

## COMPACT AND WEAKLY COMPACT MULTIPLICATIONS ON C\*-ALGEBRAS

Martin Mathieu\*

Dedicated to Professor T. T. West on the occasion of his 50<sup>th</sup> birthday.

**Abstract.** Let  $\rho_l$  be a left and  $\rho_r$  a right centralizer of a C\*-algebra. We characterize when  $\rho_l\rho_r$  is a compact or a weakly compact operator.

Following Vala [8], an element  $a$  of a C\*-algebra  $A$  is called *compact* if the mapping  $x \mapsto axa$  is a compact operator on  $A$ . For our purposes, the following equivalent definition due to Ylinen [10; Theorem 3.1] is more adequate:  $a \in A$  is compact if and only if the left multiplication  $L_a : x \mapsto ax$ , or equivalently the right multiplication  $R_a : x \mapsto xa$ , is a weakly compact operator on  $A$ . Suppose for a moment that  $A$  is the algebra  $L(H)$  of all bounded operators on some Hilbert space  $H$  and take  $a, b \in A$ , both non-zero. Vala had proved in [7] that  $L_aR_b$  is compact if and only if both  $a$  and  $b$  are compact, whilst Akemann and Wright showed in [1; Proposition 2.3] that  $L_aR_b$  is weakly compact if and only if either  $a$  or  $b$  is compact. This was extended to arbitrary prime C\*-algebras and  $a, b$  in  $M(A)$ , the multiplier algebra of  $A$ , in [4], and similar results were obtained for linear combinations of left and right multiplications.

In the case of a general C\*-algebra, Ylinen [9; Theorem 3.1] and also Akemann and Wright [1; p.146] proved that  $L_aR_a$  is weakly compact if and only if  $a$  is a compact element. In this short note we will characterize both  $L_aR_b$  compact and  $L_aR_b$  weakly compact for arbitrary C\*-algebras. However, we will undertake this in the slightly more general framework of left and right centralizers. To this end, we first recall some facts from [6; 3.12].

Let  $A$  be a C\*-algebra. A linear map  $\rho_l : A \rightarrow A$  having the property  $\rho_l(xy) = \rho_l(x)y$  for all  $x, y \in A$  is called a *left centralizer* of  $A$ . Each left centralizer is bounded, and if we consider  $A$  canonically embedded in its enveloping W\*-algebra  $A^{**}$ , then to each left centralizer  $\rho_l$  of  $A$  corresponds uniquely a *left multiplier*  $a \in A^{**}$ , i.e.,  $\rho_l = L_a$  and  $aA \subseteq A$ . The norm closed subspace of all left multipliers of  $A$  will be denoted by  $LM(A)$ . The analogous concepts of *right centralizer* and *right multiplier* can be defined by  $\rho_r = J\rho_lJ$ , where  $J$  is the involution of  $A$ , and

---

\* Research supported by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

$RM(A) = J(LM(A))$ . Therefore, all compactness properties stated below for left centralizers apply equally well to right centralizers.

Let  $K(A)$  denote the norm closed two-sided ideal of compact elements of  $A$ . We refer to [2] for some basic properties of  $K(A)$ . We first determine the weakly compact left centralizers of a  $C^*$ -algebra.

**Lemma 1.** *A left centralizer  $\rho_l$  of  $A$  is weakly compact if and only if  $\rho_l = L_a$  for some  $a \in K(A)$ .*

*Proof.* First observe that the second adjoint  $L_a^{**}$  of  $L_a$ ,  $a \in LM(A)$ , is nothing but the mapping  $x \mapsto ax$ ,  $x \in A^{**}$ . If  $L_a$  is weakly compact,  $L_a^{**}A^{**} \subseteq A$  by [3; VI.4.2], which implies  $a \in A$  and thus  $a \in K(A)$  by the aforementioned characterization of compact elements [10; Theorem 3.1]. This proves the “only if”-part, and the “if”-part is clear.  $\square$

**Definition.** Let  $\rho_l = L_a$  and  $\rho_r = R_b$ ,  $a \in LM(A)$ ,  $b \in RM(A)$ , be a left, respectively right, centralizer of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ . The mapping  $\rho_l\rho_r = L_aR_b$  is called a *(two-sided) multiplication on  $A$*  and is denoted by  $M_{a,b}$ .

