Annales Academiæ Scientiarum Fennicæ Series A. I. Mathematica Volumen 13, 1988, 111–124

# MORI'S THEOREM FOR n-DIMENSIONAL QUASICONFORMAL MAPPINGS

## **Richard Fehlmann and Matti Vuorinen**

### 1. Introduction

In this paper we shall study distortion properties of quasiconformal mappings in two cases. The first case deals with quasiconformal mappings of the unit ball  $B^n$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for which we generalize a classical theorem of Akira Mori (see [2], p. 47, or [16], p. 66). The second case deals with quasiconformal mappings of the whole space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  which keep the  $x_1$ -axis pointwise fixed. In both cases our results will have the correct limiting behavior as  $K \to 1$ . Furthermore, all the estimates involved are explicitly computable. We shall also study conformal mappings onto quasidisks.

In 1956 the following theorem of A. Mori appeared [18].

**1.1. Theorem.** A K-quasiconformal mapping f of the unit disk  $B^2$  onto itself with f(0) = 0 satisfies

(1.2) 
$$|f(x) - f(y)| \le 16|x - y|^{1/K}$$

for all  $x, y \in B^2$ . Furthermore, the constant 16 in (1.2) cannot be replaced by any smaller constant independent of K.

The main result of this paper is the following generalization of Theorem 1.1.

**1.3. Theorem.** Let f be a K-quasiconformal mapping of  $B^n$  onto  $B^n$ ,  $n \ge 2$ , with f(0) = 0. Then

(1.4) 
$$|f(x) - f(y)| \le M_1(n, K)|x - y|^{\alpha}$$

for all  $x, y \in B^n$  where  $\alpha = K^{1/(1-n)}$  and the constant  $M_1(n, K)$  has the following three properties:

(1)  $M_1(n, K) \to 1$  as  $K \to 1$ , uniformly in n; (1.5) (2)  $M_1(n, K)$  remains bounded for fixed K and varying n;

(3)  $M_1(n, K)$  remains bounded for fixed n and varying K.

An *n*-dimensional version of Mori's theorem has already been given in [20]. In [11], Remark 1 on p. 235, it is said that this theorem holds with a constant satisfying (3) (namely  $M_1(n, K) \leq 4\lambda_n^2$  in our notation of Section 2), and in [14] the inequality (1.4) is also proved, but with a constant that does not satisfy any of these three properties. In Section 2 (Theorem 2.28) we shall give explicit bounds from above for the constant  $M_1(n, K)$  which actually hold in a wider class of mappings of the unit ball (cf. (2.15)). For an extension of Mori's theorem to more general domains the reader is referred to [12], Corollary 3.30, and, for a recent application of it, to [8], Section 10.

In Section 3 we shall prove

**1.6. Theorem.** Let  $f : \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^n$  be a K-quasiconformal mapping which keeps the  $x_1$ -axis pointwise fixed. If K > 1, then

(1.7) 
$$\left|f(x)\right| \le \lambda_n^{2\beta-2} \frac{\beta^{\beta}}{(\beta-1)^{\beta-1}} |x|$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$  where  $\beta = K^{1/(n-1)}$  and  $\lambda_n$  is the Grötzsch ring constant (see Section 2).

This theorem is a sharpened version of Corollary 2.17 in [4]. Observe that the constant in (1.7) tends to one as  $K \to 1$ . Finally, in the last section, we apply these results to plane conformal mappings of the unit disk onto bounded K-quasidisks, again paying attention to the limiting behavior as  $K \to 1$ .

It is conjectured (cf. [16], p. 68) that the best constant in (1.2) is  $16^{1-1/K}$ , in place of 16. E. Reich has kindly informed us that his student G.P. Schwartz proved Mori's theorem (1.2) with the constant  $360^{1-1/K}$  in place of 16, in an unpublished Ph.D. thesis in 1970. Schwartz' work relies heavily on the parametric representation of plane quasiconformal mappings and is therefore restricted to the two-dimensional case. A further improvement in the plane case has also been given in [19].

We shall adopt the relatively standard notation of [22], i.e.,  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$  denote the orthogonal unit basis vectors,  $B^n(x,r)$  the ball with center x and radius r > 0,  $S^{n-1}(x,r) = \partial B^n(x,r), B^n(r) = B^n(0,r), S^{n-1}(r) = \partial B^n(r), B^n = B^n(1),$  $S^{n-1} = \partial B^n$  and  $\omega_{n-1}$  the (n-1)-dimensional Lebesgue measure of  $S^{n-1}$ . In particular, we employ the definition of K-quasiconformal mapping given in [22], p. 42.

### 2. Mori's theorem

We shall next introduce some notation and some estimates necessary for the sequel.

