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Abstract. The main purpose of this paper is to introduce the weak Musielak–Orlicz martingale spaces and establish several weak atomic decompositions for them. With the help of weak atomic decompositions, a sufficient condition for sublinear operators defined on weak Musielak–Orlicz martingale spaces to be bounded is given. Using the sufficient condition, a series of martingale inequalities are obtained. These results are generalizations of the previous results of weak martingale Hardy spaces and weak martingale Orlicz–Hardy spaces.

1. Introduction

The real-variable theory of Hardy spaces, initiated by Stein and Weiss [21], develops rapidly and plays an important role in various fields of analysis, see, for example, [2, 5, 19, 20]. The weak Hardy space was originally introduced by Fefferman and Soria [4], and then undergone a vast research, see, for example, [3, 7, 18]. Meanwhile, as a counterpart to the Hardy spaces of functions, the martingale Hardy spaces and weak martingale Hardy spaces were also studied by many authors, see, for example, [6, 9, 16, 22, 23]. We know that the atomic decompositions of the Hardy spaces $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which were obtained by Coifman [1] when $n = 1$ and Latter [14] when $n > 1$, are very important in the real-variable theory. Just as they do in the theory of Hardy spaces of functions, atomic decompositions also play a key role in martingale theory.


Recently, Liang, Yang and Jiang [15] introduced the weak Musielak–Orlicz Hardy spaces and the results in [15] generalized the real-variable theory of weak Hardy spaces and weighted weak Hardy spaces. Inspired by [15], we introduce the martingale version of weak Musielak–Orlicz Hardy spaces. The results obtained in this paper will generalize the previous results of weak martingale Hardy spaces and weak martingale Orlicz–Hardy spaces.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section, some preliminaries and the notion of weak Musielak–Orlicz martingale spaces are introduced. In Section 3, several atomic decompositions of weak Musielak–Orlicz martingale spaces are formulated. In Section 4, using the atomic decompositions formulated in Section 3, a sufficient condition for sublinear operators defined on the weak Musielak–Orlicz
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martingale spaces to be bounded is given. We also obtain a series of martingale inequalities with the help of the sufficient condition.

Throughout this paper, the set of integers and the set of nonnegative integers are always denoted by \( \mathbb{Z} \) and \( \mathbb{N} \), respectively. We use \( C \) to denote (possibly vary from line to line) constants that are independent of the essential variables.

2. Preliminaries

Let \((\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)\) be a complete probability space. Following [13] and [15], we first introduce the notion of Musielak–Orlicz functions. Recall that a function \( \Phi: [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty) \) is called an Orlicz function if it is nondecreasing, \( \Phi(0) = 0 \), \( \Phi(t) > 0 \) for all \( t \in (0, \infty) \) and \( \lim_{t \to \infty} \Phi(t) = \infty \). The function \( \Phi \) is said to be of upper (resp. lower) type \( p \) for certain \( p \in [0, \infty) \) if there exists a positive constant \( C_\Phi \) such that, for all \( s \in [1, \infty) \) (resp. \( s \in [0, 1] \)) and \( t \in [0, \infty) \), \( \Phi(st) \leq C_\Phi s^p \Phi(t) \).

A function \( \varphi: \Omega \times [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty) \) is called a Musielak–Orlicz function if the function \( \varphi(x, \cdot): [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty) \) is an Orlicz function for any \( x \in \Omega \) and the function \( \varphi(\cdot, t): \Omega \to [0, \infty) \) is a measurable function for each \( t \in [0, \infty) \). A typical example of Musielak–Orlicz functions is \( \varphi(x, t) = f(x)g(t) \), where \( f \) is a positive measurable function on \( \Omega \) and \( g \) is an Orlicz function on \( [0, \infty) \). Of course, there exist Musielak–Orlicz functions which are not of that form. An example is \( \varphi(x, t) = \frac{t}{f(x)+\log(e+t)} \), where \( f \) is a positive measurable function on \( \Omega \).

Let \( \varphi \) be a Musielak–Orlicz function. \( \varphi \) is said to be of uniformly upper (resp. lower) type \( \bar{p} \) for certain \( \bar{p} \in [0, 1] \) and of uniformly upper type \( 1 \).

In what follows, for any measurable subset \( E \) of \( \Omega \) and \( t \in [0, \infty) \), we denote \( \int_{E} \varphi(x, t) \, dP \) simply by \( \varphi(E, t) \). We now list some properties of the Musielak–Orlicz functions which satisfy Assumption 2.1 in the following proposition. These properties will be frequently used in the sequel and the proofs for them are evident.