Suppose that either  $a \in K(A)$  or  $b \in K(A)$ . Since the weakly compact operators form an ideal,  $M_{a,b}$  is weakly compact. Suppose that both  $a \in K(A)$  and  $b \in K(A)$ . Then  $M_{a,b}$  is compact by virtue of the polarization identity

$$M_{a,b} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=0}^3 i^k M_{(b+i^k a^*)^*, b+i^k a^*}.$$

The converse implications do not hold for arbitrary  $C^*$ -algebras. However, they are true for prime algebras. We prepare this by the following simple result.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $A$  be a prime  $C^*$ -algebra and  $a \in LM(A)$ ,  $b \in RM(A)$ . Then  $M_{a,b} = 0$  if and only if  $a = 0$  or  $b = 0$ .*

*Proof.* If  $M_{a,b} = 0$ , then  $AaAAbA = 0$ . Thus  $AaA$  and  $AbA$  are orthogonal ideals of  $A$  and the primeness of  $A$  forces either  $AaA = 0$ , i.e.,  $a = 0$ , or  $AbA = 0$ , i.e.,  $b = 0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $A$  be a prime  $C^*$ -algebra and  $a \in LM(A)$ ,  $b \in RM(A)$ .*

- (i) *If  $M_{a,b}$  is weakly compact,  $a \in K(A)$  or  $b \in K(A)$ .*
- (ii) *If  $M_{a,b} \neq 0$  is compact,  $a \in K(A)$  and  $b \in K(A)$ .*

The proof is very similar to that of the corresponding result in [4]. Therefore, we merely outline the argument for assertion (i). The weak compactness of  $M_{a,b}$  implies  $M_{a,b}A \subseteq K(A)$  since  $M_{axb, axb} = M_{a,b}M_{xb, ax}$  is weakly compact for every  $x \in A$ . If  $K(A) = 0$ , then  $M_{a,b} = 0$ , and the assertion follows by Lemma 2. If  $K(A) \neq 0$ , we may assume that  $A$  acts irreducibly on some Hilbert space  $H$  and  $K(A) = K(H)$ , the compact operators on  $H$  [2;  $C^*.4$  and F.4.3]. Thinking of  $a$

and  $b$  as left, respectively right, multipliers of  $A$  in  $A'' = L(H)$ , as we may do by [6; 3.12.3 and 3.12.5], it follows as in Lemma 1 that  $M_{a,b}L(H) \subseteq K(H)$ . Hence the induced multiplication on the Calkin algebra  $C(H) = L(H)/K(H)$  vanishes, and since  $C(H)$  is prime, either  $a \in K(H)$  or  $b \in K(H)$ .

It is interesting to compare Lemma 3 with similar results in [5], where it is proved that the product  $\delta_1\delta_2$  of two derivations of a prime C\*-algebra is weakly compact if and only if either  $\delta_1$  or  $\delta_2$  is weakly compact [5; Lemma 4], and is compact if and only if both  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  are weakly compact and some additional condition holds [5; Lemma 7].

Before we can extend Lemma 3 to arbitrary C\*-algebras we need another essentially well known result; for the sake of completeness we give a proof.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $A$  be a C\*-algebra and  $a \in LM(A)$ ,  $b \in RM(A)$ . Then  $M_{a,b} = 0$  if and only if  $a$  and  $b$  are centrally orthogonal.*

*Proof.* Denote by  $z_x$  the central support projection of  $x \in A^{**}$ . Since the set  $\{x \in A^{**} \mid xA^{**}b = 0\}$  is an ultraweakly closed ideal of  $A^{**}$  and contains  $a$ , it contains  $z_a$ . Similarly, the ideal  $\{y \in A^{**} \mid z_aA^{**}y = 0\}$  contains  $z_b$ . Therefore,  $z_az_b = 0$ , i.e.,  $a$  and  $b$  are centrally orthogonal. This proves the “only if”-part, and the “if”-part is obvious.  $\square$

We call  $\rho_l = L_a$  and  $\rho_r = R_b$  orthogonal if  $a$  and  $b$  are centrally orthogonal.

