ge 1$, and if f is an entire transcendental function, then

(4)
$$\limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{T(r, f')}{T(r+1/r, f)} \leq 1$$

(5)
$$\liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{T(r\varphi(r), f')}{T(r, f)} \ge 1.$$

The inequalities (1) and (4) follow from Lemma 1 of Nevanlinna [5, p. 244], (2) and (5) follow from Lemma 1 of Hayman [3, p. 99], and (3) is a consequence of the following result of [7].

doi:10.5186/aasfm.1982.0710

Theorem 2. Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function of lower order zero. Then

(6)
$$\limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{T(r, f')}{T(r, f)} \ge 1$$

and there exists a sequence r_n , $r_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, such that

(7)
$$m(r_n, f) = m(r_n, f') + o(T(r_n, f)) \quad as \quad n \to \infty.$$

Theorem 2 shows that we may take K=1 in (3) for functions of order zero. If the order of f is infinite, it is not difficult to see that (3) holds for all K>1. In the other direction, we have

Theorem 3. Given any ε , $0 < \varepsilon < \infty$, there exist a meromorphic function f of order ε and K > 1 such that

(8)
$$\limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{T(Kr, f')}{T(r, f)} < 1$$

and that for some $\delta > 0$,

(9) $m(r,f) \ge m(r,f') + \delta T(r,f)$

for all large values of r.

Proof. Such a function f is constructed in the proof of Theorem 2 of [7].

If f is an entire function, the following a little stronger result than (3) holds (unpublished).

Theorem 4. There exists an absolute constant Q>1 such that

(10)
$$\limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{T(Qr, f')}{T(r, f)} \ge 1$$

for any transcendental entire function f.

It is not possible to take Q=1 in Theorem 4, for in [6] an entire function f of order one is constructed such that f satisfies (8) for some K>1.

The following theorem shows that the constant 1/2 in (2) cannot be replaced by a larger one, and that (7) need not hold for all large values of r, not even for slowly growing functions.

Theorem 5. Let $\varphi(r)$ be as in Theorem 1. There exists a transcendental meromorphic function f satisfying

(11)
$$T(r,f) = O(\varphi(r)\log r) \quad as \quad r \to \infty$$

such that for some sequences $r_n, r_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and $K_n, K_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

(12)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{T(K_n r_n, f')}{T(r_n, f)} = \frac{1}{2},$$

(13)
$$m(r_n, f') = 0 \quad for \ any \quad n,$$

and

(14)
$$m(r_n, f) = \left(\frac{1}{2} + o(1)\right) T(r_n, f) \quad as \quad n \to \infty.$$

Proof. Such a function *f* is constructed in the proof of Theorem 3 of [7].

For slowly growing functions, Hayman [3] proved the following result stronger than Theorem 1.

Theorem 6. Suppose that f is meromorphic in the plane and not a linear polynomial, further that

(15)
$$T(r,f) = O((\log r)^2) \quad as \quad r \to \infty.$$

Then

(16)
$$\frac{1}{2} \leq \liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{T(r, f')}{T(r, f)} \leq \limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{T(r, f')}{T(r, f)} \leq 2.$$

If, further, f is a transcendental integral function, then

(17)
$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{T(r, f')}{T(r, f)} = 1.$$

In [7] it is proved that the growth condition (15) in Theorem 6 can be replaced by the smoothness condition

(18)
$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{T(2r, f)}{T(r, f)} = 1.$$

If f satisfies (15), then it satisfies (18), too. The following result of Hayman [3] shows that the conditions (15) and (18) are essentially the best possible for Theorem 6.

Theorem 7. Let $\varphi(r)$ be as in Theorem 1. There exists an integral function f such that

(19)
$$T(r,f) = O(\varphi(r)(\log r)^2) \quad as \quad r \to \infty$$

and

(20)
$$\frac{T(r,f')}{T(r,f)} \to 0 \quad as \quad r \to \infty$$

through a set of values E having infinite logarithmic measure.

If f has finite order, then

(21)
$$m(r,f') \leq m(r,f) + O(\log r) \quad \text{as} \quad r \to \infty.$$

This together with the following result of [7] describes the connection between m(r, f) and m(r, f') for all values of r.

Theorem 8. If f is a transcendental meromorphic function satisfying (18), then

(22)
$$m(r,f) \leq N(r,f) + m(r,f') + o(T(r,f)) \quad as \quad r \to \infty.$$

Theorem 5 shows that (22) is sharp, and from Theorem 7 we conclude that (15) and (18) are essentially the best possible conditions under which Theorem 8 holds.

Remark. The conditions (3), (6), (7) and (22) do not hold for polynomials. The function f(z)=z+1/z does not satisfy (7). For rational functions other than polynomials, the conditions (6) and (22) hold.

2. On the deficiencies of f and f'

From the proof of the second main theorem of Nevanlinna [5, pp. 238-247] we get

Theorem 9. Suppose that f is meromorphic in the plane and not a linear polynomial. If the order of f is finite, then

(23)
$$\delta(\infty, f') \leq \delta(\infty, f),$$

(24)
$$\Delta(\infty, f') \leq \Delta(\infty, f),$$

(25)
$$\sum_{a \neq \infty} \delta(a, f) \leq 2\delta(0, f'),$$

and for any finite a

(26)
$$\Delta(a,f) \leq 2\Delta(0,f').$$

If f has infinite or finite order, then

(27)
$$\delta(\infty, f') \leq \Delta(\infty, f)$$

and

(28)
$$\sum_{a \neq \infty} \delta(a, f) \leq 2\Delta(0, f').$$

In the other direction, the following theorem is proved in [8].

