

A NON-HYPOELLIPTIC DIRICHLET PROBLEM FROM STOCHASTICS

KARL DOPPEL and NIELS JACOB

To the memory of Rolf Nevanlinna

1. Introduction

1.1. In a recent paper [2] E. B. Dynkin solved a Dirichlet problem for a non-hypoelliptic differential operator $L(D)$ with methods of probability theory by extending some results of the theory of Markov processes.

This operator $L(D)$ is defined in the following way: Let k be a positive integer. For each j ($1 \leq j \leq k$) take $m_j \in \mathbb{N}$ and set $n = m_1 + \dots + m_k$. With the notation

$$l_1 = 0, \quad l_j = \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} m_i \quad (2 \leq j \leq k)$$

we set

$$(1.1) \quad \Delta_j := \sum_{i=1}^{m_j} D_{l_j+i}^2 \quad \text{with} \quad D_{l_j+i} = -\sqrt{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l_j+i}}.$$

Thus, Δ_j denotes the Laplace operator which acts on functions defined on subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{m_j} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. We define the differential operator $L(D)$ by

$$(1.2) \quad L(D) := \Delta_1 \dots \Delta_k.$$

The operator $L(D)$ is not hypoelliptic for $k > 1$. This fact can be seen already in the simplest case where $k=2$ and $m_1=m_2=1$. Then

$$L(D) = D_1^2 D_2^2 = \frac{\partial^4}{\partial x_1^2 \partial x_2^2}$$

and the polynomial associated with $L(D)$ is given by $P(\xi) = \xi_1^2 \xi_2^2$. Since for $\xi_1=0$ and $\xi_2=n$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) we have

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi_1^2} P(\xi) = 2n^2,$$

it follows by a result of L. Hörmander (see [6], p. 99) that $L(D)$ is not hypoelliptic. The usual Sobolev space methods are not applicable to a study of Dirichlet problems for the operator $L(D)$.

1.2. In this paper we present a method which can be understood as a generalization of the Hilbert space methods used in the elliptic theory. Here we use “anisotropic” Sobolev spaces which correspond in a natural way to the operator $L(D)$. It turns out that in these anisotropic spaces the behaviour of the non-hypoelliptic operator $L(D)$ is quite similar to that of an elliptic operator in the usual Sobolev spaces.

For a domain G which is the Cartesian product of bounded open sets $G_j \subset \mathbf{R}^{m_j}$ ($n = m_1 + \dots + m_k$) with sufficiently smooth boundaries ∂G_j we show that a generalized Dirichlet problem, for which the solution is searched in such an anisotropic space, has a unique solution. Further one gets for a class of data a regularity result for this solution.

We will mention that Rolf Nevanlinna expressed a similar idea in unpublished lectures given in Ann Arbor, Helsinki and Zürich. He indicated the possibility to construct for a differential operator a suitable bilinear form such that solving Dirichlet problems for this operator can be reduced to the problem of orthogonal projection in the sense of this bilinear form (cf. [8] and also [1]).

1.3. We give a slightly modified representation of $L(D)$. We denote by N_0^n the set of all ordered systems of n nonnegative integers (multi-indices). For $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in N_0^n$ we define its length as usual by $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$. For $\sigma, \tau \in N_0^n$, $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$, $\tau = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)$, we set $\sigma \leq \tau$ if $\sigma_i \leq \tau_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. It is clear that with this definition N_0^n is a partially ordered set.

Let m_j and l_j ($1 \leq j \leq k$) be defined as in 1.1. For each j ($1 \leq j \leq k$) we define m_j multi-indices $\varepsilon_{t_j} \in N_0^n$ of length 1, $|\varepsilon_{t_j}| = 1$, having its only nonvanishing coordinate in the t_j -th position, $l_j + 1 \leq t_j \leq l_j + m_j$. Furthermore we set

$$(1.3) \quad \Gamma = \left\{ \alpha \mid \alpha \in N_0^n, \alpha = \sum_{j=1}^k \varepsilon_{t_j} \right\}$$

and

$$2\Gamma = \{ \beta \mid \beta \in N_0^n, \beta = 2\alpha, \alpha \in \Gamma \}.$$

Note that both Γ and 2Γ have $m_1 \dots m_k$ elements. Now we obtain the very useful expression for the differential operator $L(D)$:

$$(1.4) \quad L(D) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} D^{2\alpha},$$

where we used the abbreviation

$$D^\alpha = D_1^{\alpha_1} \dots D_n^{\alpha_n}.$$

The authors want to thank E. B. Dynkin for leading their interest to these problems and I. S. Louhivaara for his suggestions while writing this paper.