In what follows we assume that the C\*-algebra  $A$  acts in its reduced atomic representation on  $H = \bigoplus_{t \in \hat{A}} H_t$ , where  $\hat{A}$  is the spectrum of  $A$  (cf. [6; 4.3.7]). Let  $p_t \in A'$  be the projection onto  $H_t \hookrightarrow H$ ,  $t \in \hat{A}$ , and  $p$  the central projection in  $A^{**}$  with  $A^{**}p = A''$ . The multiplier algebra  $M(A)$  of  $A$  is the intersection  $LM(A) \cap RM(A)$ . If  $T$  is a bounded linear map on a C\*-subalgebra  $B$  of  $A^{**}$  and  $c \in M(B)$  is central, then  $cT$  shall denote the map  $x \mapsto cT(x)$  on  $B$ . Put  $I_l = \overline{A\rho_l A}$  and  $I_r = \overline{A\rho_r A}$ . We can now characterize the (weakly) compact multiplications on  $A$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\rho_l$ , respectively  $\rho_r$ , be a left, respectively right, centralizer of a C\*-algebra  $A$ . Then  $\rho_l\rho_r$  is weakly compact if and only if there exist orthogonal central projections  $e_1, e_2, e_3$  in  $A^{**}$  with  $e_1 + e_2 + e_3 = 1$  and  $a, b \in A^{**}$ ,  $c \in Z(A^{**})$  such that  $ce_i \in M(I_i)$  where  $I_1 = I_l e_1$ ,  $I_2 = I_r e_2$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , both  $c\rho_l|_{Ae_1} = L_{ae_1}$  and  $c\rho_r|_{Ae_2} = R_{be_2}$  are weakly compact,  $\rho_r|_{Ae_1} = R_{cbe_1}$  and  $\rho_l|_{Ae_2} = L_{cae_2}$ , and  $\rho_l|_{Ae_3}$  and  $\rho_r|_{Ae_3}$  are orthogonal.*

*Proof.* Let  $\rho_l = L_{a_0}$  with  $a_0 \in LM(A)$  and  $\rho_r = R_{b_0}$  with  $b_0 \in RM(A)$ . Under the hypotheses on the projections  $e_j$  and the elements  $a, b$  and  $c$ , the identity

$$\begin{aligned} M_{a_0, b_0} &= M_{a_0, b_0 e_1} + M_{a_0 e_2, b_0} = M_{a_0, c b e_1} + M_{c a e_2, b_0} \\ &= M_{c a_0 e_1, b} + M_{a, c b_0 e_2} = M_{a e_1, b} + M_{a, b e_2} \end{aligned}$$

immediately proves the “if”-part.

Suppose now that  $M_{a_0, b_0}$  is weakly compact. Let  $T_0$  be the set of those  $t$  for which  $M_{a_0, b_0|_{Ap_t}} \neq 0$ . As in [1; Lemma 2.4] (see also [4; Lemma 3.5]), it follows that  $T_n := \{t \mid \|M_{a_0, b_0|_{Ap_t}}\| > 1/n\}$  is finite for each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  and thus  $T_0 = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} T_n$  is countable. Put  $e_3 = \sum_{t \notin T_0} p_t + 1 - p$ . Then  $a_0 e_3$  and  $b_0 e_3$  are centrally orthogonal (Lemma 4). Let  $T_{0,1}$  be the set of those  $t \in T_0$  such that  $\rho_{l|_{Ap_t}}$  is weakly compact and  $T_{0,2} = T_0 \setminus T_{0,1}$ . By Lemma 3,  $\rho_{r|_{Ap_t}}$  is weakly compact for all  $t \in T_{0,2}$ . Put  $e_1 = \sum_{t \in T_{0,1}} p_t$ ,  $e_2 = \sum_{t \in T_{0,2}} p_t$ ,  $c = c(b_0 b_0^*)^{\frac{1}{4}} e_1 + c(a_0^* a_0)^{\frac{1}{4}} e_2$ , where  $c(y)$  denotes the central cover of a self-adjoint element  $y \in A^{**}$  [6; 2.6.2], and  $a_1 = ca_0 e_1$ ,  $b_2 = cb_0 e_2$ .

