MEAN VALUES AND THERMIC MAJORIZATION OF SUBTEMPERATURES

N.A. Watson

1. Introduction

A classical result of F. Riesz states that the mean values of subharmonic functions over concentric spheres of radius r, form convex functions of $\log r$ or r^{2-n} , depending on the dimension of the space [2, p. 24]. The corresponding result for subtemperatures, in which the mean values are taken over level surfaces of the Green function, was presented in [6], along with some of its consequences. In the present paper, we give a different, more elementary proof of the theorem for subtemperatures, as well as two new results on thermic majorization, one of which gives a criterion in terms of the mean values and depends upon consequences of the convexity theorem.

The principal result on thermic majorization, Theorem 3, is analogous to a well-known, elementary result on the harmonic majorization of subharmonic functions: a subharmonic function on \mathbb{R}^n has a harmonic majorant there if and only if its mean values over all spheres centred at the origin form a bounded function. Again the mean values of a subtemperature over level surfaces of the Green function are used, and because of their geometry the whole space is replaced by a half-space $\mathbb{R}^n \times] - \infty, a[$. The result requires the boundedness of means associated with a sequence of points rather than just one, and there are many technical difficulties which do not arise in the subharmonic case. For example, we have to prove that a subtemperature which has a thermic majorant on the sets $\mathbb{R}^n \times] - \infty, a_j[$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, must also possess one on the union of those half-spaces. This result has an illuminating generalization to arbitrary open sets, which is given in Theorem 2.

We work in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , and denote a typical point by p or (x,t), as convenient. A particular point p_0 is assumed without comment to be (x_0, t_0) . A temperature is a solution of the heat equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^n D_i^2 u - D_t u = 0.$$

¹⁹⁸⁰ Mathematics subject classification 35K05, 31C05.

We use θ to denote the heat operator, and θ^* is adjoint (obtained from θ by changing the sign of D_t).

For all $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$, we put $W(x,t) = (4\pi t)^{-n/2} \exp(-||x||^2/4t)$ if t > 0, and W(x,t) = 0 if $t \leq 0$. Then the Green function G for θ on \mathbf{R}^{n+1} is given by G(p,q) = W(x-y,t-s), where p = (x,t) and q = (y,s).

If $p_0 \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ and c > 0, the fundamental domain $\Omega(p_0, c)$ is defined as $\{p \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} : G(p_0, p) > (4\pi c)^{-n/2}\}$; it is convex and bounded. Its boundary is a smooth surface with equation $||x_0 - x|| = [2n(t_0 - t)\log(c/(t_0 - t))]^{1/2}$, together with $\{p_0\}$. If $(x,t) \in \mathbf{R}^n \times]0, \infty[$, we put

$$Q(x,t) = ||x||^{2} \left[4 ||x||^{2} t^{2} + \left(||x||^{2} - 2nt \right)^{2} \right]^{-1/2};$$

we also put Q(0,0) = 1. For each fixed c > 0, the restriction to $\partial \Omega(p_0,c)$ of the function $(x,t) \mapsto Q(x_0 - x, t_0 - t)$ is continuous, and is positive except for a zero at $(x_0, t_0 - c)$. If w is a function on $\partial \Omega(p_0, c)$, we put

$$\mathcal{M}(w,p_0,c) = (4\pi c)^{-n/2} \int_{\partial\Omega(p_0,c)} Q(x_0-x,t_0-t)w(x,t)\,d\sigma(x,t),$$

where σ denotes surface measure, provided that the integral exists. If u is a temperature on an open set D, and $\overline{\Omega}(p_0,c) \subseteq D$, then $u(p_0) = \mathcal{M}(u,p_0,c)$. In particular, $\mathcal{M}(1,p_0,c) = 1$ for any p_0 and c.

If D is an open set and $p_0 \in D$, we denote by $\Lambda(p_0)$ the set of all points $q \in D \setminus \{p_0\}$ which can be joined to p_0 by a polygonal line in D along which t is strictly increasing as the line is described from q to p_0 . A function w on D is called a subtemperature if it is upper semicontinuous, extended real valued but never $+\infty$, real valued on a sequence $\{p_i\}$ such that $D = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \Lambda(p_i)$, and satisfies $w(p_0) \leq \mathcal{M}(w, p_0, c)$ whenever $\overline{\Omega}(p_0, c) \subseteq D$. If w is a subtemperature on D, a thermic majorant of w on D is a temperature u such that $w \leq u$ on D. If w has a thermic majorant on D, then it has a least one. The basic properties of subtemperatures are given in [4] and [5], and the equivalent class of subparabolic functions is discussed in [2].

