

## ON REPRESENTABLE PAIRS

Tomáš Kepka, Petr Němec and Markku Niemenmaa

### Introduction

This paper is organised in five parts. We first consider some properties of semigroups in Chapter 1 and prove structural results which might be interesting as such. In Chapter 2 we define our central notion, namely, that of a representable pair  $(S, f)$  where  $S$  is a semigroup and  $f$  is a mapping from  $S$  into the class of non-zero cardinal numbers. We also give here some necessary conditions for a pair  $(S, f)$  to be representable by a groupoid.

Chapter 3 contains a representation criterion. By using this criterion we are able to prove in Chapter 4 several sufficient conditions for a pair  $(S, f)$  to be representable by a groupoid. Finally, Chapter 5 contains a special treatment of a semigroup of order five.

We assume that the reader is familiar with the rudiments of the theory of abstract algebraic systems. The background can be obtained e.g. from [1], [2] and [3].

### 1. Preliminaries

Let  $S$  be a semigroup. We denote  $S^2 = SS = \{ab : a, b \in S\}$  and  $S^n = SS^{n-1}$  for every positive integer  $n \geq 3$ . We also need the following sets:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Id}(S) &= \{a \in S : a = a^2\}, \\ L(S) &= \{a \in S : a \in Sa\}, \\ R(S) &= \{a \in S : a \in aS\}, \\ \text{Li}(S) &= \{a \in S : a \in \text{Id}(S)a\}, \\ \text{Ri}(S) &= \{a \in S : a \in a\text{Id}(S)\}, \\ K(S) &= \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} S^n.\end{aligned}$$

We shall now formulate some easy observations.

**Lemma 1.1.** (i) *The set  $L(S)$  (or  $R(S)$ ) is either empty or a right (or left) ideal of  $S$ .*

(ii) *The set  $\text{Li}(S)$  (or  $\text{Ri}(S)$ ) is either empty or a right (or left) ideal of  $S$ .*

(iii) The set  $K(S)$  is either empty or an ideal of  $S$ .

(iv)  $\text{Id}(S) \subseteq \text{Li}(S) \subseteq L(S) \subseteq K(S)$  and  $\text{Id}(S) \subseteq \text{Ri}(S) \subseteq R(S) \subseteq K(S)$ .

If there exists an integer  $n \geq 0$  such that  $S^{n-1} \neq S^n = K(S)$ , we say that the number  $n = nc(S)$  is the class number of  $S$  (now  $S^0$  means a one-element semigroup and  $S^{-1} = \emptyset$ ).

**Lemma 1.2.** *If  $S$  is finite, then  $\text{Id}(S)$  is non-empty,  $L(S) = \text{Li}(S)$  and  $R(S) = \text{Ri}(S)$ . Furthermore,  $K(S)^2 = K(S)$ .*

**Lemma 1.3.** *Let  $S$  be finite and  $S = S^2$  (i.e.,  $nc(S) \leq 1$ ). Then  $S = R(S)L(S)$ . In particular,  $S = L(S)$ , provided that  $S$  is commutative.*

*Proof.* Put  $I = R(S)L(S)$  and define a relation  $r$  on  $S$  by  $(a, b) \in r$  if and only if  $a \in bS$ . Now  $I$  is an ideal of  $S$ ,  $r$  is transitive and  $a \in R(S)$  if and only if  $(a, a) \in r$ . Then assume that  $a_1 \in S - I$ . There are elements  $a_2, b_1 \in S$  such that  $a_1 = a_2b_1$ , also  $a_3, b_2 \in S$  such that  $a_2 = a_3b_2$  etc. Now  $(a_1, a_2) \in r, (a_2, a_3) \in r$  etc., so that  $(a_i, a_j) \in r$  whenever  $1 \leq i < j$ . Since  $I$  is an ideal and  $a_1 \notin I$ , we conclude that  $I$  contains none of the elements  $a_2, a_3, \dots$ . As  $S$  is finite, it follows that there are positive integers  $i < j$  such that  $a_i = a_j$ . Thus  $(a_i, a_i) \in r, a_i \in R(S)$ , and since  $R(S) \subseteq I$  by 1.2(i), we get  $a_i \in I$ , a contradiction. The proof is complete.

