

## SETS OF ZERO ELLIPTIC HARMONIC MEASURES

O. Martio

### 1. Introduction

An elliptic partial differential equation  $\nabla \cdot A(x, \nabla u(x)) = 0$  in a domain  $G$  with  $|A(x, h)| \approx |h|^{p-1}$  produces a solution  $\omega$  called an  $A$ -harmonic measure. For  $p \neq 2$ ,  $\omega$  is non-additive and hence does not define a measure in the Borel sets of  $\partial G$  as the classical harmonic measure induced by the Laplace operator  $A(x, h) = h$  does. The most interesting problem associated with  $\omega$  is to determine the class of subsets  $E$  of  $\partial G$  such that  $\omega(E) = 0$ . This class depends on  $A$ . For example, in the plane unit disk  $B$  there is a linear elliptic operator  $A(x, h) \approx h$  which induces  $\omega$  such that  $\omega(E) > 0$  for some compact set  $E \subset \partial B$  whose linear measure is zero. Such an operator  $A$  can be constructed using quasiconformal mappings, see [GLM 2] and [CFK]. Hence  $\omega$  essentially differs from the ordinary plane harmonic measure induced by the Laplace operator. Contrary to this example we show in this paper that there exists a reasonable class of subsets  $E$  of  $\partial G$  such that  $\omega(E) = 0$  for all operators  $A$ . Clearly  $\partial G$  must be sufficiently thick for this purpose. For compact subsets  $E$  of  $\partial G$  our main result, Theorem 3.1, is formulated in terms of certain metric conditions of  $E$  with respect to  $\partial G$ . Here the quasihyperbolic distance [GP] is useful. Surprisingly, for  $G = B$ , the unit ball of  $R^n$ , Theorem 3.1 shows that there are compact sets  $E \subset \partial B$  whose Hausdorff dimension is arbitrary near  $n - 1$  and  $\omega(E) = 0$  for all  $A$ . By the above example this condition cannot be replaced by the condition that the  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure of  $E$  is  $= 0$ .

For  $p = n$  these problems were first studied in [GLM 2] and [HM]. Conditions for  $\omega(E) > 0$  were given in [GLM 2, 4.10] and [M]. If  $\partial G$  is "thick", then these results can be used to prove the counterpart of B. Øksendal's theorem for the  $A$ -harmonic measures  $\omega$ , see [HM, Theorem 4.1] and [H, Theorem A]. Our main theorem, Theorem 3.1, can also be used to study sets  $E$  in  $\partial G$  which cannot be seen easily from  $G$ . We say that such sets  $E$  are buried in  $\partial G$  and prove that  $\omega(E) = 0$  for all  $A$ ; this result slightly generalizes [H, Theorem A]. Using stochastic methods Øksendal [Ø] has also studied the corresponding problems for  $p = 2$  and for linear operators  $A$ .

Suppose that  $G$  is a bounded domain in  $R^n$  and that  $1 < p \leq n$ . We shall study partial differential operators  $A: G \times R^n \rightarrow R^n$  which satisfy the following assumptions:

a) For each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a compact subset  $F$  of  $G$  such that  $A|_F \times R^n$  is continuous and  $m(G \setminus F) < \varepsilon$ .

b) There exist positive constants  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  such that for a.e.  $x \in G$

$$(1.1) \quad |A(x, h)| \leq \gamma_1 |h|^{p-1},$$

$$(1.2) \quad A(x, h) \cdot h \geq \gamma_2 |h|^p$$

for all  $h \in R^n$ .

c) For a.e.  $x \in G$

$$(A(x, h_1) - A(x, h_2)) \cdot (h_1 - h_2) > 0, \quad h_1 \neq h_2.$$

d) For a.e.  $x \in G$

$$A(x, \lambda h) = |\lambda|^{p-2} \lambda A(x, h)$$

for  $\lambda \in R \setminus \{0\}$  and  $h \in R^n$ .