2. The Hilbert spaces $H^\Gamma(G)$, $H_0^\Gamma(G)$ and $H^{(k)}(G)$

2.1. Let G be a bounded open set in \mathbf{R}^n . As usual, let $C^k(G)$, $k \in \mathbf{N}_0$, be the linear space of all complex valued functions u which are k times continuously differentiable in G . By $C_0^k(G)$ we denote the space of all functions $u \in C^k(G)$ each having a compact support in G . We write also $C_0^\infty(G) = \bigcap_{k \in \mathbf{N}_0} C_0^k(G)$. Further we define for $k \in \mathbf{N}_0$

$$C_*^k(G) := \{u \mid u \in C^k(G), D^\alpha u \in L^2(G), \alpha \in \mathbf{N}_0^n, |\alpha| \leq k\}.$$

In $C_*^k(G)$ we associate with the operator $L(D)$ the sesquilinear form

$$(2.1) \quad B(u, v) := \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \int_G \overline{D^\alpha u(x)} D^\alpha v(x) dx.$$

We define

$$(2.2) \quad (u, v)_\Gamma := B(u, v) + (u, v)_0,$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)_0$ denotes the scalar product in $L^2(G)$,

$$(2.3) \quad (u, v)_0 = \int_G \overline{u(x)} v(x) dx.$$

Thus, we have a scalar product $(\cdot, \cdot)_\Gamma$ on $C_*^k(G)$ with the corresponding norm $\|\cdot\|_\Gamma$. The completion of $C_*^k(G)$ with respect to the scalar product (2.2) will be denoted by $H^\Gamma(G)$. One obtains $H^{2\Gamma}(G)$ analogously. For the closure of $C_0^\infty(G)$ in $H^\Gamma(G)$ we write $H_0^\Gamma(G)$. The elements of $H_0^\Gamma(G)$ are interpreted as functions with generalized homogenous boundary data (cf. Theorem 4).

In contrast to the usual Sobolev space theory we cannot conclude that the strong L^2 -derivative $D^\tau u$ exists for $u \in H^\Gamma(G)$ and for each multi-index $\tau \in \mathbf{N}_0^n$ with $\tau \leq \sigma$ for some $\sigma \in \Gamma$. But one can prove (cf. also [7])

Lemma 1. *Let $u \in H_0^\Gamma(G)$ be given. Then the strong L^2 -derivative $D^\tau u$ exists for all multi-indices τ with $\tau \leq \sigma$ for some $\sigma \in \Gamma$.*

Proof. For $\tau \in \Gamma$ the assertion is obvious. Let now $\tau \notin \Gamma$ such that $\tau \leq \sigma \in \Gamma$. Since $G \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ is a bounded set, we can find a constant $d > 0$ such that for each $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in G$

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |x_j| \leq d$$

holds. For $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(G)$ we get by partial integration ($1 \leq j \leq k$)

$$\begin{aligned} \|D^\tau \varphi\|_0^2 &= \int_G |D^\tau \varphi(x)|^2 dx = - \int_G x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (\overline{D^\tau \varphi(x)} D^\tau \varphi(x)) dx \\ &\leq 2d \|D^{\tau+\varepsilon_j} \varphi\|_0 \|D^\tau \varphi\|_0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence one has

$$\|D^\tau \varphi\|_0 \leq 2d \|D^{\tau+\varepsilon_j} \varphi\|_0.$$

Since $\tau \cong \sigma$, there exists a multi-index β with $\tau + \sigma = \beta$ and the result follows by iteration.

As an immediate consequence of the Poincaré inequality we have

Lemma 2. *On $H_0^r(G)$ the sesquilinear form (2.1) defines a scalar product equivalent to (2.2).*

Proof. It is sufficient to prove all estimates for elements of $C_0^\infty(G)$. By (2.2) we have for all $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(G)$

$$B(\varphi, \varphi) \cong (\varphi, \varphi)_\Gamma.$$

Now by the Poincaré inequality (cf. [5], p. 33) we get for each multi-index $\varepsilon_j \in N_0^n$, $|\varepsilon_j| = 1$ ($1 \cong j \cong n$)

$$\|\varphi\|_0^2 \cong c_1 \|D^{\varepsilon_j} \varphi\|_0^2,$$

where the constant c_1 depends only on G . Repeating this argument we get finally for each $\alpha \in \Gamma$

$$\|\varphi\|_0^2 \cong c_x \|D^\alpha \varphi\|_0^2$$

and by (2.1)

$$\|\varphi\|_0^2 \cong cB(\varphi, \varphi),$$

which proves Lemma 2.

Thus we have on $H_0^r(G)$ a norm $\|\cdot\|_\Gamma$ defined by

$$(2.4) \quad \|\varphi\|_\Gamma := (B(\varphi, \varphi))^{1/2}, \quad \varphi \in C_0^\infty(G),$$

equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_\Gamma$. This norm can be interpreted as the energetic norm associated with the differential operator $L(D)$.

2.2. Now let us suppose that the set $G \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ has

Property P. *The open bounded set G is the Cartesian product*

$$(2.5) \quad G = G_1 \times \dots \times G_k$$

of bounded open sets $G_j \subset \mathbf{R}^{m_j}$ ($1 \cong j \cong k$), $m_1 + \dots + m_k = n$, with sufficiently smooth boundaries¹ ∂G_j .

We will generalize a well-known theorem from the theory of Sobolev spaces.

Let $G'_j \subset \mathbf{R}^{m_j}$ ($1 \cong j \cong k$) be a bounded open set. If Φ_j is a diffeomorphism of class C^1 from \bar{G}'_j onto \bar{G}_j then the tensor product Φ ,

$$(2.6) \quad \Phi := \Phi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \Phi_k,$$

is a diffeomorphism of class C^1 from $\bar{G}' = \bar{G}'_1 \times \dots \times \bar{G}'_k$ onto $\bar{G} = \bar{G}_1 \times \dots \times \bar{G}_k$.