2. Convexity of mean values of subtemperatures

In this section we present a more elementary proof of [6, Theorem 2] than was given in [6]. We consider subtemperatures on a domain of the form $A(p_0, c_1, c_2) = \Omega(p_0, c_2) \setminus \overline{\Omega}(p_0, c_1)$, where $p_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $0 < c_1 < c_2$. Such a domain corresponds to an annulus in the subharmonic case. We show that, if w is a subtemperature on an open superset of $\overline{A}(p_0, c_1, c_2)$ then $\mathcal{M}(w, p_0, c)$ is a convex function of $c^{-n/2}$ for $c \in [c_1, c_2]$. Our method is based on an idea due to Dinghas [1] in the subharmonic case. By a smooth function, we mean one for which the partial derivatives that occur in θ exist as continuous functions. For a smooth function u on a domain in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} , we put $\nabla_x u = (D_1 u, \dots D_n u)$ and $\|\nabla_x u\| = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (D_i u)^2\right)^{1/2}$. We use $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ to denote the inner product in \mathbf{R}^n .

It is convenient to first establish some notation and list some elementary formulas. In addition to the functions W and Q given above, for all $(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times]0, \infty[$ we put

$$L(x,t) = \left[4 \|x\|^{2} t^{2} + \left(\|x\|^{2} - 2nt\right)^{2}\right]^{-1/2}$$

and

$$J(x,t) = 2nt \exp(-\|x\|^2/2nt)L(x,t);$$

note that $Q(x,t) = ||x||^2 L(x,t)$. If $F \in \{W,Q,L,J\}$ and $(x_0,t_0) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, we put $F_0(x,t) = F(x_0 - x, t_0 - t)$ for all $(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times] - \infty, t_0[$. On $\partial \Omega(p_0,c)$, where

$$(t_0 - t) \exp(||x_0 - x||^2 / 2n(t_0 - t)) = c,$$

the outward unit normal (ν_x, ν_t) is given by

$$\nu_x = -2(t_0 - t)(x_0 - x)L_0(x, t), \qquad \nu_t = \left(\|x_0 - x\|^2 - 2n(t_0 - t)\right)L_0(x, t).$$

It is useful to have (ν_x, ν_t) in terms of J_0 . Since

(1)
$$cJ_0(x,t) = 2n(t_0-t)^2 L_0(x,t)$$

whenever $(x,t) \in \partial \Omega(p_0,c)$, we have

(2)
$$\nu_x = -\frac{c(x_0 - x)}{n(t_0 - t)} J_0(x, t),$$

(3)
$$\nu_t = \frac{c\left(\|x_0 - x\|^2 - 2n(t_0 - t)\right)}{2n(t_0 - t)^2} J_0(x, t)$$

for such points (x,t). Next,

(4)
$$\nabla_{x} W_{0}(x,t) = \frac{x_{0} - x}{2(t_{0} - t)} W_{0}(x,t),$$

(5)
$$D_t W_0(x,t) = \frac{2n(t_0-t) - \|x_0-x\|^2}{4(t_0-t)^2} W_0(x,t),$$

and if $g = W_0^{-2/n}$ we have

(6)
$$\theta^* g = \frac{2}{n} \left(\frac{2}{n} + 1\right) \frac{\|\nabla_x W_0\|^2}{W_0^2} g$$

since $\theta^* W_0 = 0$. Finally, on $\partial \Omega(p_0, c)$ we have

(7)
$$-\langle \nabla_x W_0, \nu_x \rangle = \|x_0 - x\|^2 L_0(x, t) W_0(x, t) = (4\pi c)^{-n/2} Q_0(x, t)$$

We need certain Green identities. If v and w are smooth functions, it is elementary that

$$v\theta w = \sum_{i=1}^{n} D_i(vD_iw) - \langle \nabla_x v, \nabla_x w \rangle - D_t(vw) + wD_tv$$

and

$$w\theta^*v = \sum_{i=1}^n D_i(wD_iv) - \langle \nabla_x w, \nabla_x v \rangle + wD_tv.$$