A continuous function  $u: G \rightarrow R$  is a solution of the equation

$$(1.3) \quad \nabla \cdot A(x, \nabla u(x)) = 0$$

if  $u$  belongs to the Sobolev space  $\text{loc } W_p^1(G)$ , i.e.,  $u$  is ACL<sup>p</sup>, and if

$$(1.4) \quad \int_G A(x, \nabla u(x)) \cdot \nabla \phi(x) \, dm(x) = 0$$

for all  $\phi \in C_0^\infty(G)$ . We call solutions of (1.3)  $A$ -harmonic. A lower semicontinuous function  $u: G \rightarrow R \cup \{\infty\}$  is  $A$ -superharmonic if it satisfies the  $A$ -comparison principle, i.e., if for every domain  $D \subset\subset G$  and every  $A$ -harmonic function  $h \in C(\bar{D})$  in  $D$ ,  $h \leq u$  in  $\partial D$  implies  $h \leq u$  in  $D$ . These functions form a similar, but in general non-linear, potential theory as ordinary harmonic and superharmonic functions do, see [GLM 1] and [HK].

Finally, let  $E$  be a subset of  $\partial G$ . The upper class  $\mathcal{U}$  consists of all  $A$ -superharmonic functions  $u: G \rightarrow R \cup \{\infty\}$  such that

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow y} u(x) \geq \chi_E(y)$$

for each  $y \in \partial G$ . Here  $\chi_E$  is the characteristic function of  $E$ . It can be shown that

$$\omega(E, G; A)(x) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{U}} u(x), \quad x \in G,$$

defines an  $A$ -harmonic function  $\omega = \omega(E, G; A)$ , called the  $A$ -harmonic measure of  $E$  with respect to  $G$ . For this construction see [HK] and [GLM 2]. The set  $E$  has zero  $A$ -harmonic measure, if  $\omega(x) = 0$  for some  $x \in G$ , or equivalently  $\omega(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in G$ . The last assertion follows from Harnack's inequality, see Lemma 3.3 below. In this case we simply write  $\omega = 0$ .

## 2. Sets of $A$ -harmonic measure zero

Let  $G$  be a bounded domain in  $R^n$ . We assume that  $G$  is  $A$ -Dirichlet regular, i.e., for each  $\psi \in C(\partial G)$  there is a (unique) function  $u \in C(\overline{G})$  such that  $u$  is  $A$ -harmonic in  $G$  and that  $u|_{\partial G} = \psi$ . The function  $u$  is called the  $A$ -harmonic function with boundary values  $\psi$ . The following lemma is a generalization of [GLM 2, 4.9].

**2.1. Lemma.** *Suppose that  $E$  is a compact subset of  $\partial G$ . Let  $\omega = \omega(E, G; A)$ . Then  $\omega = 0$  if and only if there is  $c \in [0, 1)$  and a sequence of neighborhoods  $U_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , of  $E$  such that*

$$(a) \quad \bigcap U_i \cap G = \emptyset$$

and

$$(b) \quad \omega(x) \leq c \quad \text{for each } x \in G \cap \partial U_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

*Proof.* For the only if part choose  $c = 0$  and  $U_i = E + B(1/i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Here  $B(r)$  denotes the open ball of radius  $r > 0$  centered at 0.

For the converse part we first show that

$$(2.2) \quad u(x) \leq c$$

for each  $x \in G$ . Fix  $x \in G$ . By (a) there is  $U_i$  such that  $x \notin U_i$ . If  $x \in \partial U_i$ , then (2.2) follows from (b). Assume that  $x \in G \setminus \overline{U}_i$ . Let  $V$  be the  $x$ -component of  $G \setminus \overline{U}_i$ . Let  $y \in \partial V$ . If  $y \in G$ , then  $y \in \partial U_i$  and hence  $\omega(y) \leq c$  by (b). If  $y \notin G$ , then let  $\psi \in C(\partial G)$  be such that  $\psi(y) = 0$ ,  $\psi|_E = 1$  and  $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$ . Let  $u$  be the  $A$ -harmonic function with boundary values  $\psi$ . Then  $u(y) = 0$  and since  $u$  belongs to the upper class  $\mathcal{U}$ ,  $\omega \leq u$  in  $G$ . Hence we obtain

$$(2.3) \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow y} \omega(z) = 0.$$

Thus in both cases

$$\limsup_{z \rightarrow y} \omega(z) \leq c$$

and this holds for every  $y \in \partial V$ . Now constants are  $A$ -harmonic functions, hence the  $A$ -comparison principle yields  $\omega \leq c$  in  $V$  and we have shown  $\omega(x) \leq c$  as required.

