Annales Academiæ Scientiarum Fennicæ Series A. I. Mathematica Volumen 12, 1987, 119–134

# ON SUBHARMONIC FUNCTIONS IN STRIPS

#### HIROAKI AIKAWA

## 1. Introduction

Various properties of subharmonic functions on a strip  $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, 1)$  have been studied by many authors (see Hardy, Ingham and Pólya [17] for n=1; Brawn [6—12], Armitage [3, 4], Armitage and Fugard [5] for  $n \ge 1$ ). Recently Yoshida [26—28] extended some of them for subharmonic functions on a cylinder  $\mathbb{R}^1 \times D$ , where D is a smooth bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . The purpose of this paper is to consider several properties of subharmonic functions on a (generalized) strip  $\mathbb{R}^n \times D$  from a point of view different from Yoshida's. We shall argue especially the Phragmén—Lindelöf principle, the harmonic majorization and the properties of hyperplane mean values of subharmonic functions. In case m=1 every bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  is similar to (0, 1). However, there arises a regularity problem for bounded domains D in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  if m>1. In this paper we let D be a bounded Lipschitz domain if m>1.

We denote by P=(X, Y) a point in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}=\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m$ , where  $X=(x_1, ..., x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and  $Y=(y_1, ..., y_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . We write |P|, |X| and |Y| for  $(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j^2 + \sum_{j=1}^m y_j^2)^{1/2}$ ,  $(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j^2)^{1/2}$  and  $(\sum_{j=1}^m y_j^2)^{1/2}$ , respectively. We identify  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbb{R}^m$  with  $\{(X, Y); Y=0\}$  and  $\{(X, Y); X=0\}$ , respectively. We denote by  $S^{n-1}$  the unit sphere  $\{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n; |\alpha|=1\}$  with center at the origin in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and by  $\tau$  the surface measure on  $S^{n-1}$ . We write briefly  $L_D$  for a strip  $\mathbb{R}^n \times D$ .

Let s be a subharmonic function on  $L_p$ . If

(PL) 
$$\limsup_{P \to Q, P \in L_D} s(P) \leq 0 \quad \text{for} \quad Q \in \partial L_D,$$

then we say that s satisfies the Phragmén—Lindelöf boundary condition. We denote by  $s^+$  the positive part of s. In view of the behavior at  $\infty$  of the Bessel function  $I_{n/2-1}$  of the third kind of order n/2-1,

(1) 
$$I_{n/2-1}(r) \sim r^{-1/2} e^r \quad \text{as} \quad r \to \infty,$$

([23; p. 203]), Brawn's result [7; Theorem 2, Corollary] may read as follows:

Theorem A. Let m=1 and D=(0, 1). If s is a subharmonic function in  $L_D$ 

doi:10.5186/aasfm.1987.1202

satisfying (PL) such that

(2)  $\liminf_{r \to \infty} r^{(n-1)/2} e^{-\pi r} \int_{S^{n-1}} \int_0^1 s^+(r\alpha, y) \sin(\pi y) \, dy \, d\tau(\alpha) = 0,$ 

then  $s \leq 0$  in  $L_D$ .

We note that the function  $\sin(\pi y)$  appearing in (2) is related to the eigenvalue problem

(3) 
$$(\Delta_{Y} + \mu)f = 0 \quad \text{on } D,$$
$$f = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial D,$$

where  $\Delta_{Y} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y_{j}^{2}}$ . If m=1 and D=(0, 1), then the constant  $\pi^{2}$  and the function  $\sin(\pi y)$  are the first positive eigenvalue of (3) and its positive eigenfunction. In general we let  $\lambda_{D}$  be the square root of the first positive eigenvalue of (3) and let  $f_{D}$  be its positive eigenfunction. In Section 3 we shall prove the following generalization of Theorem A:

Theorem 1. Let  $m \ge 1$  and  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ . If s is a subharmonic function on  $L_D$  satisfying (PL) such that

(4) 
$$\liminf_{r\to\infty} r^{(n-1)/2} \exp\left(-\lambda_D r\right) \int_{S^{n-1}} \int_D s^+(r\alpha, Y) f_D(Y) \, dY \, d\tau(\alpha) = 0,$$

then  $s \leq 0$  on  $L_D$ .

Next we shall deal with subharmonic functions which do not necessarily satisfy (PL). Let  $\mathscr{S}$  be the set of all functions s defined on the closure of  $L_D$  that are subharmonic in  $L_D$  and satisfy

$$\limsup_{P \to Q, P \in L_D} s(P) = s(Q) < +\infty \quad \text{for every} \quad Q \in \partial L_D.$$

Let  $\mathscr{A}$  be the set of all subharmonic functions s that have nonnegative harmonic majorants (see [11; p. 262]). In case m=1 we can easily deduce the following sufficient condition for s to belong to  $\mathscr{A}$  in the same line as in Brawn [8; Theorem 2]:

Theorem B. Let m=1 and D=(0, 1). If  $s \in \mathscr{S}$  satisfies (2) and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} s^+(X, 0)(1+|X|)^{(1-n)/2} e^{-\pi|X|} dX < \infty,$   $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} s^+(X, 1)(1+|X|)^{(1-n)/2} e^{-\pi|X|} dX < \infty,$ 

then  $s \in \mathscr{A}$ .