Therefore, if A is any domain for which the divergence theorem is applicable,

(8)
$$\iint_{A} \left(v \theta w + \langle \nabla_{x} v, \nabla_{x} w \rangle - w D_{t} v \right) dx dt = \int_{\partial A} \left(\langle v \nabla_{x} w, \nu_{x} \rangle - v w \nu_{t} \right) d\sigma$$

 and

(9)
$$\iint_{A} \left(w\theta^* v + \langle \nabla_x w, \nabla_x v \rangle - wD_t v \right) dx \, dt = \int_{\partial A} \langle w \nabla_x v, \nu_x \rangle \, d\sigma$$

Lemma 1. Let $p_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, let $0 < c_1 < c_2$, let u be a smooth function on an open superset of $\overline{A}(p_0, c_1, c_2)$, and put $\Omega(c) = \Omega(p_0, c)$ and $\mathcal{M}(c) = \mathcal{M}(u, p_0, c)$ for all $c \in [c_1, c_2]$. Then, if $\kappa_n = 2^{n+1} \pi^{n/2} n^{-1}$ and $c \in]c_1, c_2]$, we have

(10)
$$\kappa_n c^{(n/2)+1} \mathcal{M}_c(c) = \int_{\partial \Omega(c)} \left(\langle \nabla_x u, \nu_x \rangle - u \nu_t \right) d\sigma$$

and

(11)
$$\kappa_n \left(c^{(n/2)+1} \mathcal{M}_c(c) \right)_c = \int_{\partial \Omega(c)} J_0 \theta u \, d\sigma.$$

Proof. Let $c \in]c_1, c_2[$, and put $A = A(p_0, c_1, c)$. We want to use (9) with this choice of A and w smooth, but with $v = W_0^{-2/n}/4\pi$, so that the smoothness of

v breaks down at p_0 . To prove that this is permissible, we use an approximation argument. Let $t \in]t_0 - c_1, t_0[$, and put

$$F_1(t) = \partial \Omega(p_0, c_1) \cap (\mathbf{R}^n \times [t_0 - c_1, t]),$$

$$F_2(t) = \partial \Omega(p_0, c) \cap (\mathbf{R}^n \times [t_0 - c, t]),$$

$$V(t) = A(p_0, c_1, c) \cap (\mathbf{R}^n \times [t_0 - c, t]).$$

Applying (9) on V(t) with $v = W_0^{-2/n}/4\pi$, and using (6) and (7), we obtain

$$(12) \iint_{V(t)} \left(\frac{2}{n} \left(\frac{2}{n} + 1\right) \frac{\|\nabla_x W_0\|^2}{W_0^2} vw - \frac{2v}{nW_0} \langle \nabla_x w, \nabla_x W_0 \rangle + \frac{2vw}{nW_0} D_t W_0 \right) dx dt$$
$$= \int_{\partial V(t)} \frac{-2wv}{nW_0} \langle \nabla_x W_0, \nu_x \rangle d\sigma = \frac{2}{n} \left(c \int_{F_2(t)} -c_1 \int_{F_1(t)} \right) wQ_0 d\sigma.$$

Since wQ_0 is bounded on $\partial A(p_0, c_1, c)$, as $t \to t_0 -$ the last expression tends to

(13)
$$\frac{2}{n} \Big(c \int_{\partial \Omega(c)} -c_1 \int_{\partial \Omega(c_1)} \Big) w Q_0 \, d\sigma.$$

For the integral over V(t) in (12), the integrand is

$$\frac{2}{n} \left(\frac{2}{n}+1\right) \frac{\left\|x_{0}-x\right\|^{2}}{4(t_{0}-t)^{2}} vw - \frac{v}{n(t_{0}-t)} \langle \nabla_{x}w, x_{0}-x \rangle + \frac{vw \left(2n(t_{0}-t)-\left\|x_{0}-x\right\|^{2}\right)}{2n(t_{0}-t)^{2}} vw - \frac{v}{n(t_{0}-t)} \langle \nabla_{x}w, x_{0}-x \rangle + \frac{vw \left(2n(t_{0}-t)-\left\|x_{0}-x\right\|^{2}\right)}{2n(t_{0}-t)^{2}} vw - \frac{v}{n(t_{0}-t)} \langle \nabla_{x}w, x_{0}-x \rangle + \frac{vw \left(2n(t_{0}-t)-\left\|x_{0}-x\right\|^{2}\right)}{2n(t_{0}-t)^{2}} vw - \frac{v}{n(t_{0}-t)} vw - \frac{v}{n(t_{0}-$$

by (4) and (5). Since v, w and $\|\nabla_x w\|$ are bounded, this expression is dominated by a multiple of