A domain R in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is called a ring or a ring domain if its complement in  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^n$  consists of two components. Its conformal capacity is denoted by cap R. By  $R_{G,n}(t), t > 1$ , we denote the Grötzsch ring whose complementary components consist of the closed unit ball  $\overline{B}^n$  and the ray  $[te_1, \infty] = \{se_1: s \geq t\}$ , and

by  $R_{T,n}(t)$ , t > 0, the Teichmüller ring whose complementary components are  $[-e_1, 0] = \{se_1: -1 \le s \le 0\}$  and  $[te_1, \infty]$ . For their capacities we write

$$\gamma_n(t) = \operatorname{cap} R_{G,n}(t),$$
  
 $\tau_n(t) = \operatorname{cap} R_{T,n}(t).$ 

These functions are related by the functional identity

(2.1) 
$$\gamma_n(t) = 2^{n-1} \tau_n(t^2 - 1)$$

(cf. [9], Lemma 6). Later we shall also use the estimation ([9], Lemma 8)

(2.2) 
$$\gamma_n(t) \ge \omega_{n-1} (\log \lambda_n t)^{1-n}, \quad t > 1,$$

where  $\lambda_n \in [4, 2e^{n-1}]$  is the Grötzsch ring constant (cf. [10]; for these estimations from above see [3] and from below [7], [13]; note also that  $\lambda_2 = 4$  [16]).

For K > 0 we define a homeomorphism  $\varphi_{K,n}: [0,1] \to [0,1]$  with  $\varphi_{K,n}(0) = 0$ ,  $\varphi_{K,n}(1) = 1$  and

(2.3) 
$$\varphi_{K,n}(t) = \frac{1}{\gamma_n^{-1} (K \gamma_n(1/t))}, \quad 0 < t < 1.$$

Throughout this paper we use  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  to denote the following numbers

$$\alpha = K^{1/(1-n)}, \quad \beta = 1/\alpha.$$

The following important estimates (due to Wang [26] for n = 2 and generalized to  $n \ge 2$  in [4]) are essential for the sequel

(2.4) 
$$\varphi_{K,n}(t) \leq \lambda_n^{1-\alpha} t^{\alpha},$$

(2.5) 
$$\varphi_{1/K,n}(t) \ge \lambda_n^{1-\beta} t^{\beta},$$

where  $K \ge 1$ . For n = 2, (2.4) is given also in [16] p. 65.

The Poincaré metric  $\rho(x, y)$  on  $B^n$  is defined by (cf.[6])

(2.6) 
$$\tanh^2 \frac{1}{2} \varrho(x, y) = \frac{|x - y|^2}{|x - y|^2 + (1 - |x|^2)(1 - |y|^2)}.$$

It is easy to show (see [5], 3.2) that

$$(2.7) |x-y| \le 2 \tanh \frac{1}{4} \varrho(x,y)$$

for all  $x, y \in B^n$ .

The following theorem, a quasiconformal counterpart of the Schwarz lemma, is a conformally invariant formulation of Theorem 3.1 in [17] (cf. [23], 3.3).

**2.8. Theorem.** Let f be a K-quasiregular mapping of the unit ball  $B^n$  into  $B^n$ . Then

(2.9) 
$$\tanh \frac{1}{2}\varrho(f(x), f(y)) \le \varphi_{K,n} \left( \tanh \frac{1}{2}\varrho(x, y) \right)$$

for all  $x, y \in B^n$ .

**2.10. Corollary.** Let  $f: \overline{\mathbb{R}}^n \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}^n$  be a K-quasiconformal mapping with  $f(0) = 0, f(\infty) = \infty$  and  $fB^n \subset B^n$ . If s > 1 and  $|x| \leq s$ , then

$$\left|f(x)\right| \leq \gamma_n^{-1}\left(\gamma_n(s)/K\right).$$

*Proof.* This inequality follows easily by inversion, application of Theorem 2.8 to the inverse mapping and formula (2.3).

As in [4] we define

(2.11) 
$$H_n(K) = \sup \frac{|f(x)|}{|f(y)|}$$

where the supremum is taken over all K-quasiconformal mappings  $f: \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^n$ with f(0) = 0 and over all pairs of points x, y in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  with |x| = |y| > 0. From [24] and (2.5) we have

(2.12) 
$$H_n(K) \le 1/\varphi_{1/K,n}(1/\sqrt{2})^2 \le \lambda_n^{2\beta-2} 2^{\beta}.$$

Since  $\lambda_n \leq 2e^{n-1}$  we get as in [4] a dimension-free bound for  $H_n(K)$ , namely  $\lambda_n^{1-\alpha} \leq 2^{1-1/K}K$ , and hence  $H_n(K) \leq 2^{3K-2}K^{2K}$ . Therefore this number remains bounded for fixed K and varying n. We also observe that  $\lambda_n^{1-\alpha} \to 1$  as  $K \to 1$ , uniformly in n. Next we shall use the fact that

$$\lim_{K \to 1} H_n(K) = 1$$

for every  $n \ge 2$ . This can be concluded by a normal family argument. A quantitative inequality with this property has been given in [25], namely

(2.13) 
$$H_n(K) \le \lambda_n^{2(\beta^2 - 1)} \exp(3K(K+1)\sqrt{K-1}),$$

for all  $K \ge 1$  and  $n \ge 2$ . Hence, as  $\lambda_n^{1-\alpha}$  and  $\alpha$ , also  $H_n(K)$  tends to one for  $K \to 1$ , uniformly in n.