In order to generalize Theorem B to the case  $m \ge 1$ , we need to define the normal derivative of  $f_D$ . Let  $n_Y$  be the inward normal at Y with respect to  $\partial D$  and let  $\sigma$  be the surface measure on  $\partial D$ . It is well known that  $n_Y$  exists  $\sigma$ -a.e. on  $\partial D$  (see e.g. [22; p. 242]). We shall observe in the next section that for  $\sigma$ -a.e. Y on  $\partial D$  the

normal derivative of  $f_D$ ,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial n_Y} f_D(Y) = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial n_Y} f_D(Y + tn_Y)$$

exists, and that  $\partial f_D / \partial n_Y > 0$   $\sigma$ -a.e. and is square integrable with respect to  $\sigma$ . We note that if m=1, D=(0, 1) and  $f_D(y)=\sin(\pi y)$ , then  $\partial f_D / \partial n_Y = \pi$  on  $\{0, 1\}$ , and  $\sigma = \delta_0 + \delta_1$ , where  $\delta_0$  and  $\delta_1$  are the Dirac measures at 0 and 1. Our generalization of Theorem B is

Theorem 2. Let  $m \ge 1$  and  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ . If  $s \in \mathscr{S}$  satisfies (4) and

(5) 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\partial D} s^+(X,Y) (1+|X|)^{(1-n)/2} \exp\left(-\lambda_D |X|\right) \frac{\partial f_D}{\partial n_Y}(Y) \, dX \, d\sigma(Y) < \infty,$$

then  $\int_{\partial L_D} s^+(Q) \omega(P, dQ)$  is a nonnegative harmonic majorant of s and hence  $s \in \mathscr{A}$  where  $\omega$  is the harmonic measure.

Finally we shall consider the mean

$$\mathscr{M}s(Y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} s(X, Y) \, dX$$

of a subharmonic function s on  $L_D$ . In case m=1 and D=(0, 1), there are a number of studies on  $\mathcal{M}s$  (see [3, 4], [7-9, 12], [15] and [17]). As a typical example we quote [8; Theorem 3]:

Theorem C. Let m=1 and D=(0, 1). If  $s \in \mathcal{S}$  and

- (i)  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |s(X, y)| dX < \infty, \quad 0 \le y \le 1,$
- (ii)  $\lim_{|(X,y)|\to\infty, (X,y)\in L_D} s^+(X,y)|X|^{(n-1)/2}e^{-\pi|X|} = 0,$

then  $\mathcal{M}s$  is a convex function of  $y \in D$ .

Since the assumption of Theorem C implies that  $s \in \mathcal{A}$  by Theorem B, it may be natural to consider the properties of  $\mathcal{M}s$  for  $s \in \mathcal{A}$ . Noting that the subharmonicity corresponds to the convexity in case m>1, we shall prove

Theorem 3. Let  $m \ge 1$  and  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ . Let  $s \in \mathscr{A}$  and let h be a nonnegative harmonic majorant of s. If

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(X, Y) \, dX < \infty \quad for \quad some \quad Y \in D,$$

then  $\mathcal{M}s(Y)$  is a subharmonic function on D or identically  $-\infty$  on D.

From this theorem we shall derive

Corollary 1. Let  $m \ge 1$  and  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ . If  $s \in \mathcal{S}$  satisfies (4) and

$$\int_{\partial D}\int_{R^n}s^+(X,Y)\frac{\partial f_D}{\partial n_Y}(Y)\,dX\,d\sigma(Y)<\infty,$$

then Ms(Y) is a subharmonic function on D or identically  $-\infty$  on D.

In case m=1 and D=(0, 1), the inequality in Corollary 1 reduces to

$$\int_{R^n} \left( s^+(X,0) + s^+(X,1) \right) dX < \infty.$$

Hence Theorem C readily follows from Corollary 1.

The author would like to acknowledge that this work was inspired by Yoshida [26–28], and would like to thank Professor Yoshida for showing him the preprints of [26–28].

# 2. Preliminaries

We shall use the following notation: Let  $X_0 = (0, ..., 0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $Y_0 = (0, ..., 0) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and  $P_0 = (X_0, Y_0) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ . Let  $S^{n-1}$  be the unit sphere with center at  $X_0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $\tau$  be the surface measure on  $S^{n-1}$ . Denote by  $B^n(X, r)$  (respectively  $B^m(Y, r)$ , B(P, r)) the *n* (respectively m, n+m)-dimensional open ball with center at X (respectively Y, P) and radius r. We may assume that  $D \supset B^m(Y_0, 2)$ . Let  $\pi: \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be the projection defined by  $\pi((X, Y)) = X$  and let  $\pi_0(P) = (\pi(P), Y_0)$ . We observe that  $\Omega(X) = B^n(X, 1) \times D$  is a bounded Lipschitz domain in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ . For simplicity we write L for  $L_D$  in the sequel.

Unless otherwise specified, A will stand for a positive constant depending only on L, possibly changing from one occurrence to the next, even in the same string. If f and g are positive quantities such that  $A^{-1}f \le g \le Af$ , then we write  $f \sim g$ .

The boundary Harnack principle ([25; Theorem 1]) stated below is a useful tool.

Lemma A. Suppose that U is a bounded Lipschitz domain in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ , Q is a point in U, E is a relatively open set on  $\partial U$ , S is a subdomain of U satisfying  $\partial S \cap \partial U \subset E$ . Then there is a positive constant C, such that whenever u and v are two positive harmonic functions in U vanishing on E and  $u(Q) \leq v(Q)$ , then  $u(P) \leq Cv(P)$  for all  $P \in S$ .

Applying Lemma A to  $U=\Omega(P)$ ,  $Q=\pi_0(P)$ ,  $E=\partial\Omega(P)\cap\partial L$  and  $S==B^n(\pi(P), 1/2)\times D$ , we obtain

Lemma 1 ([1; Lemma 1]). Let  $P \in L$ . Let u and v be positive harmonic functions on  $\Omega(\pi(P))$  which vanish continuously on  $\partial \Omega(\pi(P)) \cap \partial L$ . If  $u(\pi_0(P)) \leq v(\pi_0(P))$ , then  $u(P) \leq Av(P)$ .

We need the following simple Phragmén—Lindelöf principle, which will be improved by Theorem 1.

