Annales Academiæ Scientiarum Fennicæ Series A. I. Mathematica Volumen 10, 1985, 83-87 Commentationes in honorem Olli Lehto LX annos nato

## ON A THEOREM OF ABIKOFF

## LIPMAN BERS\*

This note contains a new proof and an extension of a theorem of Abikoff [1] on (complex) boundaries of Teichmüller spaces. First we recall some definitions and results, cf. [3] for references.

Let G be a Fuchsian group operating on the upper half-plane U, i.e., a discrete subgroup of  $PSL(2, \mathbf{R})$ . Let B(L, G) be the complex Banach space of holomorphic functions  $\varphi(z)$  defined in the lower half-plane L, with norm

$$\|\varphi\| = \sup |y^2\varphi(z)| < \infty \quad (z = x + iy \in L),$$

and satisfying the functional equation of quadratic differentials

$$\varphi(g(z))g'(z)^2 = \varphi(z), \quad g \in G.$$

For every  $\varphi \in B(L, G)$  the Schwarzian differential equation

(1) 
$$\{W, z\} = \left(\frac{W''(z)}{W'(z)}\right)' - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{W''(z)}{W'(z)}\right)^2 = \varphi(z)$$

has meromorphic solutions in L; if W is one, all others are of the form  $\alpha W$  where  $\alpha \in PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ . It is convenient to denote by  $W_{\varphi}$  the solution of (1) normalized by the requirement that

(2) 
$$W_{\varphi}(t-i) = \frac{1}{t} + O(t), \quad t \to 0.$$

Every  $\varphi \in B(L, G)$  induces a homomorphism  $\chi_{\varphi}$  of G into PSL(2, C) defined by the rule

$$W_{\varphi} \circ g(z) = \chi_{\varphi}(g) \circ W_{\varphi}(z) \quad (g \in G, z \in L).$$

(The group  $G_{\varphi} = \chi_{\varphi}(G)$  is called the monodromy group of  $\varphi$ .)

The Teichmüller space T(G) of G can be defined as the set of those  $\varphi \in B(L, G)$ for which  $W_{\varphi}$  is the restriction to L of a quasiconformal self-map  $\hat{W}_{\varphi}$  of  $\hat{C}$ , with

$$\hat{W}_{\varphi} \circ g \circ \hat{W}_{\varphi}^{-1}(z) = \chi_{\varphi}(g)(z) \quad (g \in G, \ z \in \hat{C})$$

(so that  $G_{\omega}$  is a quasi-Fuchsian group).

<sup>\*)</sup> This material is based upon work partially supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. NSF MCS-78-27119.

It is known that T(G) is a domain (of holomorphy) in B(L, G), containing the ball  $\|\varphi\| < 1/2$  and contained in the ball  $\|\varphi\| < 3/2$ .

(We recall that T(G) can be identified with the Teichmüller space  $T(S_G)$  of the Riemann surface  $S_G = U_G/G$  where  $U_G$  is the complement in U of all fixed points of elliptic elements of U. The dimension of B(L, G) is finite if and only if  $S_G$  is obtained from a closed surface of genus p by removing n distinct points, with 2p-2+n > 0; in this case dim  $T(G) = \dim B(L, G) = 3p-3+n$ .)

From now we assume that dim B(L, G) > 0, i.e., that G is not a triangle group, and denote by  $\partial T(G)$  the boundary of T(G) in B(L, G). It is known and easy to show (cf. [3], p. 592) that for all points  $\varphi \in \partial T(G)$  the map  $W_{\varphi_0}$  is injective (so that  $G_{\varphi_0}$  is discrete and has a non-empty region of discontinuity).

Theorem. Every neighborhood of every point  $\varphi_0 \in \partial T(G)$  contains points in the complement of  $T(G) \cup \partial T(G)$ .

For dim  $T(G) < \infty$  Abikoff [1] proved (by an ingenious use of plurisubharmonic functions) that  $\varphi_0 \in \partial T(G)$  has the property stated in the theorem whenever the limit set of  $G_{\varphi_0}$  has measure 0. He quotes an assertion by Thurston (the proof of which is not yet published) which implies that this is always so. Abikoff also notes that for G=1, the trivial group, the theorem follows at once from Gehring's characterization of the universal Teichmüller space T(1) as the interior in B(L, 1) of the set of Schwarzian derivatives of univalent functions [5].