Taking into account that a K-quasiconformal mapping  $f: \overline{\mathbb{R}}^n \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}^n$  with f(0) = 0 and  $f(\infty) = \infty$  maps the ball  $B^n(s)$  into  $B^n(H_n(K)|f(se_1)|)$ , we note that by Corollary 2.10 we get

**2.14. Corollary.** Let  $f: \overline{\mathbb{R}}^n \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}^n$  be a K-quasiconformal mapping with f(0) = 0 and  $f(\infty) = \infty$ . If  $|x| \le s|y|$ , s > 1, then

$$\left|f(x)\right| \le H_n(K)\gamma_n^{-1}(\gamma_n(s)/K)|f(y)|.$$

We observe that this estimation is similar to Theorem 2.12 in [4] where the constant is

$$1 + \tau_n^{-1} (\tau_n(s)/K) \stackrel{(2.1)}{=} (\gamma_n^{-1} (\gamma_n(\sqrt{1+s})/K))^2,$$

which is better in general and applies to all values s > 0. However, the constant in Corollary 2.14 has the advantage that it tends to s for  $K \to 1$ , it is hence sharp. Finally, we want to add the remark that in [1] it is shown that for n = 2the sharp constant is  $\tau_2^{-1}(\tau_2(s)/K)$  for all  $s \ge 1$ .

Every mapping satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 1.3 can be extended by reflection to a K-quasiconformal mapping of the whole space  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^n$ . This leads us to the

**2.15. Definition.**  $M_1(n, K)$  is the smallest number such that (1.4) holds for all  $x, y \in B^n$  and for all K-quasiconformal mappings  $f: \overline{\mathbb{R}}^n \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}^n$  with f(0) = 0,  $f(\infty) = \infty$  and  $fB^n \subset B^n$ .

We prove now that  $M_1(n, K)$  satisfies (1.5) from which Theorem 1.3 then follows. Let f be as in the definition above and fix  $x, y \in B^n$ . First we prove part (3) of (1.5) (and in particular that  $M_1(n, K) \leq 3\lambda_n^2$ ). To this end we employ a fairly straightforward generalization of the 2-dimensional argument in [16], p. 66.

Proof of part (3). The proof is divided into two cases. Consider first the case when

$$|x-y|^{2} + (1-|x|^{2})(1-|y|^{2}) \ge 1/16.$$

Then by (2.6)

(2.16) 
$$\tanh \frac{1}{2}\varrho(x,y) \le 4|x-y|.$$

Furthermore, by (2.7) and Theorem 2.8

$$\begin{aligned} \left| f(x) - f(y) \right| &\leq 2 \tanh \frac{1}{4} \varrho \big( f(x), f(y) \big) \leq 2 \tanh \frac{1}{2} \varrho \big( f(x), f(y) \big) \\ &\leq 2 \varphi_{K,n} \left( \tanh \frac{1}{2} \varrho(x, y) \right), \end{aligned}$$

and by (2.4) and (2.16)

(2.17) 
$$\left|f(x) - f(y)\right| \le 2\lambda_n^{1-\alpha} \left(\tanh \frac{1}{2}\varrho(x,y)\right)^{\alpha} \le 2\lambda_n^{1-\alpha} 4^{\alpha} |x-y|^{\alpha}.$$

In the remaining second case we have  $|x-y| \le 1/4$  and  $(1-|x|^2)(1-|y|^2) \le 1/16$ . We may assume that  $1-|x|^2 \le 1/4$ , so  $|x| \ge \sqrt{3}/2 > 0.85$ . Hence

$$\frac{1}{2}|x+y| = \left|x + \frac{1}{2}(y-x)\right| \ge |x| - \frac{1}{2}|x-y| > 0.7.$$

Then the ring domain

$$A = \{ z \in \mathbf{R}^n : \frac{1}{2}|x - y| < |z - \frac{1}{2}(x + y)| < \frac{1}{2} \}$$

separates the origin and infinity from x and y, so fA separates 0 and  $\infty$  from f(x) and f(y). By performing a spherical symmetrization we obtain by [9] (Lemma 2.6 in [4])

$$\operatorname{cap} fA \ge \tau_n \left( \frac{|f(y)|}{|f(x) - f(y)|} \right).$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\operatorname{cap} fA \leq K \operatorname{cap} A = K \omega_{n-1} \left( \log(1/|x-y|) \right)^{1-n}.$$