Lemma 2 ([1; Lemma 2]). Let L' be a subdomain of L. If s is subharmonic in

L', bounded above in L' and nonpositive on  $\partial L'$ , i.e.,

$$\limsup_{P \to Q} s(P) \leq 0 \quad for \ any \quad Q \in \partial L',$$

then  $s \leq 0$  in L'.

One of the main difficulties arising in case m>1 seems to be caused by the lack of the exact formulas for the Green and Poisson kernels for L (see [6] in case m=1 and D=(0,1)). Instead of those formulas we shall use the Riesz—Martin representation ([20] and [19; Chapters 6 and 12]). In the previous paper [1] we determined the Martin compactification of L (see [10] for m=1). We shall describe the Martin compactification of L as follows: We denote by  $\overline{L}=R^n\times\overline{D}$  the Euclidean closure of L in  $R^{n+m}$ . Let  $\hat{L}=\overline{L}\cup\{M_{\alpha}; \alpha\in S^{n-1}\}$  be a compact topological space with open base  $O_1\cup O_2$ , where  $O_1=\{U\cap\overline{L}; U$  is an open set of  $R^{n+m}\}$  and  $O_2=\{U(\alpha, \varepsilon, R); \alpha\in S^{n-1}, 0<\varepsilon<1$  and  $R>0\}$  with  $U(\alpha, \varepsilon, R)=\{M_{\beta}; \beta\in S^{n-1}, \sum_{i=1}^{n}\alpha_i\beta_i>1-\varepsilon\}\cup\{(X, Y)\in\overline{L}; (1-\varepsilon)^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_i\alpha_i>|X|>R\}$ . We note that  $M_{\alpha}$  is considered to be an ideal boundary point, and that  $P_j=(X_j, Y_j)\in\overline{L}$  converges to  $M_{\alpha}$  if and only if  $\lim_{i\to\infty} |X_j|=+\infty$  and  $\lim_{i\to\infty} X_j/|X_j|=\alpha$ .

Theorem D ([1; Theorem 1]. See also [10], [16; Chapter 8, 4 Appendix]). The Martin compactification of L is homeomorphic to  $\hat{L}$ . Every point on  $\hat{L} \setminus L$  is a minimal boundary point. More precisely, let G be the Green function for L and let K be the Martin kernel defined by

$$K(P,Q) = \begin{cases} G(P,Q)/G(P_0,Q) & \text{if } Q \in L, \\ \lim_{M \to Q, M \in L} G(P,M)/G(P_0,M) & \text{if } Q \in \hat{L} \setminus L \end{cases}$$

Then there are a positive constant  $\lambda_D^*$  and a positive continuous function  $f_D^*$  on D, vanishing on  $\partial D$  and satisfying  $f_D^*(Y_0)=1$ , such that

(6) 
$$K(P, M_{\alpha}) = f_D^*(Y) \exp\left(\lambda_D^* \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i\right)$$

for P=(X, Y) and  $Q=M_{\alpha}\in \hat{L}\setminus \overline{L}$ .

We write the Laplacian  $\Delta$  as

$$\Delta = \Delta_X + \Delta_Y = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} + \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_j^2}.$$

Since  $\Delta K(\cdot, M_{\alpha}) = 0$ , it follows from (6) that  $(\Delta_{Y} + \lambda_{D}^{*2})f_{D}^{*} = 0$  on D, so that  $\lambda_{D}^{*2}$  is an eigenvalue of (3). Furthermore we show

Proposition 1. The constant  $\lambda_D^{*2}$  is the first positive eigenvalue of (3). Hence  $\lambda_D = \lambda_D^*$  and  $f_D = \text{const} \cdot f_D^*$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that (3) has a positive eigenvalue  $\mu = \lambda^2$  smaller than  $\lambda_D^{*2}$ . Let  $f \neq 0$  be an eigenfunction corresponding to  $\lambda^2$ . By a straightforward calculation we see that

$$u(X,Y) = f(Y)e^{\lambda x_1}$$

is harmonic on L. Let  $A_0 = \sup_{Y \in D} |f(Y)| < \infty$ ,  $L' = \{X; x_1 < 1\} \times D$  and  $L'' = \{X; x_1 < 0\} \times D$ . First we compare u and the bounded positive harmonic function v on L' such that

$$\lim_{P \to Q} v(P) = \begin{cases} A_0 & \text{if } Q \in \{X; x_1 = 1\} \times D, \\ 0 & \text{if } Q \in \{X; x_1 < 1\} \times \partial D. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2 applied to  $s = |u| - e^{\lambda}v$  leads to

$$|u(P)| \leq e^{\lambda}v(P)$$
 for  $P \in L'$ .

Next we compare v and the Martin kernel  $K(\cdot, M_{\beta})$  with  $\beta = (1, 0, ..., 0)$ . We infer from Lemma 1 that there is a positive constant  $A_1$  such that if  $P = (X, Y) \in \{X; x_1 = 0\} \times \overline{D}$ , then  $v(P) \leq A_1 K(P, M_{\beta})$ . Hence Lemma 2 applied to  $s = v - A_1 K(\cdot, M_{\beta})$  yields

$$v(P) \leq A_1 K(P, M_{\beta})$$
 on  $L''$ .

Therefore we have from (6)

$$|f(Y)| \leq A_1 e^{\lambda} f_D^*(Y) e^{(\lambda_D^* - \lambda)x_1} \quad \text{if} \quad x_1 < 0.$$

Since  $\lambda_D^* > \lambda$ , letting  $x_1 \to -\infty$ , we obtain  $f(Y) \equiv 0$  on D, a contradiction.

Remark 1. In case D is a piecewise  $C^1$  domain it is known that if f is a positive eigenfunction for (3), then the eigenvalue corresponding to f is the first positive eigenvalue ([13; p. 458]).