Next we complete the proof for the converse part. If  $c = 0$ , then  $\omega = 0$  as required. If  $c > 0$  and  $\omega \neq 0$ , then  $\omega > 0$  and hence

$$(2.4) \quad \omega < \omega/c \quad \text{in } G.$$

On the other hand,  $\omega/c \leq 1$  in  $G$  by (2.2) and if  $u$  belongs to the upper class  $\mathcal{U}$  for  $\omega$ , then

$$(2.5) \quad \omega/c \leq u$$

by the  $A$ -comparison principle. Note that  $\lim_{z \rightarrow y} \omega(z) = 0$  for every  $y \in \partial G \setminus E$ ; this can be proved as (2.3). By (2.5),  $\omega/c \leq \omega$  and hence we obtain a contradiction from (2.4). This completes the proof.

### 3. Quasihyperbolic distance and $A$ -harmonic measure

Let  $E$  be a closed set in  $R^n$  and  $D = R^n \setminus E$ . If  $x_1, x_2 \in D$ , then the quasihyperbolic distance  $k_D(x_1, x_2)$  of  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  is

$$k_D(x_1, x_2) = \inf_{\gamma} \int_{\gamma} d(x, E)^{-1} ds$$

where the infimum is taken over all rectifiable curves  $\gamma$  joining  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  in  $D$ . Here  $d(x, E)$  denotes the distance from  $x$  to  $E$ . If no such curves exist, i.e., if  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  belong to different components of  $D$ , then we set  $k_D(x_1, x_2) = \infty$ .

Let  $G$  be a domain in  $R^n$ . We say that  $G$  satisfies a  $p$ -capacity density condition if for some  $c_0 > 0$  and  $r_0 > 0$

$$\text{cap}_p(\bar{B}(x, r) \cap CG, B(x, 2r)) \geq c_0 r^{n-p}$$

for all  $x \in \partial G$  and  $0 < r \leq r_0$ . Here  $\text{cap}_p$  refers to the variational  $p$ -capacity of the condenser  $E = (\bar{B}(x, r) \cap CG, B(x, 2r))$ , i.e.,

$$\text{cap}_p E = \inf \int_{B(x, 2r)} |\nabla u|^p dm$$

where the infimum is taken over all functions  $u \in C_0^\infty(B(x, 2r))$  such that  $u \geq 1$  in  $\bar{B}(x, r) \cap CG$ .

**3.1. Theorem.** *Let  $G$  be a bounded domain satisfying a  $p$ -capacity density condition. Suppose that  $E$  is a compact subset of  $\partial G$  such that there exist a sequence of neighborhoods  $\mathcal{U}_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , of  $E$  and  $M < \infty$  with*

- (a)  $\bigcap \mathcal{U}_i \cap G = \emptyset$  and
- (b) for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $x \in \partial \mathcal{U}_i \cap G$  there is  $y \in \partial G$  with  $k_D(x, y) \leq M$ ,  $D = R^n \setminus E$ .

Then  $\omega(E, G; A) = 0$ .

The proof is based on two lemmas. The first is essentially due to V.G. Maz'ya [Maz]. We shall employ the short argument due to Heinonen [H, Lemma 5.2].

**3.2. Lemma.** *Let  $F$  be a closed set in a ball  $B(x_0, 2r)$ . If  $u$  is a continuous function in  $B(x_0, 2r)$  such that  $u|_F = 1$ ,  $0 \leq u \leq 1$  and  $u$  is a solution of (1.3) in  $B(x_0, 2r) \setminus F$ , then*

$$u(x) \geq c_1 r^{(p-n)/(p-1)} \text{cap}_p(F \cap \bar{B}(x_0, r), B(x_0, 2r))^{1/(p-1)}$$

for each  $x \in B(x_0, r)$ . Here the constant  $c_1$  depends only on  $\gamma_1$ ,  $\gamma_2$ ,  $p$  and  $n$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\omega = \omega(F \cap \bar{B}(x_0, r), B(x_0, 2r) \setminus (F \cap \bar{B}^n(x_0, r)); A)$ . By [H, Lemma 5.2]

$$\omega(x) \geq c_1 r^{(p-n)/(p-1)} \text{cap}_p(F \cap \bar{B}^n(x_0, r), B(x_0, 2r))^{1/(p-1)}$$