¹ All our considerations are valid if the boundaries ∂G_j are of class C^∞ (cf. e.g. [3], pp. 9–10).

By definition the Jacobi matrix is the direct sum of the linear mappings $A_j: \mathbf{R}^{m_j} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m_j}$ ($1 \leq j \leq k$) defined by

$$(2.7) \quad A_j = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial x_{l_j+1}}{\partial y_{l_j+1}} & \dots & \frac{\partial x_{l_j+m_j}}{\partial y_{l_j+1}} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial x_{l_j+1}}{\partial y_{l_j+m_j}} & \dots & \frac{\partial x_{l_j+m_j}}{\partial y_{l_j+m_j}} \end{pmatrix},$$

where x_i denotes the i -th component of Φ . We remark that the elements of A_j are continuous functions on \bar{G}'_j .

Lemma 3. Let G be a bounded open set with Property P and let Φ be defined by (2.6). Then for all $u \in H_0^1(G)$ the function

$$(2.8) \quad u'(y) = u(\Phi(y))$$

is in $H_0^1(G')$ and

$$(2.9) \quad c_1 \|u'\|_{L^2(G')} \leq \|u\|_{L^2(G)} \leq c_2 \|u'\|_{L^2(G')}$$

holds, where the constants c_1, c_2 depend only on the diffeomorphism Φ .

Proof. By Lemma 2 inequality (2.9) is equivalent to

$$(2.10) \quad \tilde{c}_1 \| \|u'\| \|_{L^2(G')} \leq \| \|u\| \|_{L^2(G)} \leq \tilde{c}_2 \| \|u'\| \|_{L^2(G')}$$

Now by definition we get for each function (2.8)

$$\| \|u'\| \|_{L^2(G')}^2 = \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \int_{G'} |D_y^\alpha u'(y)|^2 dy.$$

For a fixed $\alpha \in \Gamma$ we have by (1.3)

$$\alpha = \varepsilon_{t_1} + \dots + \varepsilon_{t_k},$$

where ε_{t_j} ($1 \leq j \leq k$) has its only non-vanishing coordinate in the t_j -th position, $l_j + 1 \leq t_j \leq l_j + m_j$. Furthermore we get

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} D_y^\alpha u'(y) &= (-\sqrt{-1})^k \frac{\partial^k u'(y)}{\partial y_{t_1} \dots \partial y_{t_k}} \\ &= (-\sqrt{-1})^k \sum_{i_1=1}^{m_1} \dots \sum_{i_k=1}^{m_k} \frac{\partial^k u(\Phi(y))}{\partial x_{t_1+i_1} \dots \partial x_{t_k+i_k}} \frac{\partial x_{t_1+i_1}}{\partial y_{t_1}} \dots \frac{\partial x_{t_k+i_k}}{\partial y_{t_k}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, using the fact that the Jacobian of Φ is continuous on \bar{G}' , we get

$$|D_y^\alpha u'(y)| \leq \hat{c}_\alpha \sum_{i_1=1}^{m_1} \dots \sum_{i_k=1}^{m_k} \left| \frac{\partial^k u(\Phi(y))}{\partial x_{t_1+i_1} \dots \partial x_{t_k+i_k}} \right|,$$

where the constant \hat{c}_α depends only on Φ and α . Now one has with another constant \tilde{c}_α

$$\begin{aligned} |D_y^\alpha u'(y)|^2 &\cong \hat{c}_\alpha^2 \left(\sum_{i_1=1}^{m_1} \dots \sum_{i_k=1}^{m_k} \left| \frac{\partial^k u(\Phi(y))}{\partial x_{i_1+i_1} \dots \partial x_{i_k+i_k}} \right| \right)^2 \\ &\cong \tilde{c}_\alpha^2 \sum_{i_1=1}^{m_1} \dots \sum_{i_k=1}^{m_k} \left| \frac{\partial^k u(\Phi(y))}{\partial x_{i_1+i_1} \dots \partial x_{i_k+i_k}} \right|^2 \end{aligned}$$

and further

$$(2.12) \quad \|D_y^\alpha u'\|_{0,G'}^2 \cong \tilde{c}_\alpha^2 \sum_{i_1=1}^{m_1} \dots \sum_{i_k=1}^{m_k} \int_{G'} \left| \frac{\partial^k u(\Phi(y))}{\partial x_{i_1+i_1} \dots \partial x_{i_k+i_k}} \right|^2 dy.$$

We substitute $y = \Phi^{-1}(x)$. As the Jacobian of Φ^{-1} is continuous on \bar{G} we find

$$(2.13) \quad \|D_y^\alpha u'\|_{0,G'}^2 \cong c_\alpha^2 \sum_{i_1=1}^{m_1} \dots \sum_{i_k=1}^{m_k} \left\| \frac{\partial^k u}{\partial x_{i_1+i_1} \dots \partial x_{i_k+i_k}} \right\|_{0,G}^2$$

with a suitable constant c_α . In the summation on the right side of (2.13) only multi-indices which belong to Γ appear, wherefore we get

$$(2.14) \quad \|D_y^\alpha u'\|_{0,G'}^2 \cong c_\alpha^2 \|u\|_{\Gamma}^2.$$

Because (2.14) holds for all multi-indices $\alpha \in \Gamma$, we can finally show that there exists a constant \tilde{c}_1^{-1} depending only on Φ such that

$$\|u'\|_{\Gamma,G'} \cong \tilde{c}_1^{-1} \|u\|_{\Gamma,G}$$

holds for all functions (2.8). By interchanging the roles of x and y we get the second inequality of (2.10).