The proof below (for all G) is based on an improvement of the "improved  $\lambda$ -lemma" of Sullivan – Thurston [6], which appears as Theorem 3 in Bers – Royden [4].

Assume that theorem is false for some G and some  $\varphi_0 \in \partial T(G)$ . Then there is an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for  $\|\varphi - \varphi_0\| < \varepsilon$  the point  $\varphi \in B(L, G)$  belongs to  $T(G) \cup \partial T(G)$ , so that  $W_{\varphi}$  is injective.

Set

$$E = W_{aa}(L).$$

Choose a  $\varphi_1 \in T(G)$  with  $\|\varphi_1 - \varphi_0\| < \varepsilon/4$  and set

$$\psi_{\lambda} = (1 - 4\lambda)\varphi_0 + 4\lambda\varphi_1 \quad (|\lambda| < 1)$$

and

$$f(\lambda, z) = W_{\mu_{\lambda}} \circ W_{\mu_{\lambda}}^{-1}(z) \quad (|\lambda| < 1, z \in E).$$

Let  $\Delta_a$  denote the disc  $|\lambda| < a$  in C.

The map  $f: \Delta_1 \times E \rightarrow \hat{C}$  is an injection of E for every fixed  $\lambda$ , since

$$\|\psi_{\lambda} - \varphi_0\| < \varepsilon \text{ for } |\lambda| < 1,$$

reduces to the identity for  $\lambda = 0$ , since  $\psi_0 = \varphi_0$ , and is holomorphic in  $\lambda$  for every fixed  $z \in E$  (with  $f(\lambda, \infty) = \infty$  for all  $\lambda$ ), since the solution of the Schwarzian differential equation (1) with  $\varphi = \psi_{\lambda}$ , satisfying the initial condition (2), depends holomorphically on  $\lambda$ . (To see this, recall that  $W_{\varphi}$  admits the representation  $W_{\varphi} = \eta_1/\eta_2$ 

where  $\eta_1$  and  $\eta_2$  are solutions of the linear differential equation  $2\eta'' + \varphi \eta = 0$  with  $\eta_1 = \eta'_2 = 1$  and  $\eta'_1 = \eta_2 = 0$  at z = -i.)

Applying Theorem 3 of [4] we conclude that there exists a unique map  $\hat{f}$ :  $\Delta_{1/3} \times \hat{C} \rightarrow \hat{C}$  with the following properties:

(j) For every fixed  $\lambda$ ,  $|\lambda| < 1/3$ ,  $\hat{f}(\lambda, \cdot)$  is a quasiconformal self-mapping of  $\hat{C}$ , depending holomorphically on  $\lambda$  and reducing to the identity for  $\lambda = 0$ ,

(jj) in every component  $\omega$  of the complement H of the closure  $\hat{E}$  of E the Beltrami coefficient of  $f(\lambda, \cdot)$  is "harmonic" and depends holomorphically on  $\lambda$ ,

(jjj) we have that

 $\hat{f}(\lambda, z) = f(\lambda, z)$  for  $|\lambda| < 1/3$  and  $z \in E$ .

Condition (jj) means that

(3) 
$$\mu_{\omega}(\lambda, z) = \left(\frac{\partial \hat{f}(\lambda, z)}{\partial \bar{z}} \middle/ \frac{\partial \hat{f}(\lambda, z)}{\partial z} \right) \omega = \varrho_{\omega}(z)^{-2} \overline{F_{\omega}(\lambda, z)}$$

where  $\varrho_{\omega}(z)|dz|$  is the Poincaré metric in  $\omega$  and  $F_{\omega}(\lambda, z)$  a function holomorphic in  $z \in \omega$ , antiholomorphic in  $\lambda \in \Delta_{1/3}$ . (If  $H = \varphi$ , (jj) is vacuous and the argument simplifies by itself.)