Remark 2. If m=1, D=(0, 1) and  $Y_0=1/2$ , then  $\lambda_D=\pi$  and  $f_D(y)=\sin(\pi y)$ . If  $m\geq 2$  and  $D=B^m(Y_0, r)$ , then  $\lambda_D=\lambda_0/r$  and

$$f_D(Y) = 2^{m/2-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{m}{2}\right) \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{r}\right)^{1-m/2} |Y|^{1-m/2} J_{m/2-1}\left(\frac{\lambda_0}{r} |Y|\right),$$

where  $J_{m/2-1}$  is the Bessel function of the first kind of order m/2-1 and  $\lambda_0$  is the least positive number such that  $J_{m/2-1}(\lambda_0)=0$  (see [23; p. 45] and [6; p. 441]).

Hereafter we let  $f_D(Y_0) = 1$ . We observe from (6) that

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} K(P, M_{\alpha}) d\tau(\alpha) = f_D(Y) \int_{S^{n-1}} \exp\left(\lambda_D \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i\right) d\tau(\alpha), \quad P = (X, Y),$$

is a positive harmonic function depending only on |X| and Y. On account of the formulas for Bessel functions in [23; p. 79], we see that the above integral is equal to

(7) 
$$c_0 f_D(Y) |X|^{1-n/2} I_{n/2-1}(\lambda_D |X|),$$

where  $c_0$  is a positive constant depending only on *n* and  $I_{n/2-1}$  is the Bessel function of the third kind of order n/2-1. Let  $K_{n/2-1}$  be another Bessel function appearing

in [23; p. 78], which satisfies

(8) 
$$K_{n/2-1}(r) \sim r^{-1/2} e^{-r}$$
 as  $r \to \infty$ .

Since  $K_{n/2-1}$  satisfies the same second order differential equation [23; (1) on p. 77] as  $I_{n/2-1}$ , it follows that

$$f_D(Y)|X|^{1-n/2}K_{n/2-1}(\lambda_D|X|)$$

is positive and harmonic on  $\{(X, Y) \in L; X \neq X_0\}$ .

Let  $G^{D}$  be the Green function for D. We see that if m=1 and D=(a, b), then

$$G^{D}(y, y') = \min\left\{\frac{b-y'}{b-a}(y-a), \frac{y'-a}{b-a}(b-y)\right\} \text{ for } y, y' \in D.$$

We observe that  $G^{D}(\cdot, Y')$  is harmonic on  $D \setminus \{Y'\}$  and

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{Y}}G^{D}(\cdot, \mathbf{Y}') = \begin{cases} -\delta_{\mathbf{Y}'} & \text{if } m = 1, \\ -2\pi\delta_{\mathbf{Y}'} & \text{if } m = 2, \\ (2-m)\sigma_{m}\delta_{\mathbf{Y}'} & \text{if } m \ge 3, \end{cases}$$

where  $\sigma_m$  denotes the surface area of the unit sphere  $S^{m-1}$  and  $\delta_{Y'}$  denotes the Dirac measure at Y'. We have

Lemma 3. Let  $G_0(\cdot) = G(\cdot, P_0)$  and  $G_0^D(\cdot) = G^D(\cdot, Y_0)$ . If  $Y \in D \setminus B^m(Y_0, 1)$ , then

(i) 
$$G_0((X, Y)) \sim f_D(Y)(1+|X|)^{(1-n)/2} \exp(-\lambda_D|X|),$$

(ii)  $G_0^D(Y) \sim f_D(Y)$ .

*Proof.* Applying Lemma 1 to  $u=f_D(Y)|X|^{1-n/2}K_{n/2-1}(\lambda_D|X|)$  and  $v=G_0$ , we obtain that

$$G_0((X,Y)) \sim f_D(Y) |X|^{1-n/2} K_{n/2-1}(\lambda_D |X|)$$
 for  $|X| = 1$ .

On account of Lemma 2 and (8) we have (i) for  $|X| \ge 1$ . From Lemma A with  $U=B^n(X_0,2)\times (D\setminus \overline{B^m(Y_0,1/2)})$ ,  $u=G_0$  and  $v=f_D(Y)|X|^{1-n/2}I_{n/2-1}(\lambda_D|X|)$  we infer (i) for  $|X| \le 1$  and  $Y \in D \setminus B^m(Y_0,1)$ . We regard  $G_0^D$  as a positive harmonic function on  $\{(X,Y)\in L; Y\neq Y_0\}$ . Applying Lemma A to the same U as above,  $u=G_0^D$  and  $v=G_0$ , we obtain

$$G_0^D(Y) \sim G_0((X,Y))$$
 for  $|X| = 1$  and  $Y \in D \setminus B^m(Y_0,1)$ .

Hence (i) leads to (ii).

Remark 3. In view of Widman [24; Theorems 2.2 and 2.5], if D is a Liapunov domain in  $\mathbb{R}^m$   $(m \ge 2)$ , then

$$G_0^p(Y) \sim f_D(Y) \sim \operatorname{dist}(Y, \partial D)$$
 for  $Y \in D \setminus B^m(Y_0, 1)$ .

This relation also holds in case m=1.

In [14] Dahlberg studied a relationship between the harmonic measure and the normal derivative of the Green function for a bounded Lipschitz domain. Since  $G^{D}$  and  $f_{D}$  are comparable by Lemma 3 (ii), we can prove the next lemma in a way similar to [14; Lemma 9].

Lemma 4. Let  $n_Y$  be the inward normal at Y with respect to  $\partial D$ . For  $\sigma$ -a.e. point Y on  $\partial D$  the normal derivative of  $f_D$ ,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial n_Y} f_D(Y) = \lim_{t \neq 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial n_Y} f_D(Y + tn_Y)$$

exists and is positive. The normal derivative  $\partial f_D / \partial n_Y$  is square integrable with respect to the surface measure  $\sigma$  on  $\partial D$ . Furthermore if h is  $C^2$  on a domain including  $\overline{D}$ , then the following Green's identity holds:

$$\int_{D} f_{D}(\Delta_{Y} + \lambda_{D}^{2}) h \, dY = \int_{\partial D} h \, \frac{\partial f_{D}}{\partial n_{Y}} \, d\sigma.$$

Let  $\omega(P, E)$  be the harmonic measure at  $P \in L$  of  $E \subset \partial L$ .