for each  $x \in B(x_0, r) \setminus F$  and  $c_1 > 0$  depends only on  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, p$  and  $n$ . Next fix  $x \in B(x_0, r) \setminus F$  and let  $V$  be the  $x$ -component of  $B(x_0, 2r) \setminus F$ . Now  $\liminf u(z) \geq \limsup \omega(z)$  as  $z$  approaches  $y \in \partial V$  in  $V$ ; note that  $0 \leq \omega \leq 1$  and that  $\lim_{z \rightarrow y} \omega(z) = 0$  for all  $y \in \partial B(x_0, 2r)$  because balls are always  $A$ -Dirichlet regular. Hence by the  $A$ -comparison principle  $u \geq \omega$  in  $V$  and thus the required inequality follows from the corresponding inequality for  $\omega$ .

The next lemma is the well known Harnack inequality, see e.g. [S, pp. 264–269].

**3.3. Lemma.** *Let  $u$  be a non-negative solution of (1.3) in  $B(x_0, 2r)$ . Then*

$$\sup_{x \in B(x_0, r)} u(x) \leq c_2 \inf_{x \in B(x_0, r)} u(x)$$

where the constant  $c_2$  depends only on  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, p$  and  $n$ .

*Proof for Theorem 3.1.* Since  $G$  is bounded, we may assume that the inequality in the  $p$ -capacity density condition holds for all  $r \in (0, \text{diam } G)$ . Write  $\omega = \omega(E, G; A)$ . We shall show that there is  $c \in [0, 1)$  such that

$$\omega(x) \leq c$$

for all  $x \in \partial \mathcal{U}_i \cap G, i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Lemma 2.1 then completes the proof. Observe that since  $G$  satisfies a  $p$ -capacity density condition,  $G$  is  $A$ -Dirichlet regular, see [Maz]. This implies that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow y} \omega(x) = 0$  for all  $y \in \partial G \setminus E$ .

Fix  $i = 1, 2, \dots$  and let  $x \in \partial \mathcal{U}_i \cap G$ . Choose  $y \in \partial G$  with  $k_D(x, y) \leq M$ . Let  $\gamma$  be a rectifiable curve in  $D$  joining  $x$  to  $y$  with

$$(3.4) \quad \int_{\gamma} d(z, E)^{-1} ds \leq M + 1.$$

Next choose points  $z_1, \dots, z_j$  and radii  $r_1, \dots, r_j$  inductively as follows. Set  $z_1 = x$  and  $r_1 = d(z_1, E)/4$ . Assume that  $z_1, \dots, z_i$  have been chosen and let  $\gamma_i$  denote the part of  $\gamma$  from  $z_i$  to  $y$ . If  $\partial G \cap \bar{B}(z_i, 2r_i) \neq \emptyset$ , then we set  $j = i$  and end the process. If  $\partial G \cap \bar{B}(z_i, 2r_i) = \emptyset$ , then choose  $z_{i+1}$  to be the last point where  $\gamma_i$  meets  $\partial B(z_i, r_i)$  and put  $r_{i+1} = d(z_{i+1}, E)/4$ . Since  $y \in \partial G \setminus E$ , this process ends after a finite number of steps.

Next we obtain an upper bound for  $j$  in terms of  $M$ . Fix  $i = 1, \dots, j - 1$  and let  $\gamma_i$  be the part of  $\gamma$  from  $z_i$  to  $z_{i+1}$ . Pick  $z' \in E$  such that

$$4r_i = d(z_i, E) = |z_i - z'|.$$

Then for  $z \in \gamma_i \cap B(z_i, r_i)$ ,

$$d(z, E) \leq |z - z'| \leq |z - z_i| + |z_i - z'| \leq r_i + 4r_i = 5r_i$$

and thus

$$\int_{\gamma_i} d(z, E)^{-1} ds \geq \int_{\gamma_i \cap B(z_i, r_i)} d(z, E)^{-1} ds \geq r_i/5r_i = 1/5.$$