The functional identity (2.1) gives

$$2^{n-1}K\omega_{n-1}\left(\log(1/|x-y|)\right)^{1-n} \ge \gamma_n\left(\sqrt{\frac{|f(x)-f(y)|+|f(y)|}{|f(x)-f(y)|}}\right).$$

Then we use |f(x)|,  $|f(y)| \leq 1$ , the fact that  $\gamma_n$  is decreasing and (2.2) to infer that the right side is larger than

$$\omega_{n-1}\left(\log\left(\lambda_n\sqrt{\frac{3}{|f(x)-f(y)|}}\right)\right)^{1-n}$$

Hence

$$\log \frac{1}{|x-y|} \le 2\beta \log \left(\lambda_n \sqrt{\frac{3}{|f(x) - f(y)|}}\right)$$

and finally

(2.18) 
$$\left|f(x) - f(y)\right| \le 3\lambda_n^2 |x - y|^{\alpha}.$$

Since  $4 \leq \lambda_n$ , the inequality (2.18) holds in both cases (cf. (2.17)). Hence part (3) of (1.5) is proved with  $M_1(n, K) \leq 3\lambda_n^2$ .

Proof of part (1) and (2). Fix s > 1. Corollary 2.10 implies that f maps the ball  $B^n(s)$  into  $B^n(c)$  where  $c = \gamma_n^{-1}(\gamma_n(s)/K)$ . We define g(z) = f(sz)/c and note that g maps  $B^n(1/s)$  into  $B^n(1/c)$ . We put

$$a = \frac{1}{2}\varrho\left(\frac{x}{s}, \frac{y}{s}\right).$$

Mori's theorem for n-dimensional guasiconformal mappings

By (2.6) and |x/s|,  $|y/s| \leq 1/s$  we have

(2.19) 
$$\tanh a \le \frac{|x/s - y/s|}{\sqrt{(1 - |x/s|^2)(1 - |y/s|^2)}} \le \frac{s|x - y|}{s^2 - 1}.$$

Application of Theorem 3.4 in [5] to the mapping g gives

(2.20) 
$$|f(x) - f(y)| = c |g(x/s) - g(y/s)| \le c \frac{2\varphi_{K,n}(\tanh a)}{1 + \sqrt{1 - \varphi_{K,n}^2(\tanh a)}}.$$

From (2.3) and (2.5) we use

$$(2.21) c \le \lambda_n^{\beta-1} s^{\beta},$$

from (2.4) and (2.19)

(2.22) 
$$\varphi_{K,n}(\tanh a) \le \min\left\{1, \lambda_n^{1-\alpha} \left(\frac{s}{s^2 - 1}\right)^{\alpha} |x - y|^{\alpha}\right\}$$

and hence we get

$$(2.23) |f(x) - f(y)| \le \lambda_n^{\beta - 1} s^{\beta} \frac{2\lambda_n^{1 - \alpha} (s/(s^2 - 1))^{\alpha} |x - y|^{\alpha}}{1 + \sqrt{1 - \min\{1, \lambda_n^{2 - 2\alpha} (s/(s^2 - 1))^{2\alpha} |x - y|^{2\alpha}\}}}.$$

This inequality holds for all s > 1. We choose s (which depends on K) such that  $s^{\beta+\alpha}/(s^2-1)^{\alpha}$  becomes minimal. This amounts to putting

$$s = \sqrt{\frac{\beta^2 + 1}{\beta^2 - 1}}.$$

A straightforward computation shows that we have proved that

(2.24) 
$$M_1(n,K) \le \theta(n,K) \lambda_n^{\beta-\alpha} \frac{(\beta^2+1)^{\beta/2+\alpha/2}}{2^{\alpha} (\beta^2-1)^{\beta/2-\alpha/2}}$$

where

(2.25) 
$$\theta(n,K) = \frac{2}{1 + \sqrt{1 - \min\{1, \lambda_n^{2-2\alpha}(\beta^4 - 1)^{\alpha}\}}}$$

For  $K \to 1$ ,  $\lambda_n^{1-\alpha}$  tends to one, uniformly in n, as well as  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  do. Hence  $\theta(n,K) \to 1$  uniformly in n and so does  $M_1(n,K)$ , since

$$(\beta^2 - 1)^{\beta/2 - \alpha/2} = (\beta + 1)^{\beta/2 - \alpha/2} \beta^{1/2 - \alpha/2} \sqrt{(\beta - 1)^{\beta - 1} (1 - \alpha)^{1 - \alpha}}.$$

Part (1) is proved and part (2) is now evident, since the following bounds do not depend on n:

$$\theta(n,K) \le 2, \qquad \lambda_n^{\beta-\alpha} \le (2^{1-1/K}K)^{K+1}, \\ 2^{-\alpha}(\beta^2+1)^{\beta/2+\alpha/2} \le (K^2+1)^{K/2+1/2}, \qquad (\beta^2-1)^{\alpha/2-\beta/2} \le \exp(-1/e).$$
  
The proof is complete

The proof is complete.