(14)
$$\frac{\|x_0 - x\|^2}{(t_0 - t)^2} + \frac{2n}{(t_0 - t)},$$

which is obviously integrable on $V(t_0 - c_1 e^{-1})$. Furthermore, in $A(p_0, c_1, c)$,

$$||x_0 - x||^2 \ge 2n(t_0 - t)\log(c_1/(t_0 - t)),$$

so that on $A(p_0, c_1, c) \setminus V(t_0 - c_1 e^{-1})$ we have

$$\frac{\|x_0 - x\|^2}{(t_0 - t)^2} \ge \frac{2n}{(t_0 - t)},$$

and therefore the expression (14) is dominated by $||x_0 - x||^2 (t_0 - t)^{-2}$, which is integrable by [4, Lemma 4]. It follows that we can make $t \to t_0 - \text{ in (12)}$; in view of (13), we thus obtain (9) with $A = A(p_0, c_1, c)$ and $v = W_0^{-2/n}/4\pi$. Next,

$$\iint_A f \, dx \, dt = \int_{c_1}^c d\gamma \int_{\partial \Omega(\gamma)} f J_0 \, d\sigma$$

N.A. Watson

for any function f such that either side exists [4, p. 388]. It therefore follows from (9) that

$$\left(\int_{\partial\Omega(c)} -\int_{\partial\Omega(c_1)}\right) w \langle \nabla_x v, \nu_x \rangle \, d\sigma = \int_{c_1}^c d\gamma \int_{\partial\Omega(\gamma)} \left(w\theta^* v + \langle \nabla_x w, \nabla_x v \rangle - wD_t v\right) J_0 \, d\sigma,$$

so that

(15)
$$\left(\int_{\partial\Omega(c)} w\langle \nabla_x v, \nu_x \rangle \, d\sigma\right)_c = \int_{\partial\Omega(c)} \left(w\theta^* v + \langle \nabla_x w, \nabla_x v \rangle - wD_t v\right) J_0 \, d\sigma.$$

In (15), we take w = u and $v = W_0^{-2/n}/4\pi$. Then, by (7),

$$\int_{\partial\Omega(c)} w \langle \nabla_x v, \nu_x \rangle \, d\sigma = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\partial\Omega(c)} u \left(-\frac{2}{n} \right) W_0^{-(2/n)-1} \langle \nabla_x W_0, \nu_x \rangle \, d\sigma$$
$$= \kappa_n c^{(n/2)+1} \mathcal{M}(c),$$

so that the left side of (15) is

$$\kappa_n\left(c^{(n/2)+1}\mathcal{M}(c)\right)_c.$$

Next, by (6), (4), and (1),

$$\int_{\partial\Omega(c)} w(\theta^* v) J_0 \, d\sigma = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\partial\Omega(c)} u \frac{2}{n} \left(\frac{2}{n} + 1\right) \frac{\|\nabla_x W_0\|^2}{W_0^2} W_0^{-2/n} J_0 \, d\sigma$$
$$= \left(\frac{2}{n} + 1\right) \int_{\partial\Omega(c)} \left(\frac{c \|x_0 - x\|^2 J_0}{2n(t_0 - t)^2}\right) u \, d\sigma$$
$$= \left(\frac{2}{n} + 1\right) \int_{\partial\Omega(c)} Q_0 u \, d\sigma = \kappa_n \left(1 + \frac{n}{2}\right) c^{n/2} \mathcal{M}(c)$$

By (2), (3), (4) and (5), the remainder of the right side of (15) is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\partial\Omega(c)} \left(-\frac{2}{n} W_0^{-(2/n)-1} \langle \nabla_x u, \nabla_x W_0 \rangle + \frac{2}{n} W_0^{-(2/n)-1} u D_t W_0 \right) J_0 \, d\sigma \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega(c)} \left(\langle \nabla_x u, \nu_x \rangle - u \nu_t \right) d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (15) yields

$$\kappa_n \Big(c^{(n/2)+1} \mathcal{M}(c) \Big)_c = \kappa_n \Big(1 + \frac{n}{2} \Big) c^{n/2} \mathcal{M}(c) + \int_{\partial \Omega(c)} \left(\langle \nabla_x u, \nu_x \rangle - u \nu_t \right) d\sigma,$$

which implies the identity (10).