Now let  $g \in G$  and set  $g_{\lambda} = \chi_{\psi_{\lambda}}(g)$ . Then

$$g_{\lambda}(z) = W_{\psi_{\lambda}} \circ g \circ W_{\psi_{\lambda}}^{-1}(z) \text{ for } |\lambda| < 1 \text{ and } z \in L$$

since  $W_{\psi_{\lambda}}$  is injective. Also  $g_0 = \chi_{\varphi_0}(g)$  since  $\psi_0 = \varphi_0$ . Consider the map  $h: \Delta_{1/3} \times \hat{C} \rightarrow \hat{C}$  defined as

(4) 
$$h(\lambda, z) = g_{\lambda}^{-1} \circ \hat{f}(\lambda, g_0(z)).$$

It is holomorphic in  $\lambda$ ,  $|\lambda| < 1/3$ , for each fixed z, and is a quasiconformal selfmapping of  $\hat{C}$  for each fixed  $\lambda$ . Also,

(5) 
$$h(\lambda, z) = f(\lambda, z)$$
 for  $|\lambda| < 1/3, z \in E$ .

Indeed,  $g_0(E) = E$  and for  $z \in E$  one has

$$\begin{split} \hat{f}(\lambda, g_0(z)) &= f(\lambda, g_0(z)) = W_{\psi_{\lambda}} \circ W_{\varphi_0}^{-1} \circ W_{\varphi_0} \circ g \circ W_{\varphi_0}^{-1}(z) \\ &= W_{\psi_{\lambda}} \circ g \circ W_{\varphi_0}^{-1}(z) = g_{\lambda} \circ W_{\psi_{\lambda}} \circ W_{\varphi_0}^{-1}(z) = g_{\lambda} \circ f(\lambda, z) \end{split}$$

whence (5) follows.

If  $\omega$  is a component of the complement H of E, so is  $g_0(\omega)$ , and a direct calculation based on (3) and (4) shows that for  $z \in \omega$  the Beltrami coefficient of  $h(\lambda, z)$  equals

(6) 
$$\left( \frac{\partial h(\lambda, z)}{\partial \overline{z}} \middle/ \frac{\partial h(\lambda, z)}{\partial z} \right) \middle| \omega = \mu_{g(\omega)}(\lambda, g_0(z)) \frac{|g'_0(z)|^2}{g'_0(z)^2}$$
$$= \left[ \varrho_{g(\omega)}(g_0(z)) |g'(z_0)\right]^{-2} \overline{F_{g(\omega)}(\lambda, g_0(z))g'_0(z)^2}$$
$$= \varrho_{\omega}(z)^{-2} \overline{F_{g(\omega)}(\lambda, g_0(z))g'_0(z)^2}.$$

Since the function under the conjugation bar is holomorphic in z and antiholomorphic in  $\lambda$ , and  $\omega$  was arbitrary, we conclude that  $h(\lambda, z)$  has a "harmonic" Beltrami coefficient in every component of the complement of  $\hat{E}$ . By the uniqueness part of Theorem 3 in [4] we obtain that

 $h = \hat{f}$ ,

and this shows that the restriction  $\mu_H$  of the Beltrami coefficient of  $\hat{f}$  to the complement H of  $\hat{E}$ ,

$$\mu_{H}(\lambda, z) = \left( \frac{\partial \hat{f}(\lambda, z)}{\partial \overline{z}} \middle/ \frac{\partial \hat{f}(\lambda, z)}{\partial z} 
ight) H,$$

satisfies the relation

(7) 
$$\mu_H(\lambda, g_0(z)) \frac{|g'_0(z)|^2}{(g'_0(z))^2} = \mu_H(\lambda, z).$$

Since  $g \in G$  was arbitrary, this relation holds for all such g.

It follows from (7) that, for every  $g \in G$  and every  $\lambda \in \Delta_{1/3}$ , the Beltrami coefficient of  $\hat{f}(\lambda, \cdot) \circ g_0 | H$  equals to  $\mu_H(\lambda, \cdot)$  so that there exists a holomorphic function  $\gamma(z)$ ,  $z \in H$ , depending on g and  $\lambda$ , such that

$$\begin{split} \hat{f}(\lambda, \cdot) \circ g_0 | H &= \gamma \circ \hat{f}(\lambda, \cdot) H \\ \gamma &= \hat{f}(\lambda, \cdot) \circ g_0 \circ \hat{f}(\lambda, \cdot)^{-1} | H. \end{split}$$