Lemma 5. If E is a Borel measurable set on  $\partial L$ , then

$$\omega(P_0, E) \sim \int_E (1+|X|)^{(1-n)/2} \exp\left(-\lambda_D |X|\right) \frac{\partial f_D}{\partial n_Y}(Y) \, dX \, d\sigma(Y).$$

**Proof.** It is sufficient to prove the lemma in case  $E \subset B^n(X_1, 1) \times \partial D$  for some  $X_1$ . Let  $P_1 = (X_1, Y_0)$  and  $L' = B^n(X_1, 3) \times D$ . By  $\omega(\cdot, E, L')$  and G' we denote the harmonic measure of E and the Green function for L'. Applying Lemma A to  $U = B^n(X_1, 2) \times (D \setminus \overline{B^m(Y_0, 1/2)}), u = G'(\cdot, P_1)$  and  $v = G(\cdot, P_1)$ , we obtain that  $G'((X, Y), P_1) \sim G((X, Y), P_1)$  for  $X \in B^n(X_1, 1)$  and  $Y \in D \setminus B^m(Y_0, 1)$ . By Lemma 3 (i) and a suitable translation we have  $G((X, Y), P_1) \sim f_D(Y)$  for  $X \in B^m(X_1, 1)$  and  $Y \in D \setminus B^m(X_1, 1)$ . Hence we infer from [14; Theorem 3 (b)] that

$$\omega(P_1, E, L') \sim \int_E \frac{\partial f_D}{\partial n_Y} dX d\sigma(Y).$$

If  $|X_1 - X_0| \leq 3$ , then the Harnack principle leads to

$$\omega(P_0, E) \sim \omega(P_1, E) \sim \omega(P_1, E, L') \sim \int_E \frac{\partial f_D}{\partial n_Y} dX d\sigma(Y).$$

In case  $|X_1 - X_0| > 3$ , using Lemma 1 for  $P \in \partial B^n(X_1, 2) \times D$  and then using Lemma 2, we obtain

$$\omega(P_0, E) \sim G(P_0, P_1) \omega(P_1, E, L')$$

Hence by Lemma 3

$$\omega(P_0, E) \sim \int_E (1+|X|)^{(1-n)/2} \exp\left(-\lambda_D|X|\right) \frac{\partial f_D}{\partial n_Y}(Y) \, dX \, d\sigma(Y).$$

Remark 4. If D is a Liapunov domain, then  $\partial f_D / \partial n_Y \sim 1$  by Remark 3, so that  $\omega(P_0, E) \sim \int_F (1 + |X|)^{(1-n)/2} \exp(-\lambda_D |X|) dX d\sigma(Y).$ 

If m=1 and D=(a, b), then

where

$$\omega(P_0, E) = \omega(P_0, E_a) + \omega(P_0, E_b)$$
  

$$\sim \int_{\pi(E_a) \cup \pi(E_b)} (1 + |X|)^{(1-n)/2} \exp(-\lambda_D |X|) \, dX,$$
  

$$E_a = \pi(E_a) \times \{a\} = \{(X, y) \in E; y = a\} \text{ and } E_b = \pi(E_b) \times \{b\} = \{(X, y); y = b\}$$

## 3. Proofs of Theorems 1 and 2

Brawn [7; Theorem 2, Corollary] (see also [5; Theorem 4]) proved Theorem A by using the Nevanlinna mean  $\mathcal{M}(s, r)$  of s defined by

$$\mathcal{M}(s,r) = \int_{S^{n-1}} d\tau(\alpha) \int_0^1 s(r\alpha, y) \sin(\pi y) \, dy$$
$$= \int_0^1 \sin(\pi y) \, dy \int_{S^{n-1}} s(r\alpha, y) \, d\tau(\alpha).$$

In the expressions of  $\mathcal{M}(s, r)$ , there are two averaging operations,

$$\int_0^1 s \sin(\pi y) \, dy \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{S^{n-1}} s \, d\tau(\alpha).$$

Naturally, the operation  $\int_D sf_D(Y) dY$  is considered to be a generalization of the first. We shall observe that these operations produce symmetrized subharmonic functions from given subharmonic functions on L (see Lemmas 6 and 7 below). We shall prove Theorem 1 by using this phenomenon. Let us begin with

Lemma 6. Let s be a nonnegative subharmonic function on L satisfying (PL). Then

$$S(X, Y) = f_D(Y) \int_D s(X, Y') f_D(Y') \, dY'$$

is a subharmonic function on L satisfying (PL).

*Proof.* On account of (PL) s is bounded on  $B^n(X_0, r) \times D$  for every r > 0. Since  $f_D$  is continuous on  $\overline{D}$ , it follows that S is locally integrable on L. Since s satisfies (PL) and is nonnegative on L,

$$\hat{s}(P) = \begin{cases} s(P) & \text{if } P \in L, \\ 0 & \text{if } P \in R^{n+m} \setminus L, \end{cases}$$

is a subharmonic function on  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ . On account of [19; Theorem 4.20], there is a nonincreasing sequence of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  subharmonic functions  $s_j$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$  converging to  $\hat{s}$ .