**Lemma 1.4.** *Suppose that  $S$  contains at most four elements and  $S = S^2$ . Then  $S = L(S) \cup R(S)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $a \in S$  and  $a \notin L(S) \cup R(S)$ . By 1.3,  $a = bc$ , where  $b \in R(S)$  and  $c \in L(S)$ . Clearly,  $b \notin L(S)$  and  $c \notin R(S)$ . Now the elements  $a, a^2, b$  and  $c$  are pair-wise different, hence  $\text{card}(S) = 4$ . If  $ba = b$ , then  $a = bc = bac = b^2c^2 = b^3c^3 = \dots$ ; now  $b^n \in \text{Id}(S)$  for some  $n \geq 1$ , the equation  $a = b^nc^n$  implying  $a \in L(S)$ , a contradiction. Similarly, if  $ba^2 = b$ , we get a contradiction. Consequently,  $ba \neq b$  and  $ba^2 \neq b$ . The inequalities  $bb \neq b$  and  $bc \neq b$  are obvious; thus we have proved that  $b \notin bS$ . Hence  $b \notin R(S)$  and we again have a contradiction. We conclude  $S = L(S) \cup R(S)$ .

**Example 1.5.** Consider the following five-element semigroup  $T$ :

	0	a	b	c	d
0	0	0	0	0	0
a	0	0	0	0	0
b	0	0	0	a	b
c	0	0	0	0	0
d	0	0	0	c	d

Now  $T = T^2$  and  $a \notin L(T) \cup R(T)$ .

**Lemma 1.6.** *Let  $S$  be a five-element semigroup such that  $S = S^2$  and  $S \neq L(S) \cup R(S)$ ; then  $S$  is isomorphic to the semigroup  $T$  constructed in 1.5.*

*Proof.* Let  $a \in S - (L(S) \cup R(S))$ . Now  $a = bc$ , where  $b \in R(S)$  and  $c \in L(S)$ . Furthermore,  $b \notin L(S)$  and  $c \notin R(S)$ . The elements  $a, a^2, b$  and  $c$  are pair-wise different and, as in the proof of Lemma 1.4, one can show that  $b \notin \{ba, ba^2, bb, bc\}$ . Since  $b \in R(S)$ ,  $b = bd$ , where  $d \in S$  and thus  $S = \{a, a^2, b, c, d\}$ . By using a similar type of argument, we get  $c = dc$ .

Now we know that  $b = bd$  and  $c = dc$  and we try to compute the rest of the multiplication table for  $S$ . It is easy to see that  $ab \neq a, ab \neq b, ab \neq c$  and  $ab \neq d$ ; hence  $ab = a^2$ . We also have  $ba = a^2, ac = a^2$  and  $ca = a^2$ . Further, it is easy to see that  $b^2 = a^2$  and  $c^2 = a^2$ . Clearly,  $ad \neq a$  and  $ad \neq b$ . If  $ad = c$ , then  $a = bc = bad = b^2ad^2 = \dots$ , a contradiction. If  $ad = d$ , then  $b = bd = bad$  and  $a = bc = badc = b^2a(dc)^2 = \dots$ , again a contradiction. Consequently,  $ad = a^2$  and, similarly,  $da = a^2$ . Since  $a \notin R(S) \cup L(S)$ ,  $b \notin L(S)$  and  $c \notin R(S)$ , we must have  $cb = a^2, cd = a^2$  and  $db = a^2$ . Clearly,  $a^3 \neq a, a^3 \neq b$  and  $a^3 \neq c$ . If  $a^3 = d$ , then  $b = bd = ba^3$  and  $a = bc = ba^3c$ , which is not possible. Thus  $a^3 = a^2$ , and it follows that  $a^4 = a^2, ba^2 = a^2b = ca^2 = a^2c = da^2 = a^2d = a^2$ . Finally, we have to see that  $d^2 = d$ . If we denote  $a^2 = 0$ , we have the same multiplication table as in the example. The proof is complete.

## 2. Groupoids and semigroups

By a groupoid we mean a non-empty set together with a binary operation denoted multiplicatively.