Taking $A = A(p_0, c_1, c)$ in (8), and using an argument similar to the one that gave us (15) from (9), we obtain

(16)
$$\left(\int_{\partial\Omega(c)} \left(\langle v\nabla_x w, \nu_x \rangle - vw\nu_t \right) d\sigma \right)_c = \int_{\partial\Omega(c)} \left(v\theta w + \langle \nabla_x v, \nabla_x w \rangle - wD_t v \right) J_0 d\sigma.$$

In (16), we take v = 1 and w = u. Then the left side becomes

$$\left(\int_{\partial\Omega(c)} \left(\langle \nabla_x u, \nu_x \rangle - u\nu_t \right) d\sigma\right)_c = \kappa_n \left(c^{(n/2)+1} \mathcal{M}_c(c)\right)_c$$

in view of (10), and the right side becomes

$$\int_{\partial\Omega(c)}J_0\theta u\,d\sigma;$$

thus (11) is established.

Lemma 1 provides the following elementary proof of [4, Theorem 12].

Corollary. Let w be a subtemperature on an open set D, and let $p_0 \in D$. Then $\mathcal{M}(w, p_0, \cdot)$ is increasing on the set of c such that $\overline{\Omega}(p_0, c) \subseteq D$.

Proof. If w is smooth on D, we can take $A = \Omega(p_0, c)$ and v = 1 in (8), to obtain

$$\iint_{\Omega(c)} \theta w \, dx \, dt = \int_{\partial \Omega(c)} \left(\langle \nabla_x w, \nu_x \rangle - w \nu_t \right) d\sigma.$$

Therefore, taking u = w in (10), we obtain

$$\mathcal{M}_c(c) = \kappa_n^{-1} c^{-(n/2)-1} \iint_{\Omega(c)} \theta w \, dx \, dt.$$

Since $\theta w \geq 0$, this formula immediately implies that \mathcal{M} is increasing. (A similar argument was given by Pini [3] for the case n = 1.) If w is an arbitrary subtemperature, we can take a decreasing sequence $\{w_j\}$ of smooth subtemperatures, with limit w on a neighbourhood of $\overline{\Omega}(p_0, c)$ [2, p. 281]. Then $\mathcal{M}(w_j, p_0, \cdot)$ is increasing for every j, so that the same is true of its limit, which is $\mathcal{M}(w, p_0, \cdot)$ by the monotone convergence theorem.

We can now give a proof of [6, Theorem 2] that does not rely upon knowledge of the Dirichlet problem for $A(p_0, c_1, c_2)$.

Theorem 1. Let w be a subtemperature on an open superset of $\overline{A}(p_0, c_1, c_2)$. Then there is a real-valued, convex function ϕ such that $\mathcal{M}(w, p_0, c) = \phi(c^{-n/2})$ for all $c \in [c_1, c_2]$. N.A. Watson

Proof. We require the fact that $\mathcal{M}(w, p_0, \cdot)$ is real-valued, which was proved in [6]. That proof requires the special case of [6, Theorem 1] in which u is a temperature on an open superset of $\overline{A}(p_0, c_1, c_2)$, which was proved by elementary techniques (and could alternatively be deduced from (11)). It also requires the result given as an example in [6], which depends only upon the aforementioned special case of [6, Theorem 1] and the fact that $\mathcal{M}(w, p_0, \cdot)$ is increasing (which we have just given an elementary proof of). Suppose that w is smooth. Then $\theta w \geq 0$, so that

(17)
$$\left(c^{(n/2)+1}\mathcal{M}_c(c)\right)_c \ge 0$$

by (11). Suppose also that w > 0, so that $\mathcal{M} > 0$. Then we can rearrange (17) to obtain

(18)
$$\frac{\mathcal{M}_{cc}}{\mathcal{M}} + \left(\frac{n}{2c} + \frac{1}{c}\right)\frac{\mathcal{M}_{c}}{\mathcal{M}} \ge 0.$$

Put $\lambda(c) = c^{n/2} \mathcal{M}(c)$. Then

$$\frac{\mathcal{M}_c}{\mathcal{M}} = \left(\frac{\lambda_c}{\lambda} - \frac{n}{2c}\right)$$

and

$$\frac{\mathcal{M}_{cc}}{\mathcal{M}} = \frac{\lambda_{cc}}{\lambda} - \frac{n\lambda_c}{c\lambda} + \frac{n^2}{4c^2} + \frac{n}{2c^2},$$

so that (18) becomes

$$\frac{\lambda_{cc}}{\lambda} + \left(1 - \frac{n}{2}\right)\frac{\lambda_c}{c\lambda} \ge 0.$$