Theorem 10. Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function satisfying (18). Then

(29)
$$\delta(\infty, f') \ge 2\delta(\infty, f) - 1,$$

(30)
$$\Delta(\infty, f') \ge \frac{\Delta(\infty, f)}{2 - \Delta(\infty f)},$$

with equality in (30) if f has only simple poles, and, furthermore, there exists a finite value a such that

(31)
$$\delta(a,f) \ge \delta(0,f').$$

From Theorem 2 we get

Theorem 11. If f is a transcendental meromorphic function of order zero, then

(32) $\Delta(\infty, f') \ge \frac{\delta(\infty, f)}{2 - \delta(\infty, f)}.$

The condition (29) holds for those rational functions which are not linear polynomials. If we take f(z)=z+1/z, then

$$\Delta(\infty, f) = \delta(\infty, f) = 1/2$$

and

$$\Delta(\infty, f') = \delta(\infty, f') = 0.$$

For this rational function f the conditions (30) and (32) do not hold. The function

$$f(z) = \frac{z^2 + 1}{z^3}$$

does not satisfy (31). Modifying a little the function f constructed in [6] we get a meromorphic function of order one which does not satisfy (32). The following result of [8] shows that (29) is sharp.

Theorem 12. Let $\varphi(r)$ be as in Theorem 1. For any δ , $1/2 \le \delta < 1$, there exists a transcendental meromorphic function f satisfying (11) such that $\delta(\infty, f) = \delta$ and $\delta(\infty, f') = 2\delta - 1$.

Especially, if $\delta = 1/2$, then we have $\delta(\infty, f) = 1/2$ and $\delta(\infty, f') = 0$ in Theorem 12. The following theorem of [8] shows that the conditions (15) and (18) are essentially the best possible for Theorem 10.

Theorem 13. Let $\varphi(r)$ be as in Theorem 1. There exist transcendental meromorphic functions f, g and h satisfying (19) such that

$$\delta(\infty, f') = 0 \quad but \quad \delta(\infty, f) = 1,$$

$$\Delta(\infty, g') = 0 \quad but \quad \Delta(\infty, g) = 1,$$

$$\delta(0, h') = 0 \quad but \quad \delta(a, h) = 0$$

and

$$\delta(0, h') > 0 \quad but \quad \delta(a, h) = 0$$

for all values a.

Let g be an entire transcendental function with simple zeros satisfying (15). Then we see from Theorem 6 that

$$T(r,g') = (1+o(1))T(r,g) \text{ as } r \to \infty.$$

Using Theorem 1 of Hayman [2] we conclude that

$$N(r, 0, g') = (1 + o(1)T(r, g')$$

= $(1 + o(1))T(r, g)$
= $(1 + o(1))N(r, 0, g)$ as $r \to \infty$,

and that the function f=1/g satisfies

T(r, f') = (2 + o(1)) N(r, 0, g) as $r \to \infty$.

Since N(r, 0, f') = N(r, 0, g'), we conclude that $\delta(0, f') = \Delta(0, f') = 1/2$. Clearly

$$\delta(0, f) = \varDelta(0, f) = 1$$

This example shows that the constant 2 in the inequalities (25), (26) and (28) cannot be replaced by a smaller one, not even for slowly growing functions.

The conditions (23), (24), (25) and (26) need not hold for functions of infinite order. In fact, there exist meromorphic functions f, g and h of infinite order such that

$$\delta(\infty, f) = 0 \quad \text{but} \quad \delta(\infty, f') = 1,$$

$$\Delta(\infty, g) = 0 \quad \text{but} \quad \Delta(\infty, g') = 1,$$

$$\delta(0, g) = 1 \quad \text{but} \quad \delta(0, g') = 0,$$

$$\Delta(0, h) = 1 \quad \text{but} \quad \Delta(0, h') = 0$$

and

= 1 but $\Delta(0, h') = 0.$ 4(0, n)

For a proof, we refer to [8] and [9].

References

- [1] ANDERSON, J. M.: Asymptotic values of meromorphic functions of smooth growth. Glasgow Math. J. 20, 1979, 155-162.
- [2] HAYMAN, W. K.: Slowly growing integral and subharmonic functions. Comment. Math. Helv. 34, 1960, 75-84.
- [3] HAYMAN, W. K.: On the characteristic of functions meromorphic in the plane and of their integrals. - Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 14 A, 1965, 93-128.
- [4] NEVANLINNA, R.: Le théorème de Picard-Borel et la théorie des fonctions méromorphes. -Gauthier-Villars et C^{ie}, Paris, 1929.
- [5] NEVANLINNA, R.: Analytic functions. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 162, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1970.
- [6] TOPPILA, S.: On Nevanlinna's characteritic function of entire functions and their derivatives. -Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math. 3, 1977, 131-134.
- [7] TOPPILA, S.: On the characteristic of meromorphic functions and their derivatives. J. London Math. Soc. (2) (to appear).
- [8] TOPPILA, S.: On the deficiencies of a meromorphic function and of its derivative. J. London Math. Soc. (2) (to appear).
- [9] TOPPILA, S.: An introduction to Nevanlinna theory. To appear.

University of Helsinki Department of Mathematics SF-00100 Helsinki 10 Finland

Received 5 March 1981