Let  $\zeta$  be a groupoid. Denote by  $r(\zeta)$  the intersection of all congruences  $r$  such that the corresponding factor groupoid is a semigroup. Now  $r(\zeta)$  is a congruence on  $\zeta$ ,  $\zeta/r(\zeta)$  is a semigroup and  $r(\zeta)$  is the least congruence with this property. Clearly,  $r(\zeta)$  is the congruence on  $\zeta$  generated by the ordered pairs  $(a(bc), (ab)c)$ , where  $a, b, c \in \zeta$ .

Throughout the paper, let  $C$  denote the class of non-zero cardinal numbers. Consider a semigroup  $S$  and a mapping  $f: S \rightarrow C$ . We say that the pair  $(S, f)$  is representable by a groupoid if there exist a groupoid  $\zeta$  and a homomorphism  $g$  from  $\zeta$  onto  $S$  such that  $\ker(g) = r(\zeta)$  and  $\text{card}(g^{-1}(a)) = f(a)$  for every  $a \in S$ .

We immediately have

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $S$  be a semigroup and  $f: S \rightarrow C$  a mapping such that the pair  $(S, f)$  is representable by a groupoid. Then  $f(a) = 1$  for every  $a \in S - S^3$ .*

Next we establish

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $S$  be a semigroup and  $f: S \rightarrow C$  a mapping such that the pair  $(S, f)$  is representable by a groupoid. Let  $a \in S^2$  and*

$$A = \{(b, c) \mid b, c \in S \text{ and } a = bc\}.$$

*Then  $f(a) \leq \sum_{(b,c) \in A} f(b)f(c)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that

$$f(a) > \sum_{(b,c) \in A} f(b)f(c).$$

Now there exist a groupoid  $\zeta$  and a surjective homomorphism  $g: \zeta \rightarrow S$  such that  $\ker(g) = r(\zeta)$  and  $\text{card}(g^{-1}(u)) = f(u)$  for every  $u \in S$ . Put  $H = g^{-1}(a)$  and

$$L = \{xy \mid x \in g^{-1}(b), y \in g^{-1}(c), (b, c) \in A\}.$$

Then  $L \subseteq H$  and  $L \neq H$ . Now we define a relation  $d$  on  $\zeta$  as follows:

$$d = (r(\zeta) - (H \times H)) \cup (L \times L) \cup ((H - L) \times (H - L)).$$

Clearly,  $d$  is an equivalence relation,  $d \subseteq r(\zeta)$  and  $d \neq r(\zeta)$ . In fact, it is not difficult to see that  $d$  is a congruence on  $\zeta$  and  $\zeta/d$  is a semigroup. However, this is a contradiction. The required result follows.

### 3. A representation criterion

Let  $S$  be a semigroup and  $f: S \rightarrow C$  a mapping. For each  $a \in S$ , define a mapping  $f_a: S \rightarrow C$  by  $f_a(a) = f(a)$  and  $f_a(b) = 1$  for every  $b \neq a$ ,  $b \in S$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *Suppose that the pair  $(S, f_a)$  is representable by a groupoid for any  $a \in S$ . Then the pair  $(S, f)$  is also representable by a groupoid.*

*Proof.* Now there exist pair-wise disjoint groupoids  $\zeta_a$  (their operations are denoted by  $\star$ ) and surjective homomorphisms

$$g_a: \zeta_a \rightarrow S$$

such that

$$\ker(g_a) = r(\zeta_a),$$

$$\text{card}(g_a^{-1}(a)) = f(a) \quad \text{and}$$

$$\text{card}(g_a^{-1}(b)) = 1 \quad \text{for every } b \in S, b \neq a.$$

Now denote  $H_a = g_a^{-1}(a)$  and  $\zeta = \bigcup_{a \in S} H_a$ . We shall define a binary operation on  $\zeta$  as follows:

- (1) If  $x, y \in H_a$  and  $a = aa$ , we put  $xy = x \star y \in H_a$ .
- (2) If  $x \in H_a, y \in H_b$  and  $ab = c$  where  $a \neq c \neq b$ , then  $xy = g_c^{-1}(a) \star g_c^{-1}(b) \in H_c$ .
- (3) If  $x \in H_a$  and  $y \in H_b$  ( $a \neq b$ ) and  $ab = a$ , then  $xy = x \star g_a^{-1}(b) \in H_a$ .
- (4) If  $x \in H_a$  and  $y \in H_b$  ( $a \neq b$ ) and  $ab = b$ , we put  $xy = g_b^{-1}(a) \star y \in H_b$ .