**Proposition 2.2.** Let \( \varphi \) satisfy Assumption 2.1, \( E \) and \( F \) be measurable subsets of \( \Omega \), \( x \in \Omega \) and \( s, t \in [0, \infty) \), we have

(i) if \( s \leq t \), then \( \varphi(x, s) \leq \varphi(x, t), \varphi(E, s) \leq \varphi(E, t) \);

(ii) if \( E \subset F \), then \( \varphi(E, t) \leq \varphi(F, t) \);

(iii) \( \varphi(E \cup F, t) \leq \varphi(E, t) + \varphi(F, t) \);

(iv) if \( s \in [0, 1] \), then \( \varphi(E, st) \leq C_\varphi s^{\bar{p}} \varphi(E, t) \);

(v) if \( s \in [1, \infty) \), then \( \varphi(E, st) \leq C_\varphi s \varphi(E, t) \).

Let \( \varphi \) satisfy Assumption 2.1, the weak Musielak–Orlicz space \( wL_\varphi(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P) \) is defined as the space of all measurable functions \( f \) such that \( \|f\|_{wL_\varphi} < \infty \), where

\[
\|f\|_{wL_\varphi} = \inf \left\{ c > 0 : \sup_{\alpha > 0} \varphi \left( \left\{ x \in \Omega : |f(x)| > \alpha \right\}, \frac{\alpha}{c} \right) \leq 1 \right\}.
\]

We now introduce weak Musielak–Orlicz martingale spaces. Let \((\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)\) be the above complete probability space and \( \{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n \geq 0} \) be a nondecreasing sequence of sub-\( \sigma \)-algebras of \( \mathcal{F} \) such that \( \mathcal{F} = \sigma(\bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{F}_n) \). The expectation operator and the
Denote by $M$ the set of all martingales $f = (f_n)_{n \geq 0}$ relative to $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ such that $f_0 = 0$. For $f \in M$, denote its martingale difference by $d_n f = f_n - f_{n-1}$ ($n \geq 0$, with convention $f_{-1} = 0$). Then the maximal function, the quadratic variation and the conditional quadratic variation of a martingale $f$ are defined by

$$f_n^* = \sup_{0 \leq i \leq n} |f_i|, \quad f^* = \sup_{i \geq 0} |f_i|; \quad S_n(f) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |d_i f|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad S(f) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^\infty |d_i f|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}};$$

$$s_n(f) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n E_i |d_i f|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad s(f) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^\infty E_i |d_i f|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Let $\Lambda$ be the collection of all sequences $(\lambda_n)_{n \geq 0}$ of nondecreasing, nonnegative and adapted functions, set $\lambda_\infty = \lim_{n \to \infty} \lambda_n$. For $\varphi$ satisfies Assumption 2.1 and $f \in M$, let

$$\Lambda[wQ_\varphi](f) = \{(\lambda_n)_{n \geq 0} \in \Lambda : S_n(f) \leq \lambda_{n-1} \ (n \geq 1), \lambda_\infty \in wL_\varphi\},$$

$$\Lambda[wD_\varphi](f) = \{(\lambda_n)_{n \geq 0} \in \Lambda : |f_n| \leq \lambda_{n-1} \ (n \geq 1), \lambda_\infty \in wL_\varphi\}.$$

The weak Musielak–Orlicz martingale spaces are defined as follows,

$$wH^*_\varphi = \{f \in M : \|f\|_{wH^*_\varphi} = \|f^*\|_{wL_\varphi} < \infty\},$$

$$wH^S_\varphi = \{f \in M : \|f\|_{wH^S_\varphi} = \|S(f)\|_{wL_\varphi} < \infty\},$$

$$wH^s_\varphi = \{f \in M : \|f\|_{wH^s_\varphi} = \|s(f)\|_{wL_\varphi} < \infty\},$$

$$wQ_\varphi = \{f \in M : \|f\|_{wQ_\varphi} = \inf_{(\lambda_n)_{n \geq 0} \in \Lambda[wQ_\varphi](f)} \|\lambda_\infty\|_{wL_\varphi} < \infty\},$$

$$wD_\varphi = \{f \in M : \|f\|_{wD_\varphi} = \inf_{(\lambda_n)_{n \geq 0} \in \Lambda[wD_\varphi](f)} \|\lambda_\infty\|_{wL_\varphi} < \infty\}.$$

If taking $\varphi(x, t) = t^p$ or $\Phi(t)$ (where $\Phi(t)$ is an Orlicz function), then we get the usual weak martingale Hardy spaces and weak martingale Orlicz–Hardy spaces, respectively.