Finally we derive a

**2.26. Corollary.** Let  $f: \overline{\mathbb{R}}^n \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}^n$  be K-quasiconformal with f(0) = 0,  $f(e_1) = e_1$  and  $f(\infty) = \infty$ . Then

(2.27) 
$$|f(x) - f(y)| \le M_2(n, K)|x - y|^{\alpha}$$

for all  $x, y \in B^n$  where the constant  $M_2(n, K)$  has the properties

- (1)  $M_2(n, K) \le H_n(K)M_1(n, K);$
- (2)  $M_2(n, K) \to 1$  as  $K \to 1$ , uniformly in n;
- (3)  $M_2(n, K)$  remains bounded for fixed K and varying n.

**Proof.** Since such a mapping f maps  $B^n$  into  $B^n(0, H_n(K))$ , the former result applied to  $f(z)/H_n(K)$  yields (2.27) and (1). From (1), the properties of  $M_1(n, K)$ , (2.12) and (2.13) we get (2) as well as (3).

**Remark.** For any constant  $M_2(n, K)$  satisfying (2.27) clearly  $M_2(n, K) \to \infty$  for fixed n and  $K \to \infty$  as the example  $f_0$  shows where  $f_0$  is the identity in the right half space and an affine stretching in the left half space. A quantitative better lower bound is obtained by observing that (2.27) with x = 0, |y| = 1 implies that

$$M_2(n,K) \ge H_n(K) \ge \lambda(\beta)$$

where the last inequality is (1.14) in [4]. Here  $\lambda(K)$  is a well-known transcendental function (cf.[16], p. 81). In fact

$$\lambda(K) = \left(\frac{\varphi_{K,2}(1/\sqrt{2}\,)}{\varphi_{1/K,2}(1/\sqrt{2}\,)}\right)^2$$

and hence  $\lambda(K) \to 1$  as  $K \to 1$  and  $\lambda(K) \to \infty$  as  $K \to \infty$ . For the constant  $M_1(n, K)$  we have the lower bound  $4^{1-\alpha}$ . This follows by rotation of the extremal plane quasiconformal mapping between the extremal Grötzsch ring domains (as it is done in the proof of Theorem 4.9 in [4]) and the fact that  $\lim_{r\to 0} r^{-\alpha} \varphi_{1/\alpha,2}(r) = 4^{1-\alpha}$  (see p. 65 in [16]). In [16], p. 68, it is also shown that  $M_1(2, K) \geq 16^{1-1/K}$ .

We collect our results:

**2.28. Theorem.** The constant  $M_1(n, K)$  satisfies  $M_1(n, K) \leq 3\lambda_n^2$  and

$$M_1(n,K) \le \theta(n,K) \lambda_n^{\beta-\alpha} \frac{(\beta^2+1)^{\beta/2+\alpha/2}}{2^{\alpha}(\beta^2-1)^{\beta/2-\alpha/2}}$$

where  $\theta(n, K)$  is given by (2.25), in particular,  $\theta(n, K) \in [1, 2]$  and  $\theta(n, K) \to 1$  for  $K \to 1$ .

**Remark.** It is not known if there exists an upper bound for  $M_1(n, K)$  which is independent of n and K.

We close this section by considering the special case n = 2 and improving Theorem 2.28 slightly.

**2.29. Theorem.** The constant  $M_1(2, K)$  satisfies  $M_1(2, K) \leq 16$  and

$$M_1(2,K) \le \left(1 + \varphi_{K,2}\left(\frac{K^2 - 1}{K^2 + 1}\right)\right) 2^{2K - 3/K} \frac{(K^2 + 1)^{(K+1/K)/2}}{(K^2 - 1)^{(K-1/K)/2}}.$$

**Proof.**  $M_1(2, K) \leq 16$  is the original content of Theorem 1.1, and from its proof in [16], p. 67, it is clear that this constant also holds for our definition (2.15) of the constant  $M_1(2, K)$ . For the second part we use the same notation as in the preceding proof and recall (2.20) for n = 2:

(2.30) 
$$|f(x) - f(y)| \le c \frac{2\varphi_{K,2}(\tanh a)}{1 + \sqrt{1 - \varphi_{K,2}^2(\tanh a)}}.$$

For two points  $u, v \in B^n(r)$  with  $0 \le r \le 1$  we have

(2.31) 
$$\tanh \frac{1}{2}\varrho(u,v) \le \frac{2r}{1+r^2},$$

because  $\rho(u, v)$  is maximized in  $\overline{B}^n(r)$  for opposite points on  $S^{n-1}(r)$  (where its value is  $2\log((1+r)/(1-r))$  and  $\tanh \log[(1+r)/(1-r)] = 2r/(1+r^2)$ . Next we use the functional identity

(2.32) 
$$\frac{2}{1 + \sqrt{1 - \varphi_{K,2}^2 (2r/(1+r^2))}} = 1 + \varphi_{K,2}(r^2).$$