Take  $t \in T_{0,1}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 p_t &= c(b_0 b_0^*)^{\frac{1}{4}} p_t a_0 = \|c(b_0 b_0^*) p_t\|^{\frac{1}{4}} p_t a_0 \\ &= \|c(b_0 b_0^* p_t)\|^{\frac{1}{4}} p_t a_0 = \|b_0 b_0^* p_t\|^{\frac{1}{4}} p_t a_0 = \|b_0 p_t\|^{\frac{1}{2}} p_t a_0, \end{aligned}$$

where we used [6; 2.6.2 and 2.6.4] and the fact that  $p_t$  is a minimal projection in  $Z(A^{**})$ . Thus

$$\|a_1 p_t\| = \|b_0 p_t\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|a_0 p_t\| = \|M_{a_0 p_t, b_0 p_t}\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|a_0 p_t\|^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

tends to zero when  $t$  runs through  $T_{0,1}$  (recall that  $T_{0,1} \cap T_n$  is finite for each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ). We conclude that  $c\rho_{l|_{Ae_1}} = L_{a_1}$  is the norm limit of a sequence of weakly compact operators and hence weakly compact itself [3; VI.4.4]. Similarly,  $c\rho_{r|_{Ae_2}} = R_{b_2}$  is weakly compact.

Since  $Ap_t \cap K(H_t) \neq 0$ , if  $t \in T_0$  (it contains  $M_{a_0, b_0} Ap_t$ ),  $K(H_t) = K(Ap_t) \subseteq Ap_t$  by [6; 6.1.4]. Therefore,  $(a_1 p_t)_{t \in T_{0,1}}$  corresponds to a sequence  $(a^{(n)})_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  in  $A$  where each  $a^{(n)}$  belongs to a closed ideal  $I^{(n)}$  of  $A$ ,  $I^{(n)} \cong K(H_t)$  for a unique  $t \in T_{0,1}$ ,  $I^{(n)} \cap I^{(m)} = 0$  if  $n \neq m$ , and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|a^{(n)}\| = 0$ . By [4; Proposition 2.1], there is thus  $a'_1 \in K(A)$  such that  $a'_1 p_t = a_1 p_t$  for every  $t \in T_{0,1}$ ; so, in order to simplify the notation, we may assume that  $a_1 = a'_1 \in K(A)$  and similarly  $b_2 \in K(A)$ . It follows that  $cx a_0 y e_1 = x a_1 y e_1 \in Ae_1$  for all  $x, y \in A$ ; hence  $ce_1 \in M(I_1)$  and similarly  $ce_2 \in M(I_2)$ .

Finally, observe that since  $\|b_0 p_t\| > 0$  and  $\left\| \|b_0 p_t\|^{-\frac{1}{2}} b_0 p_t \right\| \leq \|b_0\|^{\frac{1}{2}}$  for each  $t \in T_{0,1}$ , we may define

$$b_1 = b_1 e_1 = \sum_{t \in T_{0,1}} \oplus \|b_0 p_t\|^{-\frac{1}{2}} b_0 p_t \in A^{**} e_1.$$

Clearly,  $cb_1 = \sum_{t \in T_{0,1}} \oplus c(b_0 b_0^*)^{\frac{1}{4}} \|b_0 p_t\|^{-\frac{1}{2}} b_0 p_t = b_0 e_1$  and therefore  $\rho_{r|_{Ae_1}} = R_{cb_1}$ . Similarly, we put

$$a_2 = a_2 e_2 = \sum_{t \in T_{0,2}} \oplus \|a_0 p_t\|^{-\frac{1}{2}} a_0 p_t \in A^{**} e_2$$

and obtain  $\rho_l|_{Ae_2} = L_{ca_2}$ .

Hence we end up with  $a = a_1 + a_2 + a_0e_3$  and  $b = b_1 + b_2 + b_0e_3$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\rho_l$ , respectively  $\rho_r$ , be a left, respectively right, centralizer of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ . Then  $\rho_l\rho_r$  is compact if and only if there exist  $a, b$  in  $K(A)$  such that  $\rho_l\rho_r = M_{a,b}$ . In addition,  $a$  and  $b$  can be chosen such that for some central projection  $e$  in  $A^{**}$  and for some positive central multipliers  $c$  and  $d$  of  $I_l(1-e)$  and  $I_r(1-e)$ , respectively, both  $c\rho_l|_{A(1-e)} = L_{da(1-e)}$  and  $d\rho_r|_{A(1-e)} = R_{cb(1-e)}$  are weakly compact.*

*Proof.* “If”-part: This follows from the remarks preceding Lemma 2.