We conclude this section by introducing the notion of atoms.

**Definition 2.3.** A measurable function $a$ is said to be a weak atom of the first category (or of the second category, of the third category, respectively) if there exists a stopping time $\nu$ ($\nu$ is called the stopping time associated with $a$) such that

(i) $a_n = E_n(a) = 0$, (if $\nu \geq n$),

(ii) $\|s(a)\|_\infty < \infty$ (or (ii') $\|S(a)\|_\infty < \infty$, (ii'') $\|a^*\|_\infty < \infty$, respectively).

These three category weak atoms are briefly called w-1-atom, w-2-atom and w-3-atom, respectively.

### 3. Atomic decompositions

We now establish the atomic decompositions of the weak Musielak–Orlicz martingale spaces.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let $\varphi$ satisfy Assumption 2.1, $f = (f_n)_{n \geq 0} \in wH^*_\varphi$, then there exist a sequence $\{a^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of w-1-atoms and the corresponding stopping times $\{\nu_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ such that

(i) $f_n = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a^k_n$, ($n \in \mathbb{N}$),
(ii) \( s(a^k) \leq A \cdot 2^k \) for some constant \( A > 0 \) and
\[
\inf \left\{ c > 0 : \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi \left( \{ \nu_k < \infty \}, \frac{2^k}{c} \right) \leq 1 \right\} < \infty.
\]
Conversely, if the martingale \( f \) has the above decomposition, then \( f \in wH_\varphi^* \) and
\[
\| f \|_{wH_\varphi^*} \sim \inf \inf \left\{ c > 0 : \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi \left( \{ \nu_k < \infty \}, \frac{2^k}{c} \right) \leq 1 \right\},
\]
where the first infimum is taken over all the preceding decompositions of \( f \).

Proof. Assume that \( f \in wH_\varphi^* \). For each \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \), define stopping time as follows,
\[
\nu_k = \inf \{ n \in \mathbb{N} : s_{n+1}(f) > 2^k \}, \quad (\inf \emptyset = \infty).
\]
Let \( f_n^k = (f_n \wedge \nu_k)_{n \geq 0} \) be the stopped martingale, then \( s(f_n^k) \leq 2^k \) and
\[
f_n = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (f_n^{\nu_k+1} - f_n^{\nu_k}).
\]
Let \( a_n^k = f_n^{\nu_k+1} - f_n^{\nu_k} \), then for each fixed \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \), \( a_k = \{ a_n^k \}_{n \geq 0} \) is a martingale. By the sublinearity of the operator \( s \) we have
\[
s(a^k) \leq s(f^{\nu_k+1}) + s(f^{\nu_k}) \leq 3 \cdot 2^k.
\]
This means that \( \{ a_n^k \}_{n \geq 0} \) is a \( L_2 \)-bounded martingale, then \( \{ a_n^k \}_{n \geq 0} \) converges in \( L_2 \). Denote the limit still by \( a^k \), then \( E_n(a^k) = a_n^k \). For \( \nu_k \geq n \), \( a_n^k = f_n^{\nu_k+1} - f_n^{\nu_k} = 0 \). So \( a^k \) is really a \( w-1 \)-atom and (i) holds. To prove (ii), it is easy to see that \( \{ \nu_k < \infty \} = \{ s(f) > 2^k \} \). By the definition of the norm \( \| \cdot \|_{wH_\varphi^*} \) we have
\[
\varphi \left( \{ \nu_k < \infty \}, \frac{2^k}{\| f \|_{wH_\varphi^*}} \right) = \varphi \left( \{ s(f) > 2^k \}, \frac{2^k}{\| s(f) \|_{wL_\varphi}} \right) \leq 1.
\]
Hence
\[
\inf \left\{ c > 0 : \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi \left( \{ \nu_k < \infty \}, \frac{2^k}{c} \right) \leq 1 \right\} \leq \| f \|_{wH_\varphi^*} < \infty.
\]