Hence

$$\int_{\gamma} d(z, E)^{-1} ds \geq \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \int_{\gamma_i} d(z, E)^{-1} ds \geq (j-1)/5$$

and we obtain from (3.4)

$$(3.5) \quad j \leq 5M + 6.$$

By the above construction  $\partial G \cap \bar{B}(z_j, 2r_j) \neq \emptyset$ , hence there is  $x_0 \in \partial G \cap \bar{B}^n(z_j, 2r_j)$ . Set  $u = 1 - \omega$ . Then  $u$  is a solution of (1.3) in  $G$ ,  $0 \leq u \leq 1$  and if we set  $u(x) = 1$  for  $x \in \mathcal{C}G \cap B(z_j, 4r_j)$ , then  $u$  is continuous in  $B(z_j, 4r_j)$ . Consequently,  $u$  is a continuous function in  $B(x_0, 2r_j)$  and a solution of (1.3) in  $B(x_0, 2r_j) \setminus \mathcal{C}G$ . Let  $F = \mathcal{C}G \cap \bar{B}(x_0, r_j)$ . Thus Lemma 3.2 and the  $p$ -capacity density condition yield for  $z \in B(x_0, r_j)$

$$\begin{aligned} u(z) &\geq c_1 r_j^{(p-n)/(p-1)} \text{cap}_p(F, B(x_0, 2r_j))^{1/(p-1)} \\ &\geq c_1 r_j^{(p-n)/(p-1)} c_0 r_j^{(n-p)/(p-1)} = c_1 c_0 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence for  $z \in B(z_j, r_j)$  we have

$$(3.6) \quad u(z) \geq c_1 c_0.$$

Set  $B_i = B(z_i, r_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, j$ , and  $u = 1 - \omega$ . Then (3.6) and Lemma 3.3 yield

$$c_1 c_0 \leq \inf_{B_j} u \leq \sup_{B_{j-1}} u \leq c_2 \inf_{B_{j-1}} u \leq \dots \leq c_2^{j-1} \inf_{B_1} u.$$

Hence we obtain

$$\omega(x) = 1 - u(x) \leq 1 - \inf_{B_1} u \leq 1 - c_1 c_0 c_2^{1-j}$$

and (3.5) implies  $\omega(x) \leq c < 1$  where

$$c = 1 - c_1 c_0 c_2^{-5M-5}.$$

This shows that  $\omega(x) \leq c$  and the proof is complete.

**3.7. Remark.** In the case  $p = n$  it was shown in [GLM 2, 4.18 and 4.19] that if  $E$  is a compcat set in the boundary of the unit ball  $B$  and if the domain  $R^n \setminus E$  is a uniform domain in the sense of [MS], then  $\omega = \omega(E, B; A) = 0$ . Note that  $B$  satisfies a  $p$ -capacity density condition for all  $p$ ,  $1 < p \leq n$ . Now Theorem 3.1 implies this result for all  $A$ . Hence it is easy to construct compact sets  $E \subset \partial B$  whose Hausdorff-dimension is arbitrary close to  $n - 1$  and yet  $\omega(E, B; A) = 0$  for all  $A$ .

On the other hand, since the neighborhoods  $\mathcal{U}_i$  of Theorem 3.1 are at our disposal, it is easy to construct a compact set  $E$  in  $\partial B$  which satisfies (a) and (b) of 3.1 and yet  $R^n \setminus E$  is not a uniform domain.

#### 4. Buried sets

Let  $G$  be a bounded domain in  $R^n$ . Write  $C = \partial G$ . For  $r > 0$  set

$$C_G(r) = (C + B(r)) \cap G$$

and for  $c > 0$  put

$$C_c(r) = \{x \in C : d(x, \partial C_G(r) \cap G) \geq (1 + c)r\}.$$

Then  $C_c(r)$  is a compact subset of  $\partial G$ .

A subset  $E$  of  $\partial G$  is said to be *buried* in  $\partial G$  if there is a number  $c > 0$  and a sequence of positive numbers  $r_i \rightarrow 0$  such that

$$(4.1) \quad E \subset \bigcap_i C_c(r_i).$$

It is easy to see that if  $\partial G$  is a  $C^1$ -manifold, then no subset  $E$  of  $\partial G$  is buried in  $\partial G$ . Roughly speaking, a set  $E$  is buried in  $\partial G$  if there are numbers  $r_i \searrow 0$  with the following property: If one stands at the distance  $r_i$  from  $\partial G$  in  $G$ , then the set  $E$  is slightly further away than  $\partial G$ .

The following theorem generalizes [H, Theorem A].