To prove a theorem for functions in $H_0^\Gamma(G)$ which is quite similar to a theorem on the behaviour of the elements of $H_0^j(G)$ (cf. e.g. [5], p. 28), we define for each j ($1 \leq j \leq k$)

$$(2.15) \quad \Gamma^j := \left\{ \gamma \mid \gamma \in N_0^n, \gamma = \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^k \varepsilon_{t_i} \right\}$$

(for $\gamma \in \Gamma^j$ one has $|\gamma| = k - 1$) and

$$\partial_j G := G_1 \times \dots \times G_{j-1} \times \partial G_j \times G_{j+1} \times \dots \times G_k.$$

Theorem 4. *Let G be a bounded open set with Property P. Then for each $u \in H_0^\Gamma(G) \cap C^{k-1}(\bar{G})$ the relation*

$$(2.16) \quad D^\beta u|_{\partial_j G} = 0$$

holds for all multi-indices β with $\beta \leq \gamma$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma^j$ ($1 \leq j \leq k$).

Proof. It is obviously enough to prove the result for $j=1$. For a point $(\xi, \eta) \in \partial G_1 \times G^\sim$, $G^\sim = G_2 \times \dots \times G_k$, we can assume by Lemma 3 that the point $\xi \in \partial G_1$ and for a neighbourhood $U \subset \mathbf{R}^{m_1}$ of ξ the set $U \cap \partial G_1$ lie in the hyper-

surface $x_1=0$ and that $U \cap G_1$ lies in the halfspace $x_1 \leq 0$ ². In the Cartesian product $(U \cap \partial G_1) \times G^\sim$ we can find an n -dimensional cylinder S_h with height h , the base of which is an $(n-1)$ -dimensional ball

$$B_{r_h}(\xi, \eta) = \left\{ x \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^n, x_1 = 0, \sum_{i=2}^{m_1} |x_i - \xi_i|^2 + \sum_{i=m_1+1}^n |x_i - \eta_i|^2 < r_h^2 \right\}$$

in $U \cap \partial G_1$ in the hypersurface $x_1=0$, where the radius r_h is chosen such that the volume of S_h is equal to h^2 . With sufficiently small values of h we have $S_h \subset G_1 \times G^\sim$.

For each $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(G)$ one has

$$(2.17) \quad D^\beta \varphi(x) = 0$$

for all $x \in B_{r_h}(\xi, \eta)$ and all $\beta \in N_0^n$. From (2.17) one has

$$(2.18) \quad D_x^\beta \varphi(x) = \int_0^{x_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} D_x^\beta \varphi(t, x_2, \dots, x_n) dt.$$

By the Cauchy—Schwarz inequality we get

$$|D_x^\beta \varphi(x)|^2 \leq h \int_0^h \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} D_x^\beta \varphi(t, x_2, \dots, x_n) \right|^2 dt.$$

Integration with respect to x gives

$$\int_{S_h} |D_x^\beta \varphi(x)|^2 dx \leq h^2 \int_{S_h} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} D_x^\beta \varphi(x) \right|^2 dx.$$

Since $\text{vol } S_h = h^2$, we get

$$(2.19) \quad \frac{1}{\text{vol } S_h} \int_{S_h} |D_x^\beta \varphi(x)| dx \leq \int_{S_h} |D_x^{\beta + \varepsilon_1} \varphi(x)|^2 dx$$

for $\varepsilon_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in N_0^n$.

Now take a multi-index β with $\beta \leq \gamma$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma^1$. Then one has $\beta + \varepsilon_1 \leq \gamma + \varepsilon_1 \in \Gamma$. By Lemma 1 it follows that the right-hand integral tends to zero also for all elements $u \in H_0^\Gamma(G)$ if $h \rightarrow 0$, whence we have

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\text{vol } S_h} \int_{S_h} |D^\beta u(x)|^2 dx = 0.$$

If in addition $u \in C^{k-1}(\bar{G})$, we conclude by using the mean value theorem

$$D^\beta u(\xi, \eta) = 0$$

for all $\eta \in G^\sim$ and for all $\beta \leq \gamma \in \Gamma^1$. This proves Theorem 4.

² To reach this situation by a local C^1 -diffeomorphism (cf. Lemma 3) we must have some regularity of the boundaries; in the special case $m_1 = \dots = m_k = 1$ the following proof is always applicable without any transformation by a C^1 -diffeomorphism.

2.3. In this connection we remark that partial integration is possible for functions of the set

$$(2.20) \quad X := H_0^\Gamma(G) \cap C^\infty(\bar{G}),$$

provided that the set G has Property P.