“Only if”-part: Write  $\rho_l = L_{a_0}$ ,  $\rho_r = R_{b_0}$  with  $a_0 \in LM(A)$ ,  $b_0 \in RM(A)$  as before, let  $T_0$  be as in the proof of Theorem 1 and put  $e = e_3$ . Take  $t \in T_0$ . Since  $\rho_l|_{Ap_t} \neq 0$  and  $\rho_r|_{Ap_t} \neq 0$ , Lemma 3 shows that both  $a_0p_t$  and  $b_0p_t$  have to be compact elements in  $Ap_t$ . Putting

$$a = \sum_{t \in T_0} \oplus \|a_0p_t\|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|b_0p_t\|^{\frac{1}{2}} a_0p_t \quad \in A(1-e)$$

and

$$b = \sum_{t \in T_0} \oplus \|a_0p_t\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|b_0p_t\|^{-\frac{1}{2}} b_0p_t \quad \in A(1-e)$$

yields  $M_{a_0,b_0} = M_{a,b}$ . Since  $\|ap_t\| = \|b_0p_t\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|a_0p_t\|^{\frac{1}{2}} = \|bp_t\|$  tends to zero when  $t$  runs through  $T_0$ , both  $a$  and  $b$  are compact elements in  $A$  by [4; Proposition 2.1] as in the proof of Theorem 1. Put  $c = c(b_0b_0^*)^{\frac{1}{4}}$  and  $d := c(a_0^*a_0)^{\frac{1}{4}}$ . The relations  $ca_0(1-e) = da(1-e)$  and  $db_0(1-e) = cb(1-e)$  are obviously valid; hence  $c\rho_l|_{A(1-e)}$  and  $d\rho_r|_{A(1-e)}$  are both weakly compact (Lemma 1). As in the proof of Theorem 1, we conclude that  $c \in M(I_l(1-e))$  and  $d \in M(I_r(1-e))$ .  $\square$

**Corollary.** *Every compact multiplication on a  $C^*$ -algebra is the norm limit of multiplications of finite rank.*

These results show that, apart from a direct summand where  $\rho_l\rho_r$  can be zero, the (weak) compactness of  $\rho_l\rho_r$  is completely determined by the weak compactness of  $\rho_l$  and  $\rho_r$  up to central ‘scaling’ factors. An extension of Theorems 1 and 2 to linear combinations of left and right centralizers (elementary operators) would first of all need a corresponding result as in Lemma 4, which is not available yet.

## References

- [1] AKEMANN, C.A., and S. WRIGHT: Compact actions on  $C^*$ -algebras. - *Glasgow Math. J.* 21, 1980, 143–149.
- [2] BARNES, B.A., G.J. MURPHY, M.R.F. SMYTH, and T.T. WEST: Riesz and Fredholm theory in Banach algebras. - *Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics* 67, Boston, 1982.
- [3] DUNFORD, N., and J.T. SCHWARTZ: *Linear operators, Part I.* - Interscience, New York, 1958.
- [4] MATHIEU, M.: Elementary operators on prime  $C^*$ -algebras, II. - *Glasgow Math. J.* 30, 1988, 275–284.
- [5] MATHIEU, M.: Properties of the product of two derivations of a  $C^*$ -algebra. - *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 1989 (to appear).
- [6] PEDERSEN, G.K.:  *$C^*$ -algebras and their automorphism groups.* - Academic Press, London, 1979.
- [7] VALA, K.: On compact sets of compact operators. - *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.* 351, 1964.
- [8] VALA, K.: Sur les éléments compacts d'une algèbre normée. - *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.* 407, 1967.
- [9] YLINEN, K.: Dual  $C^*$ -algebras, weakly semi-completely continuous elements, and the extreme rays of the positive cone. - *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.* 599, 1975.
- [10] YLINEN, K.: Weakly completely continuous elements of  $C^*$ -algebras. - *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 52, 1975, 323–326.

Universität Tübingen  
Mathematisches Institut  
Auf der Morgenstelle 10  
D-7400 Tübingen  
Federal Republic of Germany

Received 15 October 1987