**4.2. Theorem.** *Suppose that  $G$  is a bounded domain which satisfies a  $p$ -capacity density condition. If a set  $E$  is buried in  $\partial G$ , then  $\omega(E, G; A) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume that  $E$  is compact. Let  $c > 0$  and  $(r_i)$  be such that (4.1) holds. For each  $i = 1, 2, \dots$  write  $\mathcal{U}_i = \partial G + B(r_i)$ . Then  $\mathcal{U}_i$  is a neighborhood of  $\partial G$  and hence of  $E$ . Moreover,  $\bigcap \mathcal{U}_i \cap G = \emptyset$ . It remains to show that the condition (b) of Theorem 3.1 is satisfied.

To this end let  $x \in \partial \mathcal{U}_i \cap G$ . Then there exists  $y \in \partial G$  such that

$$|x - y| = d(x, \partial G) = r_i.$$

Now

$$(4.3) \quad d(x, E) \geq (1 + c)r_i$$

because in the opposite case

$$(1 + c)r_i > d(x, E) \geq d(x, C_c(r_i)) \geq (1 + c)r_i,$$

a contradiction. Let  $\gamma(t) = (ty + (r_i - t)x)$ ,  $t \in [0, r_i]$ , be the straight line segment from  $x$  to  $y$ . If we let  $D = R^n \setminus E$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} k_D(x, y) &\leq \int_{\gamma} d(z, E)^{-1} ds \leq \int_0^{r_i} [(1 + c)r_i - t]^{-1} dt \\ &= \log \frac{1 + c}{c} = M < \infty \end{aligned}$$

because by (4.3) for each  $t \in [0, r_i]$

$$d(\gamma(t), E) \geq (1 + c)r_i - t.$$

Hence the condition (b) of Theorem 3.1 is satisfied and  $\omega(E, G; A) = 0$  follows from Theorem 3.1.

**4.4. Remark.** Simple examples show that there are bounded domains  $G$  and sets  $E$  buried in  $\partial G$  such that  $\partial G \setminus E$  is countable. Hence the  $p$ -capacity density condition in Theorem 4.2 cannot be completely removed. Slight modifications of the above example show that this condition cannot be replaced by the condition that  $G$  is  $A$ -Dirichlet regular.



## References

- [CFK] CAFFARELLI, L., E. FABES, and C. KENIG: Completely singular elliptic harmonic measures. - *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 30, 1981, 917–924.
- [GP] GEHRING, F.W., and B. PALKA: Quasiconformally homogeneous domains. - *J. Analyse Math.* 30, 1976, 172–199.
- [GLM1] GRANLUND, S., P. LINDQVIST, and O. MARTIO: Conformally invariant variational integrals. - *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 277, 1983, 43–73.
- [GLM2] GRANLUND, S., P. LINDQVIST, and O. MARTIO:  $F$ -harmonic measure in space. - *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.* 7, 1982, 233–247.
- [H] HEINONEN, J.: Boundary accessibility and elliptic harmonic measures. - *Complex Variables* 10, 1988, 273–282.
- [HK] HEINONEN, J., and T. KILPELÄINEN:  $A$ -superharmonic functions and supersolutions of degenerate elliptic equations. - *Ark. Mat.* 26, 1988, 87–105.
- [HM] HEINONEN, J., and O. MARTIO: Estimates for  $F$ -harmonic measures and Øksendal's theorem for quasiconformal mappings. - *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 36, 1987, 659–683.
- [M] MARTIO, O.:  $F$ -harmonic measures, quasihyperbolic distance and Milloux's problem. - *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.* 12, 1987, 151–162.
- [MS] MARTIO, O., and J. SARVAS: Injectivity theorems in plane and space. - *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.* 4, 1978/1979, 383–401.
- [Maz] MAZ'YA, V.G.: On the continuity on a boundary point of solutions of quasi-linear elliptic equations. - *Vestnik Leningrad Univ. Mat.* 13, 1970, 42–55 (Russian).
- [Ø] ØKSENDAL, B.: Dirichlet forms, quasiregular functions and Brownian motion. - *Invent. Math.* 91, 1988, 273–297.
- [S] SERRIN, J.: Local behavior of solutions of quasilinear equations. - *Acta Math.* 111, 1964, 247–302.

University of Jyväskylä  
Department of Mathematics  
Seminaarinkatu 15  
SF-40100 Jyväskylä  
Finland

Received 10 October 1987