Now we define a mapping  $g$  from  $\zeta$  onto  $S$  by

$$g(H_a) = a \quad \text{for each } a \in S.$$

It is obvious that  $g$  is a homomorphism from  $\zeta$  to  $S$ .

We still have to show that  $r(\zeta) = \ker(g)$ . Clearly,  $r(\zeta) \subseteq \ker(g)$ . We shall now construct two equivalence relations for our proof. Let  $a \in S$  and denote

$$d = (\ker(g) - (H_a \times H_a)) \cup (r(\zeta) \cap (H_a \times H_a))$$

and

$$s = \{(x, x) \mid x \in \zeta_a\} \cup (r(\zeta) \cap (H_a \times H_a)).$$

We are going to prove that  $d$  is a congruence on  $\zeta$  and  $s$  is a congruence on  $\zeta_a$ .

Let  $x, y, z \in \zeta$  and  $(x, y) \in d$ . We have to distinguish between the following cases:

(1)  $x, y \in H_b$  for some  $b \in S, b \neq a$ . Then  $(zx, zy) \in \ker(g)$  and  $(zx, zy) \in d$  unless  $zx \in H_a$ . If  $zx \in H_a$ , then  $zy \in H_a$ , too. Then there exists  $c \in S$  such that  $z \in H_c$  and  $a = cb$ . If  $a \neq c$ , then

$$zx = g_a^{-1}(c) \star g_a^{-1}(b) = zy,$$

hence  $(zx, zy) \in d$ . If  $a = c$ , then

$$zx = z \star g_a^{-1}(b) = zy$$

and again  $(zx, zy) \in d$ .

(2)  $x, y \in H_a$  and  $(x, y) \in r(\zeta)$ . If  $zx \notin H_a, zy \notin H_a$ , then  $(zx, zy) \in \ker(g)$ , hence  $(zx, zy) \in d$ . Now consider the case where  $zx \in H_a$  and  $zy \in H_a$ . Then, clearly,  $(zx, zy) \in r(\zeta) \cap (H_a \times H_a)$ , hence  $(zx, zy) \in d$ .

Now we have proved that  $(zx, zy) \in d$  (in a similar way we could prove that  $(xz, yz) \in d$ ). Thus  $d$  is a congruence on  $\zeta$ .

After this we shall have a look at the relation  $s$ . Let  $x, y, z \in \zeta_a$  and  $(x, y) \in s$ . Now we have to consider the following three cases:

(1)  $x \notin H_a$ . Then  $y \notin H_a, x = y$  and  $(z \star x, z \star y) \in s$ .

(2)  $x \in H_a$  and  $z \star x \notin H_a$ . Now  $y \in H_a, (x, y) \in \ker(g_a), (z \star x, z \star y) \in \ker(g_a)$  and thus  $z \star x = z \star y$  implying that  $(z \star x, z \star y) \in s$ .

(3)  $x \in H_a$  and  $z \star x \in H_a$ . Then  $y \in H_a, z \star y \in H_a$ , and naturally  $(x, y) \in r(\zeta)$ . Now put  $b = g_a(z)$  so that  $a = ba$ . If  $b \neq a$  (this means that  $z \notin H_a$ ), then  $(ux, uy) \in r(\zeta)$  for any  $u \in H_b$ , and, moreover,  $ux = z \star x$  and  $uy = z \star y$ , hence  $(z \star x, z \star y) \in s$ .

If  $b = a$  (then  $z \in H_a$ ), we have  $(zx, zy) \in r(\zeta)$  and now  $zx = z \star x$  and  $zy = z \star y$ . Once again  $(z \star x, z \star y) \in s$ , and we thus have shown that  $s$  is a congruence on  $\zeta_a$ .

Now it is clear that  $r(\zeta) \subseteq d \subseteq \ker(g)$ . There exist the projective natural homomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} p: \zeta &\rightarrow \zeta/r(\zeta), \\ q: \zeta/r(\zeta) &\rightarrow \zeta/d \end{aligned}$$

and a homomorphism

$$k: \zeta/d \rightarrow S$$

such that  $g = kqp$ .