In view of (PL) and the construction of the sequence in [19; Theorem 4.20], we may furthermore assume that there are compact subsets  $K_j$  of D such that  $K_j \dagger D$  and

(9) 
$$s_j(P) \leq 1/j \text{ for } P \in B^n(X_0, j+1) \times (R^m \setminus K_j).$$

Let

$$S_j(X, Y) = f_D(Y) \int_D s_j(X, Y') f_D(Y') \, dY'.$$

It follows from the monotone convergence theorem that  $S_{j\downarrow}S$ , so that S is upper semicontinuous. Let  $P=(X, Y)\in B^{n}(X_{0}, j)\times D$ . Since  $\Delta s_{j}\geq 0$ , we have from Lemma 4 and (9)

$$\begin{split} \Delta S_j(P) &= f_D(Y) \int_D \left\{ \Delta_X s_j(X, Y') - \lambda_D^2 s_j(X, Y') \right\} f_D(Y') \, dY \\ &\geq -f_D(Y) \int_D \left( \Delta_{Y'} + \lambda_D^2 \right) s_j(X, Y') \cdot f_D(Y') \, dY' \\ &= -f_D(Y) \int_{\partial D} s_j(X, Y') \frac{\partial f_D}{\partial n_{Y'}} (Y') \, d\sigma(Y') \\ &\geq -\frac{1}{j} f_D(Y) \int_{\partial D} \frac{\partial f_D}{\partial n_{Y'}} (Y') \, d\sigma(Y'). \end{split}$$

Since the last term tends to zero as  $j \to \infty$ , it follows from the dominated convergence theorem that if  $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(L)$  and  $\varphi \ge 0$ , then

$$\int_{L} S \Delta \varphi \, dP = \lim_{j \to \infty} \int_{L} S_j \Delta \varphi \, dP = \lim_{j \to \infty} \int_{L} \varphi \Delta S_j \, dP \ge 0.$$

Hence  $\Delta S \ge 0$  on L in the distribution sense, so that S is subharmonic on L. In the same manner as in Armitage [2; Lemma], we can prove

Lemma 7 (cf. [28; Lemma 1]). If s is subharmonic on L, then

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} s(|X|\alpha, Y) \, d\tau(\alpha)$$

is a subharmonic function on L depending only on |X| and Y.

The next lemma is a preliminary version of Theorem 1.

Lemma 8. Let S be a subharmonic function on L satisfying (PL). If

(10) 
$$\liminf_{r\to\infty} r^{(n-1)/2} \exp\left(-\lambda_D r\right) \sup_{|X|=r, Y\in D} S(X,Y) \leq 0,$$

then  $S \leq 0$  on L.

**Proof.** Let  $(X_1, Y_1) \in L$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. We find  $r > |X_1| + 2$  such that

$$\sup_{|X|=r, Y \in D} S(X, Y) \leq \varepsilon r^{(1-n)/2} \exp(\lambda_D r).$$

Let h be the bounded harmonic function on  $B^n(X_0, r) \times D$  such that

$$h(P) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon r^{1-n/2} I_{n/2-1}(\lambda_D r) & \text{on } \partial B^n(X_0, r) \times D, \\ 0 & \text{on } B^n(X_0, r) \times \partial D \end{cases}$$

By (1) and the maximum principle we have  $S \leq Ah$  on  $B^n(X_0, r) \times D$ . Let

$$v(X, Y) = f_D(Y)|X|^{1-n/2}I_{n/2-1}(\lambda_D|X|)$$

and recall that this function is positive and harmonic in L and vanishes on  $\partial L$ . Since

$$r^{1-n/2}I_{n/2-1}(\lambda_D r) \leq A(r-1)^{1-n/2}I_{n/2-1}(\lambda_D(r-1)),$$

it follows that

$$h(X, Y_0) \leq \varepsilon r^{1-n/2} I_{n/2-1}(\lambda_D r) \leq A \varepsilon v(X, Y_0)$$
 for  $|X| = r-1$ .

Hence Lemma 1 leads to

$$h \leq A \varepsilon v$$
 on  $\partial B^n(X_0, r-1) \times D$ .

Using the maximum principle, we obtain

$$S \leq h \leq A \varepsilon v$$
 on  $B^n(X_0, r-1) \times D$ ,

and in particular  $S(X_1, Y_1) \leq A \varepsilon f_D(Y_1) |X_1|^{1-n/2} I_{n/2-1}(\lambda_D |X_1|)$ . Since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary, it follows that  $S(X_1, Y_1) \leq 0$ , so that  $S \leq 0$  on L.

Proof of Theorem 1. On account of Lemmas 6 and 7

$$S(X, Y) = f_D(Y) \int_{S^{n-1}} \int_D s^+(|X|\alpha, Y') f_D(Y') \, dY' \, d\tau(\alpha)$$

is a subharmonic function on L satisfying (PL). It follows from (4) that S satisfies (10). Hence Lemma 8 leads to  $S \le 0$  on L, so that  $s^+$  must identically equal zero. Thus  $s \le 0$  on L.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let

$$h(P) = \int_{\partial L} s^+(Q) \omega(P, dQ).$$

On account of Lemma 5 and (5), h is positive and harmonic on L. By the aid of [21; 2.24 The Vitali—Carathéodory Theorem] applied to the measure  $\omega(P_0, \cdot)$ , we find a nonincreasing sequence of nonnegative lower semicontinuous functions  $v_i$  on  $\partial L$  such that  $s^+ \leq v_i$  and

 $h(P_0) \ge h_j(P_0) - 1/j,$  $h_j(P) = \int_{\mathcal{A}_I} v_j(Q) \omega(P, dQ).$ 

where

We observe that

(11) 
$$\lim_{j\to\infty}h_j=h \quad \text{on} \quad L.$$

In fact,  $h^* = \lim_{j \to \infty} h_j$  is a harmonic function which majorizes h since  $v_j$  is non-increasing. We infer from  $h^*(P_0) = h(P_0)$  and the maximum principle that  $h^* = h$  on L.

Now we claim that  $h_j$  majorizes s on L. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $M \in \partial L$ . Since  $v_j$  is lower semicontinuous, there is r > 0 such that

$$v_i(Q) \ge s^+(M) - \varepsilon$$
 for  $Q \in B(M, r) \cap \partial L$ .