Putting $\xi = c^{n/2}$, we obtain

$$\lambda_{\xi\xi} = \frac{4c^{2-n}}{n^2} \left(\lambda_{cc} + \left(1 - \frac{n}{2}\right) \frac{\lambda_c}{c} \right) \ge 0,$$

so that λ is a convex function of ξ . Hence $c^{n/2}\mathcal{M}(c)$ is a convex function of $c^{n/2}$, which implies that $\mathcal{M}(c)$ is a convex function of $c^{-n/2}$.

If w is smooth but not positive, we can find an open superset S of $\overline{A}(p_0, c_1, c_2)$ and a constant K such that w - K > 0 on S, so that

$$\mathcal{M}(w, p_0, c) = \mathcal{M}(w - K, p_0, c) + K$$

is a convex function of $c^{-n/2}$. If w is not smooth, take a decreasing sequence $\{w_j\}$ of smooth subtemperatures that converges to w on an open superset of $\overline{A}(p_0, c_1, c_2)$ [2, p. 281]. Then $\{\mathcal{M}(w_j, p_0, c)\}$ is a decreasing sequence with limit $\mathcal{M}(w, p_0, c) \in \mathbf{R}$, so that $\mathcal{M}(w, p_0, c)$ is also a convex function of $c^{-n/2}$.

We now present a simple consequence of Theorem 1 that was not considered in [6]. The subharmonic analogue can be found in [2, p. 24].

Corollary. Let w be a subtemperature on an open superset of $\overline{A}(p_0, c_1, c_2)$. If

$$v(x,t) = \mathcal{M}\left(w, p_0, (t_0 - t) \exp\left(\|x_0 - x\|^2 / 2n(t_0 - t)\right)\right)$$

for all $(x,t) \in A(p_0,c_1,c_2)$, then v is a θ^* -subtemperature (that is, a subtemperature relative to the adjoint equation).

Proof. By Theorem 1, there is a finite, convex function ϕ on $]c_2^{-n/2}, c_1^{-n/2}[$ such that $v(x,t) = \phi((4\pi)^{n/2}W(x_0 - x,t_0 - t))$. By Lemma 1, Corollary, ϕ is decreasing, so that if $\psi(s) = \phi(c_2^{-n/2} + c_1^{-n/2} - s)$ then ψ is increasing on $]c_2^{-n/2}, c_1^{-n/2}[$ and $v(x,t) = \psi(c_2^{-n/2} + c_1^{-n/2} - (4\pi)^{n/2}W(x_0 - x,t_0 - t)).$ Since the function of (x,t) with which ψ is composed to get v, is a solution of the adjoint equation, the dual of [4, Theorem 2] implies that v is a θ^* -subtemperature.

3. Thermic majorization

Let w be a subharmonic function on \mathbb{R}^n , and for each r > 0 let $\mathcal{L}(w, 0, r)$ denote its mean over the sphere of radius r centred at the origin. It is a well-known, elementary result that w has a harmonic majorant on \mathbb{R}^n if and only if $\mathcal{L}(w, 0, \cdot)$ is bounded above on $]0, \infty[$; and that, if w has such a majorant and u is the least one, then

$$u(0) = \sup_{r>0} \mathcal{L}(w, 0, r) = \lim_{r \to \infty} \mathcal{L}(w, 0, r).$$

We seek an analogous result for subtemperatures. First, we must replace the whole space $(\mathbf{R}^{n+1} \text{ in this case})$ by a lower half-space $\mathbf{R}^n \times] - \infty, a[$, because

$$\bigcup_{c>0} \Omega(p_0, c) = \mathbf{R}^n \times] - \infty, t_0[.$$

Note that a subtemperature on \mathbf{R}^{n+1} can have a thermic majorant on a half-space $\mathbf{R}^n \times] - \infty, 0[$ without having one on \mathbf{R}^{n+1} . For example, it is well-known that there is a temperature u on \mathbf{R}^2 that is identically zero on $\mathbf{R}^n \times] - \infty, 0]$ but not on any open superset thereof [7, p. 86]. The subtemperature u^+ cannot have a thermic majorant on $\mathbf{R} \times]0, \infty[$, since that would imply that

$$u(x,t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} W(x-y,t)u(y,0)\,dy = 0$$

whenever t > 0 [7, pp. 100–102].