Since  $s \subseteq \ker(g_a)$  we also have the projective natural homomorphism

$$f: \zeta_a \rightarrow \zeta_a/s$$

and a homomorphism

$$v: \zeta_a/s \rightarrow S$$

such that  $g_a = vf$ .

Finally, define a mapping  $h: \zeta \rightarrow \zeta_a$  by

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \in H_a, \\ g_a^{-1}(b) & \text{if } x \in H_b, b \neq a. \end{cases}$$

The mapping  $h$  thus defined is a homomorphism from  $\zeta$  onto  $\zeta_a$ , and we now have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \zeta & \xrightarrow{p} & \zeta/r(\zeta) & \xrightarrow{q} & \zeta/d & \xrightarrow{k} & S \\ & \searrow h & & & & & \nearrow v \\ & & \zeta_a & \xrightarrow{f} & \zeta_a/s & & \end{array}$$

It is easily verified that  $\ker(fh) = d = \ker(qp)$ , from which it follows that the groupoids  $\zeta/d$  and  $\zeta_a/s$  are isomorphic. Since  $\zeta/d$  is a homomorphic image of  $\zeta/r(\zeta)$ , it is a semigroup and it follows that  $\zeta_a/s$  is a semigroup, too.

We first conclude that  $s = \ker(g_a)$  and then  $r(\zeta) \cap (H_a \times H_a) = H_a \times H_a$ . This implies that  $r(\zeta) \supseteq H_a \times H_a$ , hence  $r(\zeta) = \ker(g)$ . The proof is complete.

#### 4. Some representable pairs

We shall now establish some representable pairs by using the results of the preceding chapters. However, we first prove two preliminary lemmas.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $M$  be a non-empty set. Then there exists a mapping  $t$  from  $M$  onto  $M$  such that for all  $x, y \in M$  there exist positive integers  $m, n$  such that  $t^m(x) = t^n(y)$ .*

*Proof.* (1) Let  $k$  be a positive integer. Now the permutation  $t(1) = 2, t(2) = 3, \dots, t(k-1) = k, t(k) = 1$  on the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  has the desired property.

(2) If we consider  $\mathbb{N}$ , we define  $t(k) = k - 1$  for every  $k \geq 2$  and  $t(1) = 1$ .

(3) Let  $a$  be an infinite cardinal number,  $A$  be a set with  $\text{card}(A) = a$  and  $B$  be the set of all mappings  $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  with  $f(x) \neq 1$  only for a finite number of elements  $x$  from  $A$ . Define a mapping  $t$  from  $B$  onto  $B$  by  $t(f)(x) = 1$  if  $f(x) = 1$  and  $t(f)(x) = f(x) - 1$  if  $f(x) \geq 2$ . Again,  $t$  has the desired property.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $S$  be a semigroup,  $a \in L(S)$  (or  $a \in R(S)$ ) and let  $f: S \rightarrow C$  be a mapping such that  $f(b) = 1$  for every  $b \in S - \{a\}$ . Then the pair  $(S, f)$  is representable by a groupoid.*

*Proof.* We shall now exceptionally denote the operation of  $S$  by  $(\star)$ . Denote further  $R = S - \{a\}$  and let  $M$  be a set with  $\text{card}(M) = f(a)$  and  $S \cap M = \emptyset$ . Finally, let  $\zeta = R \cup M$ .

Since  $a \in L(S)$ , there exists  $e \in S$  such that  $e \star a = a$ . We first assume that  $a \notin \text{Id}(S)$  and define a multiplication on  $\zeta$  as follows:

- (1)  $ex = (e \star e) = t(x)$  for every  $x \in M$ , where  $t$  is a mapping from  $M$  onto  $M$  as given in Lemma 4.1,
- (2)  $bc = b \star c$  for all  $b, c \in R$  with  $b \star c \neq a$ ,
- (3)  $bc =$  any element of  $M$  if  $b, c \in R$  and  $b \star c = a$ ,
- (4)  $bx = b \star a$  if  $b \in R, x \in M$  and  $b \star a \neq a$ ,
- (5)  $bx =$  any element of  $M$  if  $b \in R, b \neq e, b \neq e \star e, x \in M$  and  $b \star a = a$ ,
- (6)  $xb = a \star b$  if  $b \in R, x \in M$  and  $a \star b \neq a$ ,
- (7)  $xb =$  any element of  $M$  if  $b \in R, x \in M$  and  $a \star b = a$ ,
- (8)  $xy = a \star a$  for all  $x, y \in M$ .