Hence

$$h_j(P) \ge (s^+(M) - \varepsilon)\omega(P, B(M, r) \cap \partial L)$$
 for  $P \in L$ ,

so that

$$\lim_{P \to M, P \in L} \inf_{h_j}(P) \ge s^+(M) - \varepsilon.$$

Therefore

$$\limsup_{P \to M, P \in L} (s(P) - h_j(P)) \leq s^+(M) - \liminf_{P \to M, P \in L} h_j(P) \leq \varepsilon.$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  and M are arbitrary,  $s-h_j$  satisfies (PL). Applying Theorem 1 to  $s-h_j$ , we obtain  $s \leq h_j$  on L, and  $s \leq h$  on L by (11).

# 4. Proof of Theorem 3

The Riesz-Martin decomposition ([20] and [19; Chapters 6 and 12]) yields that  $s \in \mathscr{A}$  if and only if there are a signed measure v on  $\hat{L} \subset L$  and a nonnegative measure  $\mu$  on L such that

$$s(P) = \int_{L \searrow L} K(P, Q) \, d\nu(Q) - \int_{L} G(P, Q) \, d\mu(Q).$$

We first treat the mean of a positive harmonic function, and then treat that of a Green potential.

Lemma 9. If h is a positive harmonic function on L, then  $\mathcal{M}h(Y)$  is harmonic on D or identically  $+\infty$  on D.

*Proof.* We assume that  $\mathcal{M}h(Y') < \infty$  for some  $Y' \in D$ . Take a compact subset K of D. Then Harnack's inequality [19; Theorem 2.14 and Corollary 2.15] yields that

$$\sup_{Y \in K} h(X, Y) \leq Ah(X, Y') \text{ for all } X \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where A is a positive constant depending only on Y', K and D. Moreover every first and second order derivative  $\mathcal{D}h$  of h satisfies

$$\sup_{Y \in K} |\mathscr{D}h(X,Y)| \leq A'h(X,Y') \text{ for all } X \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where A' depends only on Y', K and D (see [18; p. 37]). Since  $\mathcal{M}h(Y') < \infty$ , it follows that

$$\varphi(\mathbf{r}) = \sup_{\mathbf{Y} \in K} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\partial B^{n}(X_{0},\mathbf{r})} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} h(X,Y) \right| d\tau_{\mathbf{r}}(X)$$

is integrable with respect to r, where  $\tau_r$  stands for the surface measure on  $\partial B^n(X_0, r)$ . Hence we can choose  $r_j \uparrow \infty$  such that  $\varphi(r_j) \to 0$ . Using Green's formula, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \Delta_Y \mathcal{M}h(Y) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Delta_Y h(X, Y) \, dX = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Delta_X h(X, Y) \, dX \\ &= -\lim_{j \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n(X_0, r_j)} \Delta_X h(X, Y) \, dX \\ &= \lim_{j \to \infty} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^n(X_0, r_j)} \frac{\partial}{\partial n_X} h(X, Y) \, d\tau_{r_j}(X) = 0 \end{split}$$

for  $Y \in K$ . Since K is arbitrary, Mh is harmonic on D.

From Lemma 9 we have a relation between G and  $G^{D}$ , which may be of some independent interest.

Proposition 2. There is a positive constant  $c_1$  depending only on n and m such that

$$G^{D}(Y, Y') = c_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G((X, Y), (X', Y')) dX.$$

*Proof.* We may assume that  $X' = X_0$ . Let  $Y' \in D$  and put

$$v(Y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G((X, Y), (X_0, Y')) dX.$$

We infer from Lemmas 3 and 9 that v is harmonic on  $D \setminus \{Y'\}$ . It follows from Fatou's lemma that v is lower semicontinuous on D, and from Lemma 3 and Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem that

$$\lim_{Y\to Y_1} v(Y) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad Y_1 \in \partial D.$$

The maximum principle yields that  $v(Y) \leq v(Y') \leq +\infty$  (actually if m=1, then  $v(Y') < +\infty$  and if  $m \geq 2$ , then  $v(Y') = +\infty$ ), so that v is superharmonic on D. Therefore

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{Y}}v = -c(\mathbf{Y}', D)\delta_{\mathbf{Y}'}$$

in the distribution sense, where c(Y', D) is a positive constant which may depend on Y' and D (see [19; Theorem 5.4]).

What remains is to prove that c(Y', D) does not depend on Y' and D. Take r>0 such that  $B^m(Y', r) \subset D$ . Let  $L' = R^n \times B^m(Y', r)$  and let G' be the Green function for L'. Since  $G(\cdot, (X_0, Y')) - G'(\cdot, (X_0, Y'))$  is a positive harmonic function on L' decreasing rapidly at the infinity by Lemma 3, it follows from Lemma 9 that

$$v(Y) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G'((X, Y), (X_0, Y')) dX$$

is harmonic on  $B^m(Y', r)$ . Hence

 $c(Y', D) = c(Y', B^m(Y', r)) = c(r).$ 

We infer from the arbitrariness of r that c(r) is equal to a positive constant depending only on n and m. The proof is complete.

Lemma 10. If u is a Green potential on L, then  $\mathcal{M}u(Y)$  is a Green potential on D or identically  $+\infty$  on D.

Proof. Let

$$u(P) = \int_{L} G(P, Q) \, d\mu(Q),$$

where  $\mu$  is a Radon measure on L. We have from Fubini's theorem and Proposition 2 that

$$\mathcal{M}u(Y) = \int_{R^n} \int_L G((X, Y), Q) \, d\mu(Q) \, dX = c_1^{-1} \int_D G^D(Y, Y') \, d\mu_0(Y'),$$

where  $\mu_0$  is the measure on *D* defined by  $\mu_0(E) = \mu(R^n \times E)$ . If there is a compact set  $F \subset D$  such that  $\mu_0(F) = \infty$ , then  $\mathcal{M}u \equiv \infty$  on *D*. If there is no such compact set, then  $\mu_0$  is a Radon measure on *D* and  $\mathcal{M}u$  is a Green potential on *D* or identically  $+\infty$ .