N.A. Watson

We therefore seek a necessary and sufficient condition, in terms of the surface means \mathcal{M} , for a subtemperature w on a half-space $H_a = \mathbb{R}^n \times] - \infty, a[$ to have a thermic majorant there. There are two essential elements for this. The first is that, if $q = (y, s) \in H_a$ and $\mathcal{M}(w, q, \cdot)$ is bounded above on $]0, \infty[$, then whas a thermic majorant on H_s . This is far less elementary than the subharmonic theorem, and depends on the continuity of $\mathcal{M}(w, q, \cdot)$ that is implied by Theorem 1. The second is that, if $t_j \to a-$ and w has a thermic majorant on H_{t_j} for every j, then w has a thermic majorant on H_a . This result can be generalized to arbitrary open sets, and is given in Theorem 2 below.

Lemma 2. Let w be a subtemperature on an open superset D of $H_a \cup \{p_a\}$ for some $p_a \in \partial H_a$. If $\mathcal{M}(w, p_a, \cdot)$ is bounded above on $]0, \infty[$, then there is an increasing family $\{w_c : c > 0\}$ of subtemperatures on D such that $w_{\infty} = \lim_{c \to \infty} w_c$ is the least thermic majorant of w on H_a . Furthermore,

(19)
$$w_{\infty}(p_a) = \lim_{c \to \infty} \mathcal{M}(w, p_a, c).$$

Proof. If c > 0, then there is a unique subtemperature w_c on D such that w_c is a temperature on $\Omega(p_a, c)$, $w_c = w$ on $D \setminus (\Omega(p_a, c) \cup \{p_a\})$, and $w_c \ge w$ on D [6, Theorem 5]. If d > c, then the same theorem yields not only w_d , but also a unique subtemperature u_d on D such that u_d is a temperature on $\Omega(p_a, d)$, $u_d = w_c$ on $D \setminus (\Omega(p_a, d) \cup \{p_a\})$, and $u_d \ge w_c$ on D. Since $w_c = w$ on a superset of $D \setminus (\Omega(p_a, d) \cup \{p_a\})$ and $w_c \ge w$ on D, we see that $w_d = u_d \ge w_c$ on D, so that $w_{\infty} = \lim_{c \to \infty} w_c$ exists on D. By hypothesis, there is $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $\mathcal{M}(w, p_a, c) \le \alpha$ for all c > 0. Therefore

$$w_c(p_a) \leq \mathcal{M}(w_c, p_a, c) = \mathcal{M}(w, p_a, c) \leq \alpha$$

for every c > 0, so that $w_{\infty}(p_a) \leq \alpha$. If $0 < c \leq d$ then, since w_d is a subtemperature on D and a temperature on $\Omega(p_a, d)$, we have $w_d(p_a) = \mathcal{M}(w_d, p_a, c)$ by [6, Theorem 4]. Since the mean values of subtemperatures are real-valued (by Theorem 1), we can use the monotone convergence theorem to deduce that

$$\mathcal{M}(w_{\infty}, p_{a}, c) = \lim_{d \to \infty} \mathcal{M}(w_{d}, p_{a}, c) = w_{\infty}(p_{a}) \le \alpha$$

for every c > 0. Hence w_{∞} is finite σ -a.e. on $\partial \Omega(p_a, c)$, and therefore the Harnack convergence theorem [2, p. 276] implies that w_{∞} is a thermic majorant of w on H_a . Since any thermic majorant of w on H_a will also majorize w_c for every c > 0, the function w_{∞} is the least such majorant. Finally, if c > 0 we have

$$\mathcal{M}(w, p_a, c) = \mathcal{M}(w_c, p_a, c) = w_c(p_a)$$

(by [6, Theorem 4]), from which (19) follows immediately.

Theorem 2. Let w be a subtemperature on an open set E, and let $\{p_j\}$ be a sequence in E such that

(20)
$$E = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \Lambda(p_j).$$

If w has a thermic majorant on $\Lambda(p_j)$ for every j, then w has a thermic majorant on E; and if u is the least thermic majorant of w on E, then for any j the restriction of u to $\Lambda(p_j)$ is the least thermic majorant of w on $\Lambda(p_j)$.