Conversely, suppose that there exist a sequence \( \{ a^k \}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \) of \( w-1 \)-atoms such that (i) and (ii) hold. Let
\[
M = \inf \left\{ c > 0 : \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi \left( \{ \nu_k < \infty \}, \frac{2^k}{c} \right) \leq 1 \right\}.
\]
For \( A \) in (ii), without loss of generality, we assume \( A = 2^b \), where \( b \) is a nonnegative integer. For any fixed \( \alpha > 0 \), choose \( k_0 \in \mathbb{Z} \) such that \( 2^{k_0} \leq \alpha < 2^{k_0+1} \). Let
\[
f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a^k = g + h,
\]
where \( g = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0-1} a^k \) and \( h = \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} a^k \). From (ii) we have
\[
s(g) \leq \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0-1} s(a^k) \leq \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0-1} A \cdot 2^k = A \cdot 2^{k_0} \leq A\alpha.
\]
By the sublinearity of the operator \( s \) we have \( s(f) \leq s(g) + s(h) \). Then
\[
\{ s(f) > 2A\alpha \} \subset \{ s(g) > A\alpha \} \cup \{ s(h) > A\alpha \} = \{ s(h) > A\alpha \}.
\]
Since \( s(h) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s(a^k) \) and \( \{s(a^k) > 0\} \subset \{\nu_k < \infty\} \), we have

\[
\{s(f) > 2A\alpha\} \subset \{s(h) > A\alpha\} \subset \{s(h) > 0\} \subset \bigcup_{k=k_0}^{\infty} \{s(a^k) > 0\} \subset \bigcup_{k=k_0}^{\infty} \{\nu_k < \infty\}.
\]

Then

\[
\varphi \left( \{s(f) > 2A\alpha\}, \frac{2A\alpha}{2M} \right) \leq \varphi \left( \bigcup_{k=k_0}^{\infty} \{\nu_k < \infty\}, \frac{A\alpha}{M} \right) \leq \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} \varphi \left( \{\nu_k < \infty\}, \frac{A\alpha}{M} \right).
\]

Since \( A = 2^b \) and \( 2^{k_0} \leq \alpha < 2^{k_0+1} \), then \( 2^{k_0} \leq 2^{b+k_0} \leq A\alpha < 2^{b+k_0+1} \). Let

\[
\sum_{k=k_0}^{b+k_0} \varphi \left( \{\nu_k < \infty\}, \frac{A\alpha}{M} \right) = G + H,
\]

where \( G = \sum_{k=k_0}^{b+k_0} \varphi \left( \{\nu_k < \infty\}, \frac{A\alpha}{M} \right) \) and \( H = \sum_{k=b+k_0+1}^{\infty} \varphi \left( \{\nu_k < \infty\}, \frac{A\alpha}{M} \right) \). Hence we have \( \varphi \left( \{s(f) > 2A\alpha\}, \frac{2A\alpha}{2M} \right) \leq G + H \). By the definition of \( M \) we know that

\[
\varphi \left( \{\nu_k < \infty\}, \frac{2^b}{M} \right) \leq 1, \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}).
\]

Since \( \varphi \) is of uniformly upper type 1, we have

\[
G = \sum_{k=k_0}^{b+k_0} \varphi \left( \{\nu_k < \infty\}, \frac{A\alpha}{M} \right) \leq \sum_{k=k_0}^{b+k_0} \varphi \left( \{\nu_k < \infty\}, 2^{b+k_0+1-k} \frac{2^k}{M} \right)
\]

\[
\leq \sum_{k=k_0}^{b+k_0} C_{\varphi} 2^{b+k_0+1-k} \varphi \left( \{\nu_k < \infty\}, \frac{2^k}{M} \right) \leq C_{\varphi} \sum_{k=k_0}^{b+k_0} 2^{b+k_0+1-k} = C_{\varphi} (2^{b+2} - 2) = C_1.
\]

And since \( \varphi \) is also of uniformly lower type \( \tilde{\rho} \) for some \( \tilde{\rho} \in (0, 1] \), then

\[
H = \sum_{k=b+k_0+1}^{\infty} \varphi \left( \{\nu_k < \infty\}, \frac{A\alpha}{M} \right) \leq \sum_{k=b+k_0+1}^{\infty} \varphi \left( \{\nu_k < \infty\}, 2^{b+k_0+1-k} \frac{2^k}{M} \right)
\]

\[
\leq \sum_{k=b+k_0+1}^{\infty} C_{\varphi} (2^{b+k_0+1-k})^{\tilde{\rho}} \varphi \left( \{\nu_k < \infty\}, \frac{2^k}{M} \right) \leq C_{\varphi} \sum_{k=b+k_0+1}^{\infty} 2^{\tilde{\rho}(b+k_0+1-k)}
\]

\[
= C_{\varphi} \frac{2^{\tilde{\rho}}}{2^{\tilde{\rho}} - 1} = C_2.
\]