Lemma 5. *Let G be a bounded open set with Property P. Then*

$$\int_G \overline{D^\alpha u(x)} D^\alpha v(x) \, dx = \int_G \overline{D^{2\alpha} u(x)} v(x) \, dx$$

holds for all $u, v \in X$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

2.4. In the case where the bounded open set G has Property P we introduce another Hilbert space denoted by $H^{(k)}(G)$. On X a scalar product is defined by

$$(2.21) \quad (u, v)_{(k)} := (L(D)u, L(D)v)_0 + (u, v)_0.$$

The Hilbert space $H^{(k)}(G)$ is defined as the completion of X with respect to the scalar product (2.21). It is clear that (2.21) gives the graph norm $\|\cdot\|_{(k)}$,

$$(2.22) \quad \|u\|_{(k)}^2 = \|L(D)u\|_0^2 + \|u\|_0^2$$

on X .

We consider the densely defined linear operator L in the Hilbert space $L^2(G)$ given by

$$(2.23) \quad \begin{cases} D(L) := X (\subset L^2(G)), \\ Lu := L(D)u \text{ for all } u \in X. \end{cases}$$

By partial integration one gets

$$(2.24) \quad (Lu, v)_0 = \int_G \overline{L(D)u(x)} v(x) \, dx = \int_G \overline{u(x)} L(D)v(x) \, dx = (u, g)_0$$

for $u, v \in X$, with $L(D)v =: g =: L^*v$. Thus, we have $D(L^*) \supset X$, and the adjoint operator L^* is densely defined on $L^2(G)$. Hence the operator L is closable with the closure (smallest closed extension) $L^\sim = L^{**}$.

Theorem 6. *Let G be a bounded open set with Property P. Then the relation*

$$D(L^\sim) = H^{(k)}(G)$$

holds.

Proof. We denote the graphs of L and L^\sim by

$$G(L) := \{(u, Lu) \mid u \in X\}$$

and

$$G(L^\sim) := \{(f, L^\sim f) \mid f \in D(L^\sim)\},$$

respectively. On the other hand, it is well-known (cf. [10], p. 89) that $G(L^\sim)$ coincides with the completion of $G(L)$ with respect to the graph norm (2.22),

$$(2.25) \quad G(L^\sim) = \overline{G(L)}^{\|\cdot\|_{(k)}}.$$

For an arbitrary $u \in H^{(k)}(G)$ there exists by definition a sequence $\{u_m\}_{m \in N} \subset X$ such that

$$(2.26) \quad \|u_m - u\|_0 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for } m \rightarrow \infty$$

and $\{Lu_m\}_{m \in N}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^2(G)$, which implies the existence of a unique element $w \in L^2(G)$ such that

$$(2.27) \quad \|Lu_m - w\|_0 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Because of (2.25) it follows that $(u, w) \in G(L^\sim)$, i.e., $u \in D(L^\sim)$ (and $w = L^\sim u$).

On the other hand, let $u \in D(L^\sim)$ be given. By the definition of a closed operator there exists a sequence $\{u_m\}_{m \in N} \subset D(L) = X$ such that (2.26) and (2.27) hold with $w := L^\sim u$. By definition we have $u \in H^{(k)}(G)$.

Remark. 1. From Theorem 6 we obtain for the scalar product of $H^{(k)}(G)$ the expression

$$(u, v)_{(k)} = (L^\sim u, L^\sim v)_0 + (u, v)_0.$$

2. Let B be the sesquilinear form (2.1). By partial integration one has

$$(2.28) \quad B(u, \varphi) = (Lu, \varphi)_0 \quad \text{for all } u \in X \quad \text{and } \varphi \in C_0^\infty(G)$$

and by continuous extension

$$B(u, v) = (Lu, v)_0 \quad \text{for all } u, v \in X.$$

By the Cauchy—Schwarz inequality we get

$$|B(u, v)| \leq \|u\|_{(k)} \|v\|_0 \quad \text{for all } u, v \in X$$

and especially

$$(2.29) \quad \|u\|_{(k)}^2 \leq \|u\|_{(k)} \|u\|_0 \quad \text{for all } u \in X.$$

2.5. Now we will show that the elements of $H^{(k)}(G)$ have the same boundary behaviour as the elements of $H_0^f(G)$:

$$(2.30) \quad H^{(k)}(G) \cap H_0^f(G) = H^{(k)}(G).$$

Take an element $v \in H^{(k)}(G)$. By the definition of $H^{(k)}(G)$ there exists a sequence $\{u_j\} \subset X = H_0^f(G) \cap C^\infty(\bar{G})$ with

$$\|u_j - v\|_{(k)}^2 = \|Lu_j - L^\sim v\|_0^2 + \|u_j - v\|_0^2 \rightarrow 0.$$

Especially $\{u_j\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $H^{(k)}(G)$ and by (2.29) also in $H_0^f(G)$:

$$\|u_j - u_l\|_r \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus $\{u_j\}$ has a limit element v^* in $H_0^r(G)$:

$$\|u_j - v^*\|_r \rightarrow 0.$$

By Lemma 2 it follows $\|u_j - v^*\|_0 \rightarrow 0$. Hence we get $v = v^* \in H_0^r(G)$, which means $H^{(k)}(G) \subset H_0^r(G)$. This proves the assertion (2.30).