This can be derived from the identities

(2.33) 
$$\varphi_{K,2}(r) = \sqrt{1 - \varphi_{1/K,2}^2(\sqrt{1 - r^2})},$$

(2.34) 
$$\varphi_{K,2}(r) = \frac{1 - \varphi_{1/K,2}((1-r)/(1+r))}{1 + \varphi_{1/K,2}((1-r)/(1+r))}$$

which follow from [16], (2.7) and (2.9) on p. 61, by applying the function  $\mu(r) = 2\pi/\gamma_2(1/r)$  to (2.33) and (2.34) and recalling that  $\varphi_{K,2}(r) = \mu^{-1}(\mu(r)/K)$ . Since |x/s|,  $|y/s| \leq 1/s$  we have by (2.31) with r = 1/s

$$\varphi_{K,2}(\tanh a) \leq \varphi_{K,2}\left(\frac{2/s}{1+1/s^2}\right)$$

and with (2.30) and (2.32)

$$\left|f(x) - f(y)\right| \le c \left(1 + \varphi_{K,2}(1/s^2)\right) \varphi_{K,2}(\tanh a),$$

finally (2.4), (2.19) and  $c \leq 4^{K-1}s^K$  give

$$\left|f(x) - f(y)\right| \le 4^{K-1} s^K \left(1 + \varphi_{K,2}(1/s^2)\right) 4^{1-1/K} \left(\frac{s}{s^2 - 1}\right)^{1/K} |x - y|^{1/K}$$

and, as before, the choice  $s = \sqrt{(K^2 + 1)/(K^2 - 1)}$  yields the desired bound.

# 3. Mappings keeping an axis pointwise fixed

In this section we study the distortion of K-quasiconformal mappings  $f: \overline{\mathbb{R}}^n \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}^n$  with the property

$$(3.1) f(te_1) = te_1 for all t \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.6. Let f be a K-quasiconformal mapping of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  satisfying (3.1). In order to study the quantity |f(x)|/|x| we may evidently assume that |x| = 1 and that f(x) is in the right half space (first co-ordinate non-negative). Then we fix s > 0 and consider the ring R' whose complement consists of  $[-se_1, 0]$  and  $\{f(x) + t(f(x) + e_1): t \ge 0\}$ . We put  $a = |f(x) + se_1|$ , and hence  $a^2 \ge |f(x)|^2 + s^2$ . By Lemma 2.58 in [23], which is due to Gehring (Lemma 2.7 in [4]), we have

(3.2) 
$$\operatorname{cap} R' \leq \tau_n \left(\frac{a}{s} - 1\right).$$

On the other hand, we put  $R = f^{-1}(R')$  and conclude by [9] (Lemma 2.6 in [4])

(3.3) 
$$\operatorname{cap} R \ge \tau_n \left(\frac{1}{s}\right).$$

(3.2), (3.3) and  $\operatorname{cap} R \leq K \operatorname{cap} R'$  then yield

(3.4) 
$$1 + \tau_n^{-1} \left( \tau_n \left( \frac{1}{s} \right) / K \right) \ge \frac{a}{s} \ge \sqrt{1 + |f(x)|^2 / s^2}.$$

From the functional identity (2.1) and the definition (2.3) we infer that the left side in (3.4) is equal to

$$c := 1/\varphi_{1/K,n}^2 (1/\sqrt{1+1/s}).$$

Hence

$$(3.5)  $|f(x)| < s\sqrt{c^2-1}.$$$

If we choose s = 1, then this proof reduces to the one given in [4], and (3.5) reduces to the bound given there. To get a bound that gives the right behavior for  $K \to 1$  we use from (3.5)

$$\left|f(x)\right| \leq sc$$

and then (2.5) to get

$$\left|f(x)\right| \le \lambda_n^{2\beta-2} s\left(\frac{s+1}{s}\right)^{\beta}.$$

This holds for any s > 0. The best choice is  $s = \beta - 1$  which yields

$$|f(x)| \le \lambda_n^{2\beta-2} \frac{\beta^{\beta}}{(\beta-1)^{\beta-1}}$$

and Theorem 1.6 is proved.

In the special case n = 2 the set of values which can be taken by K-quasiconformal mappings satisfying (3.1) is known for any subset of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Namely, f then maps the upper half plane onto itself keeping the boundary points fixed, so Teichmüller's Verschiebungssatz [21] then provides the answer. This result easily shows (see [15]) that the set of values f(x) of such mappings f at a given point x is a hyperbolic disk with center x and radius

$$\varrho(K) = 2 \arctan \mu^{-1} \left( \log \left( \left( \sqrt{K} + 1 \right) / \left( \sqrt{K} - 1 \right) \right) \right)$$

where  $\mu(r) = 2\pi/\gamma_2(1/r)$  as above. Hence the possible set of values attained on  $S^1$  is the set of all points x in the upper half plane with hyperbolic distance to  $S^1$  less or equal  $\varrho(K)$  as well as its mirror image in the lower half plane and the points 1 and -1. The euclidean distance of x and f(x) is hence maximal for x = i and  $f(x) = i \exp \varrho(K)$ . Therefore (1.7) holds with the sharp constant  $\exp \varrho(K) = (1 + \mu^{-1}(t)) / (1 - \mu^{-1}(t))$  instead of  $\lambda_n^{2\beta-2}\beta^{\beta}/(\beta-1)^{\beta-1}$  where  $t = \log((\sqrt{K}+1)/(\sqrt{K}-1))$ .