After this we define a mapping from  $\zeta$  onto  $S$  by  $g(x) = x$  if  $x \in R$  and  $g(x) = a$  if  $x \in M$ . Clearly,  $g$  is a homomorphism. It remains to show that  $\ker(g) = r(\zeta)$ . Once again, it is obvious that  $r(\zeta) \subseteq \ker(g)$ . Put  $s = r(\zeta) \cap (M \times M)$ . If  $(x, y) \in s$ , then  $(t(x), t(y)) = (ex, ey) \in s$ , which means that  $s$  is a congruence on the algebra  $(M, t)$  with one unary operation. If  $x \in M$ , then, by the definition of  $r(\zeta)$ ,  $(e(ex), (ee)x) \in r(\zeta)$ . Now  $e(ex) = t^2(x)$  and  $(ee)x = t(x)$ , hence  $(t^2(x), t(x)) \in s$ . In fact, if  $n$  is a positive integer, then  $(t^n(x), t(x)) \in s$ . Let  $(u, v) \in M \times M$ . Now there exist elements  $a, b \in M$  such that  $u = t(a)$  and  $v = t(b)$ . By Lemma 4.1, there also exist positive integers  $m, n$  such that

$t^m(a) = t^n(b)$ . On the other hand,  $(t^m(a), t(a)) \in s$  and  $(t^n(b), t(b)) \in s$ , hence  $(t(a), t(b)) = (u, v) \in s$ . It follows that  $s = M \times M$ . Then certainly  $r(\zeta) \supseteq M \times M$  and  $\ker(g) = r(\zeta)$ .

Then assume that  $a \in \text{Id}(S)$ . Choose an element  $w \in M$  and define a multiplication on  $\zeta$  as follows:

- (1)  $xy = w$  for all  $x, y \in M, y \neq w$ ,
- (2)  $xw = x$  for every  $x \in M$ ,
- (3)  $bc = b \star c$  for all  $b, c \in R$  with  $b \star c \neq a$ ,
- (4)  $bc = w$  if  $b, c \in R$  and  $b \star c = a$ ,
- (5)  $bx = b \star a$  if  $b \in R, x \in M$  and  $b \star a \neq a$ ,
- (6)  $bx = w$  if  $b \in R, x \in M$  and  $b \star a = a$ ,
- (7)  $xb = a \star b$  if  $b \in R, x \in M$  and  $a \star b \neq a$ ,
- (8)  $xb = w$  if  $b \in R, x \in M$  and  $a \star b = a$ .

Then we define a mapping  $g$  from  $\zeta$  onto  $S$  as in the first part of the proof. Naturally,  $g$  is a homomorphism. Suppose that  $(x, y) \in M \times M$ . By the definition of  $r(\zeta)$ ,  $(x(wx), (xw)x) \in r(\zeta)$ , i.e.,  $(x, w) \in r(\zeta)$ . Similarly,  $(y, w) \in r(\zeta)$ , hence  $(x, y) \in r(\zeta)$ . Now again  $r(\zeta) \supseteq M \times M$  and  $\ker(g) = r(\zeta)$ . This completes the proof.

We are now able to give our first theorem about representable pairs.

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $S$  be a semigroup such that  $S = L(S) \cup R(S)$ . Then the pair  $(S, f)$  is representable by a groupoid for any mapping  $f: S \rightarrow C$ .*

*Proof.* Just combine Theorem 3.1 with Lemma 4.2.

By using Lemmas 1.3 and 1.4 we immediately have

**Corollary 4.4.** *The pair  $(S, f)$  is representable by a groupoid for any mapping  $f: S \rightarrow C$  if*

- (i)  $S$  is finite, commutative and  $nc(S) \leq 1$ , or
- (ii)  $S$  contains at most four elements and  $nc(S) \leq 1$ .