Since \( C_1 + C_2 > 1 \), then

\[
\varphi \left( \{s(f) > 2A\alpha\}, \frac{2A\alpha}{(C_{\varphi}(C_1 + C_2))^{\frac{1}{2}} 2M} \right) \leq C_{\varphi} \frac{1}{C_{\varphi}(C_1 + C_2)} \varphi \left( \{s(f) > 2A\alpha\}, \frac{2A\alpha}{2M} \right)
\]

\[
\leq C_{\varphi} \frac{1}{C_{\varphi}(C_1 + C_2)} (C_1 + C_2) = 1.
\]

Hence \( s(f) \in wL_{\varphi} \) and \( \|s(f)\|_{wL_{\varphi}} \leq 2 (C_{\varphi}(C_1 + C_2))^{\frac{1}{2}} M \). Consequently, \( f \in wH_{\varphi}^* \) and

\[
\|f\|_{wH_{\varphi}^*} \sim \inf \left\{ c > 0 : \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi \left( \{\nu_k < \infty\}, \frac{2^k}{c} \right) \leq 1 \right\},
\]

where the first infimum is taken over all the preceding decompositions of \( f \). The proof of the theorem is complete. \( \square \)
Theorem 3.2. Let $\varphi$ satisfy Assumption 2.1, $f = (f_n)_{n \geq 0} \in wQ_\varphi$. Then there exist a sequence $\{a_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of $w$-2-atoms and the corresponding stopping times $\{\nu_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ such that

(i) $f_n = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_k^k$, $(n \in \mathbb{N})$,

(ii) $S(a_k^k) \leq A \cdot 2^k$ for some constant $A > 0$ and

\[
\inf \left\{ c > 0 : \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi \left( \left\{ \nu_k < \infty, \frac{2^k}{c} \right\} \right) \leq 1 \right\} < \infty.
\]

Conversely, if the martingale $f$ has the above decomposition, then $f \in wQ_\varphi$ and

\[
\|f\|_{wQ_\varphi} \sim \inf \left\{ c > 0 : \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi \left( \left\{ \nu_k < \infty, \frac{2^k}{c} \right\} \right) \leq 1 \right\},
\]

where the first infimum is taken over all the preceding decompositions of $f$.

Proof. Let $f \in wQ_\varphi$, for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the stopping time are defined in this case by

\[
\nu_k = \inf \{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \lambda_n > 2^k \}, \quad (\text{inf } \emptyset = \infty),
\]

where $\{\lambda_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is the sequence in the definition of $wQ_\varphi$.

Let $a_n^k = f_{\nu_k}^k - f_{\nu_k - 1}^k$, then $f_n = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n^k$. Since $S(f_{\nu_k}^k) = S_{\nu_k}^k(f) \leq \lambda_{\nu_k - 1} \leq 2^k$, then by the sublinearity of the operator $S$ we have $S(a_k^k) \leq S(f_{\nu_k}^k + S(f_{\nu_k + 1}^k) \leq 3 \cdot 2^k$. This means $\{a_n^k\}_{n \geq 0}$ is $L_2$-bounded and converges in $L_2$. Denote the limit still by $a_k^k$, then $E_n(a^k) = a_n^k$. For $\nu_k \geq n$, $a_n^k = f_{\nu_k}^k - f_{\nu_k + 1}^k = 0$. So $a_k^k$ is really a $w$-2-atom and (i) holds. To prove (ii), since $\{\nu_k < \infty\} = \{\lambda_\infty > 2^k\}$, then

\[
\varphi \left( \left\{ \nu_k < \infty, \frac{2^k}{\|\lambda_\infty\|_{wL_2}} \right\} \right) = \varphi \left( \left\{ \lambda_\infty > 2^k, \frac{2^k}{\|\lambda_\infty\|_{wL_2}} \right\} \right) \leq 1.
\]

Then by the definition of the norm $\| \cdot \|_{wQ_\varphi}$ we have

\[
\inf \left\{ c > 0 : \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi \left( \left\{ \nu_k < \infty, \frac{2^k}{c} \right\} \right) \leq 1 \right\} \leq \|\lambda_\infty\|_{wL_2} < \infty.
\]

Conversely, suppose that there exist a sequence $\{a_k^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of $w$-2-atoms such that (i) and (ii) hold. Let

\[
M = \inf \left\{ c > 0 : \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi \left( \left\{ \nu_k < \infty, \frac{2^k}{c} \right\} \right) \leq 1 \right\}.
\]