**Proof of Theorem 3.** Since h-s is a nonnegative superharmonic function, it follows from the Riesz-Martin decomposition that

$$h-s = u+p$$
,

where u is a nonnegative harmonic function on L and p is a Green potential on L. We infer from the assumption and Lemmas 9 and 10 that

$$\mathcal{M}s(Y) = \mathcal{M}h(Y) - \mathcal{M}u(Y) - \mathcal{M}p(Y)$$

is a subharmonic function on D or identically  $-\infty$ .

*Proof of Corollary* 1. The inequality in Corollary 1 implies (5), and hence Theorem 2 shows that

$$h(P) = \int_{\partial L} s^+(Q) \omega(P, dQ)$$

is a nonnegative harmonic majorant of s. We infer from Lemma 5 and Fubini's theorem that

$$\mathcal{M}h(Y_0) \sim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\partial D} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} s^+(X,Y) (1+|X-X'|)^{(1-n)/2} \exp\left(-\lambda_D |X-X'|\right) \frac{\partial f_D}{\partial n_Y}(Y) \, dX \, d\sigma(Y) \, dX' \sim \int_{\partial D} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} s^+(X,Y) \frac{\partial f_D}{\partial n_Y}(Y) \, dX \, d\sigma(Y) < \infty.$$

Hence  $\mathcal{M}h(Y_0) < \infty$ , so that the corollary follows from Theorem 3.

Note added in proof: Professor S. J. Gardiner kindly informed the author that in a paper to be published in Bull. London Math. Soc. he obtained results which imply our Theorem 3. His methods are different from ours.

#### References

- AIKAWA, H.: On the Martin boundary of Lipschitz strips. J. Math. Soc. Japan 38, 1986, 527-541.
- [2] ARMITAGE, D. H.: Spherical extrema of harmonic polynomials. J. London Math. Soc. (2) 19, 1979, 451—456.
- [3] ARMITAGE, D. H.: On hyperplane mean values of subharmonic functions. J. London Math. Soc. (2) 22, 1980, 99—109.
- [4] ARMITAGE, D. H.: Hyperplane mean values of positive superharmonic functions. J. London Math. Soc. (2) 23, 1981, 129–136.
- [5] ARMITAGE, D. H., and T. B. FUGARD: Subharmonic functions in strips. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 89, 1982, 1—27.
- [6] BRAWN, F. T.: The Green and Poisson kernels for the strip  $\mathbb{R}^n \times ]0, 1[. J.$  London Math. Soc. (2) 2, 1970, 439-454.
- [7] BRAWN, F. T.: Mean value and Phragmén—Lindelöf theorems for subharmonic functions in strips. - J. London Math. Soc. (2) 3, 1971, 689—698.
- [8] BRAWN, F. T.: The Poisson integral and harmonic majorization in  $\mathbb{R}^n \times ]0, 1[. J.$  London Math. Soc. (2) 3, 1971, 747-760.
- BRAWN, F. T.: Hyperplane mean values of subharmonic functions in R<sup>n</sup>×]0, 1[. Bull. London Math. Soc. 3, 1971, 37-41.
- [10] BRAWN, F. T.: The Martin boundary of  $R^n \times [0, 1[$ . J. London Math. Soc. (2) 5, 1972, 59—66.
- BRAWN, F. T.: Positive harmonic majorization of subharmonic functions in strips. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 27, 1973, 261-289.
- [12] BRAWN, F. T.: Note on hyperplane mean values of positive subharmonic functions. J. London Math. Soc. (2) 14, 1976, 433-435.
- [13] COURANT, R., and D. HILBERT: Methods of mathematical physics. Vol. I. Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York, 1953.
- [14] DAHLBERG, B. E. J.: Estimates of harmonic measure. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 65, 1977, 275-288.
- [15] FLETT, T. M.: Mean values of subharmonic functions on half-spaces. J. London Math. Soc. (2) 1, 1969, 375–383.
- [16] GARDINER, S. J.: Generalized means of subharmonic functions. Thesis. The Queen's University of Belfast, 1982.
- [17] HARDY, G. H., A. E. INGHAM, and G. PÓLYA: Notes on moduli and mean values. Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) 27, 1928, 401–409.
- [18] HAYMAN, W. K., and P. B. KENNEDY: Subharmonic functions. Vol. I. Academic Press, London, 1976.
- [19] HELMS, L. L.: Introduction to potential theory. Wiley Interscience, New York—London— Sydney—Toronto, 1969.
- [20] MARTIN, R. S.: Minimal positive harmonic functions. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 49, 1941, 137–172.
- [21] RUDIN, W.: Real and complex analysis. Second edition. Tata McGraw-Hill Publishing Company, Ltd., New Delhi, 1974.

- [22] STEIN, E. M.: Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions. Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1970.
- [23] WATSON, G. N.: A treatise on the theory of Bessel functions. Cambridge University Press, London, 1922.
- [24] WIDMAN, K.-O.: Inequalities for the Green function and boundary continuity of the gradient of solutions of elliptic differential equations. Math. Scand. 21, 1967, 17–37.
- [25] WU, J.-M. G.: Comparisons of kernel functions, boundary Harnack principle and relative Fatou theorem on Lipschitz domains. - Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 28: 4, 1978, 147-167.
- [26] YOSHIDA, H.: Nevanlinna norm of a subharmonic function on a cone or on a cylinder. Proc. London Math. Soc. (to appear).
- [27] YOSHIDA, H.: On subharmonic functions dominated by certain functions. Israel J. Math. 54, 1986, 366–380.
- [28] YOSHIDA, H.: Subharmonic functions on cylinders. Preprint.

Gakushuin University Department of Mathematics Faculty of Science Toshima-ku, Tokyo 171 Japan

Received 25 March 1986