This relation shows that  $\gamma$  has a continuous extension to the boundary  $\partial H$  of H, which is also the boundary  $\partial E$  of E. But for  $z \in E$ 

$$\begin{split} \hat{f}(\lambda, \cdot) &\circ g_0 \circ \hat{f}(\lambda, \cdot)^{-1}(z) = f(\lambda, \cdot) \circ g_0 \circ f(\lambda, \cdot)^{-1}(z) \\ &= W_{\psi_{\lambda}} \circ W_{\varphi_0}^{-1} \circ W_{\varphi_0} \circ g \circ W_{\varphi_0}^{-1} \circ W_{\varphi_0} \circ W_{\psi_{\lambda}}^{-1}(z) = g_{\lambda}(z). \end{split}$$

Hence the boundary values of  $\gamma$  in *H* coincide with the values of  $g_{\lambda}$  on  $\partial H$ . Since  $\gamma$  and  $g_{\lambda}$  are both holomorphic in  $H, \gamma = g_{\lambda}$ . We established that

 $\hat{f}(\lambda, \cdot) \circ g \circ \hat{f}(\lambda, \cdot)^{-1} = g_{\lambda}.$ 

Since  $\psi_{1/4} = \varphi_1 \in T(G)$ , the map  $W_{\psi_{1/4}}$  has a quasiconformal extension  $\hat{W}_{\psi_{1/4}}$  to  $\hat{C}$  such that

$$\hat{W}_{\psi_{1/4}} \circ g \circ \hat{W}_{\psi_{1/4}}^{-1} = \chi_{\psi_{1/4}}(g) \quad (g \in G).$$

One checks at once that the map

$$\hat{W}_{\varphi_0} = \hat{f}(1/4, \cdot)^{-1} \circ \hat{W}_{\psi_{1/4}}$$

is a quasiconformal extension of  $W_{\varphi_0}$  to  $\hat{C}$  such that

$$\hat{W}_{\varphi_0} \circ g \circ \hat{W}_{\varphi_0}^{-1} = \chi_{\varphi_0}(g) \quad (g \in G).$$

This shows that  $\varphi_0 \in T(G)$ . Contradiction.

*Postscript.* Abikoff observed that the proof above could be somewhat simplified by noting that (under the assumption made about  $\varphi_0$ ,  $\varepsilon$  and  $\varphi_1$ ) the domain E =

 $W_{\varphi_0}(L)$  is a Jordan domain, being the image of the Jordan domain  $W_{\varphi_1}(L)$  under the quasiconformal self-map  $f(1/4, \circ)^{-1}$  of C.

We note that this conclusion could be obtained already from the original "improved  $\lambda$ -lemma" by Sullivan and Thurston [6] without using the uniqueness statement in [4], and that it establishes the theorem for the case dim  $T(G) < \infty$ . The uniqueness statement seems to be essential for treating the case dim  $T(G) = \infty$ .

*P.P.S.* After this note was written I learned about Žuravlev's paper [7]. The main result of this paper asserts that T(G) is the component (containing the origin) of the interior (in B(L, G)) of the set of Schwarzian derivatives (belonging to B(L, G)) of univalent functions. This assertion implies the theorem discussed here.

## References

- ABIKOFF, W.: A geometric property of Bers' embedding of the Teichmüller space. Riemann surfaces and related topics: Proceedings of the 1978 Stony Brook Conference (State Univ. New York, Stony Brook, N. Y., 1978). Ann. of Math. Stud. 97, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1981, 3-5.
- [2] BERS, L.: On boundaries of Teichmüller spaces and on Kleinian groups. I. Ann. of Math. 91, 1970, 570—600.
- [3] BERS, L.: Finite-dimensional Teichmüller spaces and generalizations. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N. S.) 5, 1981, 131–172.
- [4] BERS, L., and H. L. ROYDEN: Holomorphic families of injections. To appear.
- [5] GEHRING, F. W.: Univalent functions and the Schwarzian derivative. Comment. Math. Helv. 52, 1977, 561-572.
- [6] SULLIVAN, D., and W. P. THURSTON: To appear.
- [7] ŽURAVLEV, I. V.: Univalent functions and Teichmüller spaces. Soviet Math. Dokl. 21, 1980, 252—255; translated from Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 250, 1980, 1047—1050.

Columbia University Department of Mathematics New York, NY 10027 USA

Received 28 October 1983