3. A generalized Dirichlet problem

3.1. Let G be a bounded open set with Property P. We have defined the sesquilinear form B on $C_*^k(G)$ by (2.1). Since $C_*^k(G)$ is dense in $H^r(G)$ and since the obvious estimate

$$|B(u, v)| \leq c \|u\|_r \|v\|_r$$

holds for all $u, v \in C_*^k(G)$, this sesquilinear form can be extended continuously onto $H^r(G)$.

Using this sesquilinear form we can now formulate (analogously to the theory of strongly elliptic boundary value problems) a generalized Dirichlet problem. Starting from the Dirichlet problem of classical type

$$(3.1a) \quad L(D)u = f \quad \text{in } G,$$

$$(3.1b) \quad D^\alpha u = g_{\alpha, j} \quad \text{on } \partial_j G,$$

for all $\alpha \in N_0^n$, $\alpha \leq \gamma$ with some $\gamma \in \Gamma^j$ ($1 \leq j \leq k$) we pose the generalized problem as follows (cf. also [4]):

Problem 1. For $f \in L^2(G)$ and $g \in H^r(G)$ find all $u \in H^r(G)$ such that

$$(3.2) \quad B(u, \varphi) = (f, \varphi)_0$$

holds for all $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(G)$ and the generalized boundary condition

$$v := u - g \in H_0^r(G)$$

is fulfilled.

From Lemma 2 it follows that the linear functional $l_{f, g}$ defined by

$$(3.3) \quad l_{f, g}(\varphi) := (f, \varphi)_0 - B(g, \varphi) \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in C_0^\infty(G)$$

is bounded on $H_0^r(G)$. Thus, Problem 1 is equivalent to

Problem 2. For $f \in L^2(G)$ and $g \in H^r(G)$ find all elements $v \in H_0^r(G)$ such that

$$l_{f, g}(\varphi) = B(v, \varphi)$$

holds for all $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(G)$.

3.2. Now, we have

Theorem 7. Problem 2 has a unique solution $v \in H_0^r(G)$.

Proof. By Lemma 2 the sesquilinear form B is equivalent to the scalar product (2.2) on $H_0^r(G)$. By the Fréchet—Riesz representation theorem the result follows immediately.

Remark. If (in the case $f \in C^0(G)$ and $g \in H^r(G) \cap C^{k-1}(\bar{G})$) the function $u = v + g \in H^r(G)$ has more regularity, $u \in X$, and if G has Property P, the function u is also a classical solution of the differential equation (3.1a) in G and fulfils the boundary condition (3.1b) in the usual sense (cf. Theorem 4).

4. On the regularity of the solutions of the generalized

4.1. Let G be a bounded open set with Property P. Furthermore, let $f \in L^2(G)$ and an “admissible” boundary data $g \in H^r(G)$ be given. We call the boundary data $g \in H^r(G)$ admissible if the linear functional $l_{f,g}$ is also bounded on $L^2(G)$, and therefore by the Fréchet—Riesz theorem there exists an element $h \in L^2(G)$ such that the relation

$$(4.1) \quad l_{f,g}(\varphi) = (h, \varphi)_0$$

holds for all $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(G)$.

First we prove, in the case where the function $h \in L^2(G)$ has the form

$$(4.2) \quad h = h_1 \dots h_k$$

with $h_j \in L^2(G_j)$ ($1 \leq j \leq k$), a regularity result which is similar to that of [3] (pp. 46—68). At the second stage we will drop assumption (4.2) and take an arbitrary $h \in L^2(G)$.

We denote by $H_0^1(G_j)$ ($1 \leq j \leq k$) the usual Sobolev space of functions with generalized homogeneous boundary values and by $\|\cdot\|_{1,G_j}$ the norm in $H_0^1(G_j)$. For $1 \leq j \leq k$ let B_j be the sesquilinear form

$$(4.3) \quad B_j(\varphi_j, \psi_j) := \int_{G_j} \sum_{i=1}^{m_j} \overline{D_{l_j+i} \varphi_j(x^{(j)})} D_{l_j+i} \psi_j(x^{(j)}) dx^{(j)}$$

defined for $\varphi_j, \psi_j \in C_0^\infty(G_j)$ with $x^{(j)} := (x_{l_j+1}, \dots, x_{l_j+m_j})$ and $dx^{(j)} := dx_{l_j+1} \dots dx_{l_j+m_j}$. This form gives a norm $\|\cdot\|_{1,G_j}$,

$$(4.4) \quad \|\varphi_j\|_{1,G_j}^2 = B_j(\varphi_j, \varphi_j),$$

equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_{1,G_j}$.

By the theory of elliptic differential operators there exists for each j ($1 \leq j \leq k$) a unique $v_j \in H_0^1(G_j)$ such that

$$(4.5) \quad (h_j, \varphi_j)_{0,G_j} = B_j(v_j, \varphi_j)$$

holds for all $\varphi_j \in C_0^\infty(G_j)$.

Theorem 8. Let G be a bounded open set with Property P. Let $f \in L^2(G)$ and an admissible boundary data $g \in H^\Gamma(G)$ be given. Furthermore assume that the element $h \in L^2(G)$ representing the functional $l_{f,g}$ of Problem 2 has the form (4.2). Then the unique solution of Problem 2 is the product of the unique solutions of the equations (4.5).