Define $\lambda_n = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{\{\nu_k \leq n\}} \|S(a_k^k)\|_\infty$. Then $\{\lambda_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a nondecreasing, nonnegative and adapted sequence. Since $\{\nu_k > n\} \subset \{S_{n+1}^n(a_k^k) = 0\}$, we have

\[
S_{n+1}(f) \leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} S_{n+1}(a_k^k) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{\{\nu_k \leq n\}} S_{n+1}(a_k^k) \leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{\{\nu_k \leq n\}} \|S(a_k^k)\|_\infty = \lambda_n.
\]

For any fixed $\alpha > 0$, choose $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $2^{k_0} \leq \alpha < 2^{k_0+1}$. Let

\[
\lambda_\infty = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{\{\nu_k < \infty\}} \|S(a_k^k)\|_\infty = \lambda_\infty^{(1)} + \lambda_\infty^{(2)},
\]

where $\lambda_\infty^{(1)} = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0-1} \chi_{\{\nu_k < \infty\}} \|S(a_k^k)\|_\infty$ and $\lambda_\infty^{(2)} = \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} \chi_{\{\nu_k < \infty\}} \|S(a_k^k)\|_\infty$.

Replacing $s(g)$ and $s(h)$ in the proof of Theorem 3.1 by $\lambda_\infty^{(1)}$ and $\lambda_\infty^{(2)}$, respectively. Then we obtain $\|f\|_{wQ_\varphi} \leq CM$. The proof of the theorem in complete. \qed
Theorem 3.3. Let \( \varphi \) satisfy Assumption 2.1, \( f = (f_n)_{n \geq 0} \in wD_\varphi \), then there exist a sequence \( \{a_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \) of w-3-atoms and the corresponding stopping times \( \{\nu_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \) such that
\[
(i) \quad f_n = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n^k, \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}),
\]
\[
(ii) \quad (a_k)^* \leq A \cdot 2^k \text{ for some constant } A > 0 \text{ and }
\]
\[
\inf \left\{ c > 0 : \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi \left( \{\nu_k < \infty \}, \frac{2^k}{c} \right) \leq 1 \right\} < \infty.
\]
Conversely, if the martingale \( f \) has the above decomposition, then \( f \in wD_\varphi \) and
\[
\|f\|_{wD_\varphi} \sim \inf \left\{ c > 0 : \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi \left( \{\nu_k < \infty \}, \frac{2^k}{c} \right) \leq 1 \right\},
\]
where the first infimum is taken over all the preceding decompositions of \( f \).

Proof. We can obtain the desired results easily by replacing w-2-atoms and \( S(a_k) \) in the proof of Theorem 3.2 by w-3-atoms and \( (a_k)^* \), respectively. The proof is complete. \( \square \)

4. Boundedness of operators

Now we give an application of the atomic decompositions. A sufficient condition for sublinear operators from weak Musielak–Orlicz martingale spaces to weak Musielak–Orlicz function spaces to be bounded will be given in this section. Using this sufficient condition, we deduce a series of martingale inequalities.

An operator \( T : X \to Y \) is called a sublinear operator if it satisfies \(|T(f + g)| \leq |Tf| + |Tg|\) and \(|T(\lambda f)| = |\lambda||Tf|\), where \( X \) is a martingale spaces and \( Y \) is a measurable function space. Before we state the theorems, we need the following assumption.

Assumption 4.1. Suppose that \( \varphi \) satisfies Assumption 2.1 and there exist two positive constants \( B \) and \( D \) such that
\[
B \varphi(y,t) \mathbf{P}(E) \leq \varphi(E,t) \leq D \varphi(y,t) \mathbf{P}(E),
\]
where \( t \in [0, \infty) \), \( y \in \Omega \) and \( E \) is an arbitrary measurable subset of \( \Omega \).

For instance, if \( \varphi(x,t) = f(x)g(t) \), where \( f \) is a positive, bounded and measurable function on \( \Omega \), \( g \) is an Orlicz function on \( [0, \infty) \) which satisfies Assumption 2.1, then \( \varphi(x,t) \) satisfies Assumption 4.1.