The rest of this chapter is devoted to the investigation of the situation where  $f(S) = \{1, 2\}$ . We first prove

**Theorem 4.5.** *Let  $S$  be a semigroup,  $a \in S$  and let  $f: S \rightarrow C$  be a mapping such that  $f(a) = 2$  and  $f(b) = 1$  for every  $b \in S, b \neq a$ . Then the pair  $(S, f)$  is representable by a groupoid if and only if at least one of the following two conditions is satisfied:*

- (i)  $a \in L(S) \cup R(S)$ ,
- (ii) there exist  $x, y, z \in S$  such that  $a = xyz$  and either  $x \neq xy$  or  $z \neq yz$ .

*Proof.* If (i) holds, the result follows from Lemma 4.2.

Then assume that (ii) holds: i.e.,  $a = xyz$  with  $x \neq xy$ . Now take an element  $e \notin S$  and put  $\zeta = S \cup \{e\}$ . An operation  $(\star)$  on  $\zeta$  is defined in the following manner:



- (1)  $u \star v = uv$  for all  $u, v \in S$  with  $uv \neq a$ ,
- (2)  $u \star v = a$  for all  $u, v \in S$  with  $uv = a$ , and either  $u \neq x$  or  $v \neq yz$ ,
- (3)  $x \star yz = e$ ,
- (4)  $e \star u = a \star u$  and  $u \star e = u \star a$  for every  $u \in S$ ,
- (5)  $e \star e = a \star a$ .

Define a mapping  $g$  from  $\zeta$  onto  $S$  by  $g(e) = a$  and  $g(x) = x$  for every  $x \in \zeta - \{e\}$ . Clearly,  $g$  is a homomorphism and  $\ker(g) = r(\zeta)$ .

The case where (ii) holds,  $a = xyz$  and  $z \neq yz$  proceeds in a similar way.

Now we prove the converse statement. Suppose that the pair  $(S, f)$  is representable by a groupoid. This means that we have a groupoid  $\zeta$  and a homomorphism  $g: \zeta \rightarrow S$  such that  $\ker(g) = r(\zeta)$ ,  $\text{card}(g^{-1}(a)) = 2$  and  $\text{card}(g^{-1}(b)) = 1$  for every  $b \in S, b \neq a$ . Assume that neither (i) nor (ii) is true. Let  $u, v, w$  be elements of  $\zeta$  and  $x = uv, y = vw$ . If  $g(uy) \neq a$ , then also  $g(xw) \neq a$ , hence  $uy = xw$ . If  $g(uy) = a$ , then  $g(xw) = a$  and we have  $a = g(u)g(v)g(w)$ . Since (ii) does not hold,  $g(u) = g(u)g(v) = g(x)$  and  $g(w) = g(v)g(w) = g(y)$ . Since  $a \notin L(S) \cup R(S)$ ,  $g(u) \neq a \neq g(w)$ , yielding  $u = x$  and  $w = y$ . But then  $u(vw) = uy = uv = xw = (uv)w$ , and we have shown that  $\zeta$  is a semigroup, a contradiction. Thus either (i) or (ii) is true as required.

By combining Theorems 3.1 and 4.5 we get

**Corollary 4.6.** *Let  $S$  be a semigroup such that for every  $a \in S - (L(S) \cup R(S))$  there exist elements  $x, y, z \in S$  with  $a = xyz$  and  $(x, yz) \neq (xy, z)$ . Then the pair  $(S, f)$  is representable by a groupoid for any mapping  $f: S \rightarrow \{1, 2\}$ .*

It is also easy to see that the following result (which is in fact partially converse to Lemma 2.1) is true.

**Corollary 4.7.** *Let  $S$  be a commutative semigroup and  $f: S \rightarrow \{1, 2\}$  a mapping. Then the pair  $(S, f)$  is representable by a groupoid if  $f(a) = 1$  for every  $a \in S - S^3$ .*

## 5. An example

In Lemma 2.2 we proved that if the pair  $(S, f)$  is representable by a groupoid and  $a \in S^2$ , then

$$f(a) \leq \sum f(b)f(c),$$

where we go through all elements  $b, c \in S$  such that  $bc = a$ . In what follows we consider the semigroup  $T = \{0, a, b, c, d\}$  from Example 1.5 and show that  $(T, f)$  is representable by a groupoid if  $f(a) \leq f(b)f(c)$ .