Proof. A. First we prove by induction that the product $v = v_1 \dots v_k$ of the solutions v_j of the equations (4.5) belongs to $H_0^\Gamma(G)$. For $k=1$ this is trivial because then the equality $H_0^1(G) = H_0^\Gamma(G)$ holds. For $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq l \leq k$, we define

$$\Gamma_l = \left\{ \alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, \alpha = \sum_{j=1}^l \varepsilon_{t_j} \right\}$$

(cf. (1.3)). Note that with this definition we have $\Gamma_k = \Gamma$.

Now let $G^\wedge := G_1 \times \dots \times G_{l-1}$, $l \leq k$, and assume $\tilde{v} = v_1 \dots v_{l-1} \in H_0^{l-1}(G^\wedge)$ with $v_j \in H_0^1(G_j)$, $1 \leq j \leq l-1$. Thus, there exists a sequence $\{\tilde{\varphi}_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\tilde{\varphi}_m \in C_0^\infty(G^\wedge)$ such that

$$(4.6) \quad \|\tilde{v} - \tilde{\varphi}_m\|_{\Gamma_{l-1}, G^\wedge} \rightarrow 0$$

holds for $m \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand, since $C_0^\infty(G_l)$ is dense in $H_0^1(G_l)$, we can find a sequence $\{\varphi_{l,m}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\varphi_{l,m} \in C_0^\infty(G_l)$, such that

$$(4.7) \quad \|v_l - \varphi_{l,m}\|_{1, G_l} \rightarrow 0$$

holds for $m \rightarrow \infty$. Now, if we put $G' = G^\wedge \times G_l$ ($l \leq k$),

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\tilde{v} - \tilde{\varphi}_m\|_{\Gamma_{l-1}, G^\wedge} \|v_l - \varphi_{l,m}\|_{1, G_l} = \|(\tilde{v} - \tilde{\varphi}_m)(v_l - \varphi_{l,m})\|_{\Gamma_l, G'} \\ & \cong \|\tilde{v}v_l - \tilde{\varphi}_m\varphi_{l,m}\|_{\Gamma_l, G'} - \|\tilde{\varphi}_m\|_{\Gamma_{l-1}, G^\wedge} \|v_l - \varphi_{l,m}\|_{1, G_l} - \|\varphi_{l,m}\|_{1, G_l} \|\tilde{v} - \tilde{\varphi}_m\|_{\Gamma_{l-1}, G^\wedge}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|\tilde{\varphi}_m\|_{\Gamma_{l-1}, G^\wedge}$ and $\|\varphi_{l,m}\|_{1, G_l}$ are bounded it follows by (4.6) and (4.7) that

$$\|\tilde{v}v_l - \tilde{\varphi}_m\varphi_{l,m}\|_{\Gamma_l, G'} \rightarrow 0$$

for $m \rightarrow \infty$.

B. Next we prove that $v = v_1 \dots v_k$ solves the equation

$$B(v, \varphi) = (h_1 \dots h_k, \varphi)_{0, G}$$

for all $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(G)$. For the functions $\varphi_j \in C_0^\infty(G_j)$ ($1 \leq j \leq k$) we have by (4.3) and (4.5)

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} B(v_1 \dots v_k, \varphi_1 \dots \varphi_k) &= B_1(v_1, \varphi_1) \dots B_k(v_k, \varphi_k) \\ &= (h_1, \varphi_1)_{0, G_1} \dots (h_k, \varphi_k)_{0, G_k} = (h_1 \dots h_k, \varphi_1 \dots \varphi_k)_{0, G}. \end{aligned}$$

By [9] (Corollary 1 and 2 of Theorem 39.2, p. 409) the equation (4.8) can be extended continuously to all elements $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(G)$ instead of $\varphi_1 \dots \varphi_k$. Thus v is the unique solution of Problem 2.

C. Assuming the boundaries ∂G_j ($1 \leq j \leq k$) to be smooth enough we can apply the regularity theory for solutions of elliptic equations (cf. [3], pp. 46—68) to the functions $v_j \in H_0^1(G_j)$ ($1 \leq j \leq k$) solving (4.5). Hence for smooth boundaries ∂G_j and $h_j \in L^2(G_j)$ we find $v_j \in H^2(G_j) \cap H_0^1(G_j)$ ($1 \leq j \leq k$) and therefore

$$(4.9) \quad v_1 \dots v_k = v \in H^{2r}(G) \cap H_0^r(G).$$

If in addition $h_j \in C^\infty(\bar{G}_j)$ ($1 \leq j \leq k$), we have (again under the assumption of smooth boundaries) $v_j \in H_0^1(G_j) \cap C^\infty(\bar{G}_j)$ and therefore

$$(4.10) \quad v_1 \dots v_k = v \in H_0^r(G) \cap C^\infty(\bar{G})$$

(see [3], p. 68).