Theorem 4.2. Suppose that \( \varphi \) satisfies Assumption 4.1 and \( T : L_2(\Omega) \to L_2(\Omega) \) is a bounded sublinear operator. If \( \mathbf{P}(|T\alpha| > 0) \leq C \mathbf{P}(\nu < \infty) \) holds for all w-1-atoms, where \( \nu \) is the stopping time associated with \( \alpha \). Then there exists a positive constant \( C' \) such that
\[
\|Tf\|_{wL_\varphi} \leq C' \|f\|_{wH_\varphi^*}, \quad (f \in wH_\varphi^*).
\]

Proof. Assume that \( f \in wH_\varphi^* \). By Theorem 3.1 we know that \( f \) can be decomposed into the sum of a sequence of w-1-atoms. For any fixed \( \alpha > 0 \), choose \( k_0 \in \mathbb{Z} \) such that \( 2^{k_0-1} \leq \alpha < 2^{k_0} \). Let
\[
f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a^k = g + h,
\]
where \( g = \sum_{k = -\infty}^{k_0-1} a^k \) and \( h = \sum_{k = k_0}^{\infty} a^k \).
By the sublinearity of the operator $T$ we have $|Tf| \leq |Tg| + |Th|$, then
\[
\{ |Tf| > 2\alpha \} \subset \{ |Tg| > \alpha \} \cup \{ |Th| > \alpha \}.
\]
Thus
\[
\varphi \left( \frac{2\alpha}{2\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}^2}} \right) \leq \varphi \left( \frac{\alpha}{\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}^2}} \right) \leq I_1 + I_2,
\]
where $I_1 = \varphi \left( \{ |Tg| > \alpha \}, \frac{\alpha}{\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}^2}} \right)$ and $I_2 = \varphi \left( \{ |Th| > \alpha \}, \frac{\alpha}{\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}^2}} \right)$.

By Theorem 2.11 in [23] we know that for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ there exists a constant $C$ such that $\|a^k\|_2 \leq C\|s(a^k)\|_2$. Then
\[
\|g\|_2 \leq \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_{\alpha}-1} \|a^k\|_2 \leq C \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_{\alpha}-1} \|s(a^k)\|_2 \leq C \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_{\alpha}-1} 2^k P(\nu_k < \infty)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\]
Let $\nu_k$ be the stopping time associated with the weak atom $a^k$ in the proof of Theorem 3.1, then for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have
\[
\varphi \left( \{ \nu_k < \infty \}, \frac{2^k}{\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}^2}} \right) = \varphi \left( \{ s(f) > 2^k \}, \frac{2^k}{\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}^2}} \right) \leq 1.
\]
Notice that $\varphi$ satisfies Assumption 4.1, $T$ is bounded from $L_2(\Omega)$ to $L_2(\Omega)$ and $2^{k_{\alpha}-1} \leq \alpha < 2^k$, then
\[
I_1 = \varphi \left( \{ |Tg| > \alpha \}, \frac{\alpha}{\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}^2}} \right) \leq D\varphi \left( y, \frac{\alpha}{\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}^2}} \right) P(|Tg| > \alpha)
\]
\[
\leq D\varphi \left( y, \frac{\alpha}{\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}^2}} \right) \frac{|Tg|_2^2}{\alpha^2} \leq C\varphi \left( y, \frac{\alpha}{\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}^2}} \right) \frac{|g|_2^2}{\alpha^2}
\]
\[
\leq C\varphi \left( y, \frac{\alpha}{\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}^2}} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_{\alpha}-1} 2^k P(\nu_k < \infty)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^2
\]
\[
= C \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_{\alpha}-1} 2^k \left( \varphi \left( y, \frac{\alpha}{\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}^2}} \right) P(\nu_k < \infty) \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}^2
\]
\[
\leq C \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_{\alpha}-1} 2^k \left( \frac{1}{B} \varphi \left( \{ \nu_k < \infty \}, \frac{\alpha}{\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}^2}} \right) \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}^2
\]
\[
\leq C \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_{\alpha}-1} 2^k \left( \frac{1}{B} C \varphi \left( \nu_k < \infty \right) \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}^2
\]
\[
\leq C \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_{\alpha}-1} 2^k \left( \frac{2^{k_{\alpha}-1}}{(2^k)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}^2
\]
\[
\leq C \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_{\alpha}-1} 2^k \left( \frac{\alpha}{2^k} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}^2 = C \frac{2^{k_{\alpha}-1}}{(\frac{3}{2} - \sqrt{2})\alpha} \leq C \frac{3}{2} - \sqrt{2} = C_1.
\]
On the other hand, by the sublinearity of the operator $T$ we have $|Th| \leq \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} |Ta^k|$, then
\[
\{ |Th| > \alpha \} \subset \{ |Th| > 0 \} \subset \bigcup_{k=k_0}^{\infty} \{ |Ta^k| > 0 \}.
\]
Then we can obtain
\[ I_2 = \varphi \left( \{ |Th| > \alpha \} \right), \frac{\alpha}{\|f\|_{wH^p}} \leq \varphi \left( \bigcup_{k=k_0}^{\infty} \{ |Ta^k| > 0 \} \right), \frac{\alpha}{\|f\|_{wH^p}} \]
\[ \leq \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} \varphi \left( \{ |Ta^k| > 0 \} \right), \frac{\alpha}{\|f\|_{wH^p}} \leq \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{B} \varphi \left( \{ \nu_k < \infty \} \right), \frac{\alpha}{\|f\|_{wH^p}} \]
\[ \leq C \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{B} C_{\varphi} \left( \frac{\alpha}{2^k} \right) \varphi \left( \{ \nu_k < \infty \} \right), \frac{\alpha}{\|f\|_{wH^p}} \]
\[ = C \frac{1}{1 - 2^{-p}} \left( \frac{\alpha}{2^{k_0}} \right)^{\bar{p}} \leq C \frac{1}{1 - 2^{-p}} = C_2. \]
Thus
\[ \varphi \left( \{ |Tf| > 2\alpha \} \right), \frac{2\alpha}{\|f\|_{wH^p}} \leq C_1 + C_2. \]
Let \( C_3 = \max \{ C_1 + C_2, \frac{1}{C_\varphi} \} \), then
\[ \varphi \left( \{ |Tf| > 2\alpha \} \right), \frac{2\alpha}{(C_3 C_{\varphi})^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{wH^p}} \leq C \frac{1}{C_3 C_{\varphi}} \varphi \left( \{ |Tf| > 2\alpha \} \right), \frac{2\alpha}{\|f\|_{wH^p}} \]
\[ \leq C \frac{1}{C_3 C_{\varphi}} (C_1 + C_2) \leq 1. \]
Hence \( Tf \in wL_{\varphi} \) and
\[ \|Tf\|_{wL_{\varphi}} \leq 2(C_3 C_{\varphi})^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{wH^p}. \]
The proof of the theorem is complete. \( \square \)