Let us assume that  $f(a) \leq f(b)f(c)$ . Then take five pair-wise disjoint sets  $P, A, B, C$  and  $D$  such that  $\text{card}(P) = f(0)$ ,  $\text{card}(A) = f(a)$ ,  $\text{card}(B) = f(b)$ ,  $\text{card}(C) = f(c)$  and  $\text{card}(D) = f(d)$ . Put  $\zeta = P \cup A \cup B \cup C \cup D$  and let  $p: B \rightarrow B$  and  $q: C \rightarrow C$  be mappings described in Lemma 4.1. From our assumption it

follows that there exists a mapping  $h$  from  $B \times C$  onto  $A$ . Now choose elements  $z \in P$  and  $w \in D$  and define a multiplication on  $\zeta$  as follows:

- (1)  $xy = yx = z$  for every  $x \in P$  and  $y \in A \cup B \cup C \cup D$ ,
- (2)  $xy = z$  for all  $x, y \in A \cup B$ ,
- (3)  $xy = yx = z$  for every  $x \in A$  and  $y \in C \cup D$ ,
- (4)  $xy = z$  for every  $x \in C$  and  $y \in B$ ,
- (5)  $xy = z$  for all  $x, y \in C$ ,
- (6)  $xy = z$  for every  $x \in C$  and  $y \in D$ ,
- (7)  $xy = z$  for every  $x \in D$  and  $y \in B$ ,
- (8)  $xy = z$  for all  $x, y \in P$  ( $y \neq z$ ),
- (9)  $xz = x$  for every  $x \in P$ ,
- (10)  $xy = w$  for all  $x, y \in D$  ( $y \neq w$ ),
- (11)  $xw = x$  for every  $x \in D$ ,
- (12)  $xy = p(x)$  for every  $x \in B$  and  $y \in D$ ,
- (13)  $xy = q(y)$  for every  $x \in D$  and  $y \in C$ ,
- (14)  $xy = h(x, y)$  for every  $x \in B$  and  $y \in C$ .

Then define a mapping  $g$  from  $\zeta$  onto  $T$  by  $g(P) = 0$ ,  $g(A) = a$ ,  $g(B) = b$ ,  $g(C) = c$  and  $g(D) = d$ . It is easy to check that  $g$  is a homomorphism. We now have to show that  $r(\zeta) = \ker(g)$ .

First,  $(x(xx), (xx)x) \in r(\zeta)$  for any  $x \in P$ . Since  $x(xx) = xz = x$  and  $(xx)x = zx = z$ , it follows that  $(x, z) \in r(\zeta)$ , hence  $P \times P \subseteq r(\zeta)$ . Similarly, one can prove that  $D \times D \subseteq r(\zeta)$ . The inclusions  $B \times B \subseteq r(\zeta)$  and  $C \times C \subseteq r(\zeta)$  can be proved as in Lemma 4.2 (now the mappings  $p$  and  $q$  have the role of  $t$ ). Finally, if  $(x, y) \in B \times B$  and  $(u, v) \in C \times C$ , then also  $(x, y) \in r(\zeta)$  and  $(u, v) \in r(\zeta)$ , hence  $(xu, yv) \in r(\zeta)$ . By definition  $(h(x, u), h(y, v)) \in r(\zeta)$ , and thus  $A \times A \subseteq r(\zeta)$ . We conclude that  $\ker(g) = r(\zeta)$ , and the proof is complete.

#### References

- [1] HOWIE, J.M.: An introduction to semigroup theory. - Academic Press, London-New York-San Francisco, 1976.
- [2] KOSKAS, M.: Groupoides, demi-groupes et hypergroupes. - J. Math. Pures et Appl. 49, 1970, 155-192.
- [3] SIGLER, L.E.: Algebra. - Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin, 1976.

Charles University  
Department of Mathematics  
186 00 Prague 8  
Czechoslovakia

Charles University  
Department of Mathematics  
186 00 Prague 8  
Czechoslovakia

University of Oulu  
Department of Mathematics  
SF-90570 Oulu  
Finland

Received 1 April 1987