#### 4. Conformal mappings of the unit disk onto quasidisks

A plane domain D is called a K-quasidisk if there exists a K-quasiconformal mapping  $g: \overline{\mathbf{R}}^2 \to \overline{\mathbf{R}}^2$  with  $gD = B^2$ . We first prove

**4.1. Lemma.** Let D be a K-quasidisk with  $0 \in D$  and  $\max\{|z|: z \in \partial D\}$ = 1. If  $r = \min\{|z|: z \in \partial D\}$  then there is a number  $K_1 = K_1(r, K)$  such that there is a  $K_1$ -quasiconformal mapping  $g_1: \overline{\mathbf{R}}^2 \to \overline{\mathbf{R}}^2$  with  $g_1(0) = 0$ ,  $g_1(\infty) = \infty$ and  $g_1D = B^2$  where  $K_1 \to 1$  as  $K \to 1$  and  $r \to 1$ . **Remark.** To achieve  $K_1 \rightarrow 1$  it is necessary to let r tend to one, too.

Proof. Let g be the K-quasiconformal mapping in the definition of the bounded K-quasidisk D. By a Möbius transformation we may assume that  $g(\infty) = \infty$ . First we find an upper bound for |g(0)| (and may hence assume that  $g(0) \neq 0$ ).

Let R' be the ring with complementary components [g(0), g(0)/|g(0)|] and  $[-\infty, -g(0)/|g(0)|]$ . Then

(4.2) 
$$\operatorname{cap} R' = \tau_2 \left( \frac{1 + |g(0)|}{1 - |g(0)|} \right).$$

Next we put  $R = g^{-1}(R')$  and conclude as in the preceding section by [9] or Lemma 2.6 in [4]

(4.3) 
$$\operatorname{cap} R \ge \tau_2 \left(\frac{1}{r}\right).$$

From  $\operatorname{cap} R \leq K \operatorname{cap} R'$ , and (4.2) and (4.3) we derive that

(4.4) 
$$\frac{1+|g(0)|}{1-|g(0)|} \le \tau_2^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{K} \tau_2\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)\right).$$

By Teichmüller's Verschiebungssatz there is a  $K^*$ -quasiconformal mapping  $g^*$ :  $B^2 \to B^2$  with  $g^*(z) = z$  for  $z \in \partial B^2$  and  $g^*(g(0)) = 0$  with

$$\varrho(K^*) = \log \frac{1 + |g(0)|}{1 - |g(0)|}$$

where  $\rho(K)$  is as in Section 3. Hence the explicit formula is

(4.5) 
$$K^* = \left(\frac{\exp \mu(|g(0)|) + 1}{\exp \mu(|g(0)|) - 1}\right)^2.$$

The desired mapping  $g_1$  is now defined by  $g_1(z) = g(z)$  for  $z \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^2 \setminus D$  and  $g_1(z) = g^*(g(z))$  for  $z \in \overline{D}$ . Its maximal dilatation  $K_1 \leq KK^*$  has the required property by (4.4) and (4.5).

**Remark.** Explicit estimates for  $K_1(r, K)$  can be derived from (4.4) and (4.5) and

$$\begin{split} \tau_2^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{K} \tau_2 \left( \frac{1}{r} \right) \right) &= \frac{1 - \varphi_{1/K,2}^2 \left( \sqrt{r/(r+1)} \right)}{\varphi_{1/K,2}^2 \left( \sqrt{r/(r+1)} \right)} = \frac{\varphi_{K,2}^2 \left( \sqrt{1/(r+1)} \right)}{\varphi_{1/K,2}^2 \left( \sqrt{r/(r+1)} \right)} \\ &\leq 4^{2(1-1/K)} \left( \frac{1}{r+1} \right)^{1/K} 4^{2(K-1)} \left( \frac{r+1}{r} \right)^K \\ &= 16^{K-1/K} \frac{(r+1)^{K-1/K}}{r^K} \end{split}$$

where (2.1), (2.3), (2.33) and finally (2.4) and (2.5) have been used.