On the lines of the proof of Theorem 4.2, using Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3 instead of Theorem 3.1, respectively. We can obtain the following two theorems.

**Theorem 4.3.** Suppose that \( \varphi \) satisfies Assumption 4.1 and \( T \colon L_2(\Omega) \to L_2(\Omega) \) is a bounded sublinear operator. If \( P(|Ta| > 0) \leq C P(\nu < \infty) \) holds for all \( w \)-2-atoms, where \( \nu \) is the stopping time associated with \( a \). Then there exists a positive constant \( C' \) such that
\[ \|Tf\|_{wL_{\varphi}} \leq C' \|f\|_{wQ_{\varphi}}, \quad (f \in wQ_{\varphi}). \]

**Theorem 4.4.** Suppose that \( \varphi \) satisfies Assumption 4.1 and \( T \colon L_2(\Omega) \to L_2(\Omega) \) is a bounded sublinear operator. If \( P(|Ta| > 0) \leq C P(\nu < \infty) \) holds for all \( w \)-3-atoms, where \( \nu \) is the stopping time associated with \( a \). Then there exists a positive constant \( C' \) such that
\[ \|Tf\|_{wL_{\varphi}} \leq C' \|f\|_{wD_{\varphi}}, \quad (f \in wD_{\varphi}). \]

Now we can obtain some inequalities for the weak Musielak–Orlicz martingale spaces.

**Theorem 4.5.** Suppose that \( \varphi \) satisfies Assumption 4.1, then for all martingales \( f = (f_n)_{n \geq 0} \) the following martingale inequalities hold,
(i) \( \|f\|_{wH^p} \leq C \|f\|_{wH^p}, \|f\|_{wH^p} \leq C \|f\|_{wH^p}; \)
(ii) $\|f\|_{wH^*_p} \leq C \|f\|_{wQ_p}$, $\|f\|_{wH^*_q} \leq C \|f\|_{wQ_q}$, $\|f\|_{wH^*_s} \leq C \|f\|_{wQ_s}$.

(iii) $\|f\|_{wH^*_p} \leq C \|f\|_{wD_p}$, $\|f\|_{wH^*_q} \leq C \|f\|_{wD_q}$, $\|f\|_{wH^*_s} \leq C \|f\|_{wD_s}$.

Proof. Taking $Tf = f^*$ and $Tf = S(f)$, respectively. By Theorem 4.2 we obtain (i). To prove (ii) and (iii), taking $Tf = f^*$, $Tf = S(f)$ and $T(f) = s(f)$, respectively. Then (ii) and (iii) are easily proved by using Theorem 4.3 and Theorem 4.4, respectively. The proof of theorem is complete. □
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