4.2. We will now examine the regularity of the solution of Problem 2 for arbitrary admissible data.

Theorem 9. *Let G be a bounded open set with Property P. Furthermore let $f \in L^2(G)$ and an admissible $g \in H^r(G)$ be given. Then the unique solution v of Problem 2 belongs to $H^{(k)}(G)$.*

Proof. Since g is admissible there exists an element $h \in L^2(G)$ such that the functional $l_{f,g}$ of Problem 2 has the representation $l_{f,g}(\varphi) = (h, \varphi)_0$. By [9] (Corollary 1 of Theorem 39.2, p. 409) there exists for h and for each $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{R}, \varepsilon > 0$ a function $h_\varepsilon \in C_0^\infty(G)$ such that

$$(4.11) \quad \|h - h_\varepsilon\|_{0,G} < \varepsilon$$

holds, where h_ε has the form

$$(4.12) \quad h_\varepsilon := \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_k) \in \Omega} h_{i_1, \varepsilon} \dots h_{i_k, \varepsilon}$$

with a suitable finite subset Ω of N^k and $h_{i_j, \varepsilon} \in C_0^\infty(G_j)$ ($1 \leq j \leq k$).

For the functions $h_{i_j, \varepsilon}$ ($1 \leq j \leq k$) we consider the unique solutions $v_{i_j, \varepsilon} \in H_0^1(G_j)$ of the generalized strongly elliptic Dirichlet problems

$$(4.13) \quad (h_{i_j, \varepsilon}, \varphi)_{0, G_j} = B_j(v_{i_j, \varepsilon}, \varphi_j) \quad \text{for all } \varphi_j \in C_0^\infty(G_j).$$

From the elliptic theory we get $v_{i_j, \varepsilon} \in C^\infty(\bar{G}_j)$ (cf. [3], p. 68). The function

$$v_\varepsilon := \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_k) \in \Omega} v_{i_1, \varepsilon} \dots v_{i_k, \varepsilon} \in H_0^r(G) \cap C^\infty(\bar{G}) = X = D(L)$$

is the unique solution of the equation

$$(h_\varepsilon, \varphi)_{0,G} = B(v_\varepsilon, \varphi) \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in C_0^\infty(G).$$

We denote the unique solution of Problem 2 again by $v \in H_0^1(G)$. We have

$$B(v - v_\varepsilon, \varphi) = (h - h_\varepsilon, \varphi)_{0,G} \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in C_0^\infty(G).$$

The continuity of the sesquilinear form B in $H_0^1(G)$ implies (with $v - v_\varepsilon$ instead of φ)

$$\| \|v - v_\varepsilon\|_G^2 \cong \|h - h_\varepsilon\|_0 \|v - v_\varepsilon\|_0 \cong c \|h - h_\varepsilon\|_0 \| \|v - v_\varepsilon\|_G$$

with a positive constant c . We apply (4.11) and get

$$\| \|v - v_\varepsilon\|_G \cong c\varepsilon.$$

Thus, because of the equivalence of the norms $\|\cdot\|_G$ and $\| \|\cdot\|_G$ on $H_0^1(G)$ we have

$$\|v - v_\varepsilon\|_0 \rightarrow 0$$

for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, and by (4.11)

$$\|h - Lv_\varepsilon\|_0 = \|h - h_\varepsilon\|_0 \rightarrow 0.$$

Since L^\sim is the closure of L we get finally

$$v \in D(L^\sim) = H^{(k)}(G).$$

References

- [1] DOLPH, C. L.: The mathematician grapples with linear problems associated with the radiation condition. - Proceedings of the Symposium on electromagnetic wave theory, The University of Michigan 1955. IRE Trans. Antennas and Propagation 4, 1956, 302—311.
- [2] DYNKIN, E. B.: Harmonic functions associated with several Markov processes. - Adv. in Appl. Math. 2, 1981, 260—283.
- [3] FRIEDMAN, A.: Partial differential equations. - Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc., New York—Chicago—etc., 1969. Reprint: Robert E. Krieger Publishing Company, Huntington (New York), 1976.
- [4] HESS, P.: Über das verallgemeinerte Dirichletproblem für lineare partielle Differentialgleichungen. - Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A. I. Math. 434, 1969, 1—28.
- [5] HILDEBRANDT, S.: Lineare elliptische Differentialgleichungen. - [Vorlesung, Mainz 1966, als Manuskript vervielfältigt.] Johannes Gutenberg -Universität, Mathematisches Institut, Mainz, 1966.
- [6] HÖRMANDER, L.: Linear partial differential operators. - Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 116. Springer-Verlag, Berlin—Göttingen—Heidelberg, 1963.
- [7] JACOB, N.: On generalized Dirichlet problems. - Math. Scand. [To appear.]

-
- [8] NEVANLINNA, R.: Erweiterung der Theorie des Hilbertschen Raumes. - Medd. Lunds Univ. Mat. Sem. Supplementband tillägnat Marcel Riesz, Tome supplémentaire dédié à Marcel Riesz, 1952, 160—168.
- [9] TRÈVES, F.: Topological vector spaces, distributions and kernels. - Pure and Applied Mathematics 25. Academic Press, New York—London, 1967.
- [10] WEIDMANN, J.: Lineare Operatoren in Hilberträumen. - Mathematische Leitfäden. B. G. Teubner, Stuttgart, 1976.

Freie Universität Berlin
Fachbereich Mathematik
Arnimallee 2—6
D 1000 Berlin 33
Bundesrepublik Deutschland

New address of N. J.:
Hochschule der Bundeswehr München
Fachbereich Informatik
Institut für Mathematik
Werner—Heisenberg—Weg 39
D 8014 Neubiberg
Bundesrepublik Deutschland

Received 12 August 1983