Proof. Let u_j denote the least thermic majorant of w on $\Lambda(p_j)$. Then $u_j - w$ is a potential on $\Lambda(p_j)$, and since the Green function for $\Lambda(p_j)$ is the restriction to $\Lambda(p_j) \times \Lambda(p_j)$ of the Green function G_E for E [5; 2, p. 300], we have

$$u_j - w = \int_{\Lambda(p_j)} G_E(\cdot, q) \, d\mu_j(q)$$

on $\Lambda(p_j)$, for some positive Borel measure μ_j . Next, $G_E(p,q) > 0$ if and only if $q \in \Lambda(p)$ [5; 2,p. 300], so that

$$u_j(p) - w(p) = \int_{\Lambda(p)} G_E(p,q) \, d\mu_j(q)$$

for all $p \in \Lambda(p_j)$. Next, by the form of the Riesz decomposition theorem given in [5] and the uniqueness of representing measures, μ_j is the measure given by the distribution $-\theta(u_j - w) = \theta w$ on $\Lambda(p_j)$. Therefore, whenever $\Lambda(p_j) \cap \Lambda(p_k) \neq \emptyset$, the measures μ_j and μ_k coincide there. In view of (20), we can therefore define a measure μ on E by putting $\mu = \mu_j$ on every $\Lambda(p_j)$. This yields the representation

$$u_j(p) = w(p) + \int_{\Lambda(p)} G_E(p,q) \, d\mu(q)$$

for quasi every $p \in \Lambda(p_j)$. Since the right-hand side is independent of j, whenever $\Lambda(p_j) \cap \Lambda(p_k) \neq \emptyset$ we have $u_j = u_k$ q.e., and hence everywhere, on that intersection. We can therefore define a temperature u on E by putting $u = u_j$ on $\Lambda(p_j)$. Obviously $u \ge w$ on E, and if v is a temperature on E such that v(q) < u(q) for some $q \in E$, then there is i such that $v(q) < u_i(q)$ and so v does not majorize w on $\Lambda(p_i)$.

Theorem 3. Let w be a subtemperature on $H_a = \mathbf{R}^n \times] - \infty, a[$. Then w has a thermic majorant on H_a if and only if there is a sequence $\{p_j\}$ in H_a such that

$$H_a = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \Lambda(p_j)$$

and $\mathcal{M}(w, p_j, \cdot)$ is bounded above on $]0, \infty[$ for every j. If w has a thermic majorant on H_a and u is the least one, then

(21)
$$u(p) = \sup_{c>0} \mathcal{M}(w, p, c) = \lim_{c \to \infty} \mathcal{M}(w, p, c)$$

for every $p \in H_a$.

Proof. If there is a sequence $\{p_j\}$ as described, then w has a thermic majorant on every $\Lambda(p_j)$, by Lemma 2, so that w has a thermic majorant on H_a , by Theorem 2.

Conversely, if w has a thermic majorant v on H_a , then for any $p \in H_a$ and c > 0 we have

$$\mathcal{M}(w, p, c) \leq \mathcal{M}(v, p, c) = v(p) < \infty.$$

Finally, if w has a least thermic majorant u on H_a , and $p = (x, t) \in H_a$, then Theorem 2 shows that the restriction of u to H_t is the least thermic majorant of w on H_t . Therefore, by Lemma 2,

$$u(p) = \lim_{c \to \infty} \mathcal{M}(w, p, c),$$

and (21) follows because $\mathcal{M}(w, p, \cdot)$ is increasing.

References

- DINGHAS, A.: Über einige Konvexitätsätze für die Mittelwerte von subharmonischen Funktionen. - J. Math. Pures Appl. 44, 1965, 223-247.
- [2] DOOB, J.L.: Classical potential theory and its probabilistic counterpart. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984.
- [3] PINI, B.: Maggioranti e minoranti delle soluzioni delle equazioni paraboliche. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 37, 1954, 249-264.
- [4] WATSON, N.A.: A theory of subtemperatures in several variables. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 26, 1973, 385-417.
- [5] WATSON, N.A.: Green functions, potentials, and the Dirichlet problem for the heat equation. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 33, 1976, 251-298.
- [6] WATSON, N.A.: A convexity theorem for local mean values of subtemperatures. Bull. London Math. Soc. 22, 1990, 245-252.
- [7] WATSON, N.A.: Parabolic equations on an infinite strip. Marcel Dekker, New York, 1989.

University of Canterbury Department of Mathematics Christchurch 1 New Zealand

Received 23 April 1990