**4.6. Theorem.** Let D be a bounded K-quasidisk, normalized such that  $0 \in D$  and  $1 = \max\{|z|: z \in \partial D\}$ . Let  $f: B^2 \to D$  be a conformal mapping with f(0) = 0. If  $r = \min\{|z|: z \in \partial D\}$ , then

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \le M_1(2, K_1^2) |x - y|^{1/K_1^2}$$

for all  $x, y \in B^2$  where  $K_1 = K_1(r, K)$  is the constant from Lemma 4.1, in particular, the constant  $M_1(2, K_1^2)$  tends to one for K and r tending to one.

**Proof.** Let  $g_1$  be as in Lemma 4.1. Then f has a  $K_1^2$ -quasiconformal extension to  $\overline{\mathbf{R}}^2$  which keeps  $\infty$  fixed, namely  $g_1^{-1} \circ i \circ g_1 \circ f \circ i$  where i denotes inversion. By Definition 2.15 the inequality follows, since this extension fixes 0 and  $\infty$  and sends  $B^2$  into itself.

Acknowledgement. The first author is supported by the Schweizerischer Nationalfonds zur Förderung der wissenschaftlichen Forschung.

#### References

- AGARD, S.: Distortion theorems for quasiconformal mappings. Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math. 413, 1968, 1-12.
- [2] AHLFORS, L.V.: Lectures on quasiconformal mappings. Van Nostrand Mathematical Studies 10. Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1966.
- [3] ANDERSON, G.D.: Dependence on dimension of a constant related to the Grötzsch ring.
   Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 61, 1976, 77-80.
- [4] ANDERSON, G.D., M.K. VAMANAMURTHY, and M. VUORINEN: Dimension-free quasiconformal distortion in n-space. - Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 297, 1986, 687-706.
- [5] ANDERSON, G.D., M.K. VAMANAMURTHY, and M. VUORINEN: Sharp distortion theorems for quasiconformal mappings. - Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 305, 1988, 95–111.
- [6] BEARDON, A.F.: The geometry of discrete groups. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 91. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1983.
- [7] CARAMAN, P.: On the equivalence of the definitions of the n-dimensional quasiconformal homeomorphisms (QCfH). - Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. 12, 1967, 889-943.
- [8] DOUADY, A., and C. EARLE: Conformally natural extension of homeomorphisms of the circle. - Acta Math. 157, 1986, 23-48.
- [9] GEHRING, F.W.: Symmetrization of rings in space. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 101, 1961, 499-519.
- [10] GEHRING, F.W.: Rings and quasiconformal mappings in space. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 103, 1962, 353-393.
- [11] GEHRING, F.W.: Quasiconformal mappings. In: Complex Analysis and its Applications II. Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna, 1976, 213-268.
- [12] GEHRING, F.W., and O. MARTIO: Lipschitz classes and quasiconformal mappings. -Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math. 10, 1985, 203–219.
- [13] IKOMA, K.: An estimate for the modulus of the Grötzsch ring in n-space. Bull. Yamagata Univ. Natur. Sci. 6, 1967, 395-400.
- [14] IKOMA, K.: A modification of Teichmüller's module theorem and its application to a distortion problem in n-space. - Tôhoku Math. J. 32, 1980, 393-398.
- [15] KRZYŻ, J.: On the extremal problem of F. W. Gehring. Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci., Ser. Math., Astr. et Phys. 16, 1968, 99–101.

- [16] LEHTO, O., and K.I. VIRTANEN: Quasiconformal mappings in the plane. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 126, 2nd edition. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1973.
- [17] MARTIO, O., S. RICKMAN, and J. VÄISÄLÄ: Distortion and singularities of quasiregular mappings. - Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math. 465, 1970, 1–13.
- [18] MORI, A.: On an absolute constant in the theory of quasiconformal mappings. J. Math. Soc. Japan 8, 1956, 156-166.
- QU, H.: An improvement of Mori's constant in the theory of quasiconformal mappings. J. Tongji Univ. 3, 1985, 75-85 (Chinese).
- [20] SHABAT, B.V.: On the theory of quasiconformal mappings in space. Soviet Math. Dokl. 1, 1960, 730-733.
- [21] TEICHMÜLLER, O.: Ein Verschiebungssatz der quasikonformen Abbildung. Deutsche Math. 7, 1944, 336-343.
- [22] VÄISÄLÄ, J.: Lectures on n-dimensional quasiconformal mappings. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 229. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1971.
- [23] VUORINEN, M.: Conformal invariants and quasiregular mappings. J. Analyse Math. 45, 1985, 69-115.
- [24] VUORINEN, M.: On the distortion of n-dimensional quasiconformal mappings. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 96, 1986, 275-283.
- [25] VUORINEN, M.: Quadruples and spatial quasiconformal mappings. In preparation.
- [26] WANG, C.-F.: On the precision of Mori's theorem in Q-mapping. Science Record 4, 1960, 329-333.

Nokia Research Center P.O. Box 780 SF–00101 Helsinki Finland University of Helsinki Department of Mathematics Hallituskatu 15 SF-00100 Helsinki Finland

Received 26 August 1987